



Hydrographic Services and Standards Committee

Report

to

HSSC-4, September 2012

Implementation of Programme Performance  
Indicators

# Background

- ✦ 4<sup>th</sup> EIHC (2009): Decisions N° 3/4/6 and 7
  - Approval of a revised strategic planning mechanism including performance monitoring and risk management.
    - Strategic performance indicators (SPIs): agreed by the Conference (8)
    - Working level PIs (WPIs): to be agreed at Committee level
  - Request IHB DC to review the implementation in consultation with HSSC and IRCC and report to the 18<sup>th</sup> IHC
- ✦ HSSC-2 (2010):
  - Development of a simplified set of WPIs (5)
- ✦ 18<sup>th</sup> IHC (2012): Decision N° 1
  - Request IHB DC to:
    - review SPIs with HSSC and IRCC based on a draft monitoring table
    - invite HSSC and IRCC to review WPIs



**Strategic Performance Indicators (SPI)**  
**Balanced Scorecard Report - Updated: dd/mm/yyyy**

Objective	Strategic Performance Indicator	Status	Trend
(a) To promote the use of hydrography for the safety of navigation and all other marine purposes and to raise global awareness of the importance of hydrography.	<b>SPI 1</b> Number and percentage of Coastal States providing ENC coverage directly or through an agreement with a third party.	Yellow	↑
(b) To improve global coverage, availability and quality of hydrographic data, information, products and services and to facilitate access to such data, information, products and services.	<b>SPI 2</b> Growth in ENC coverage worldwide, as reported in the IHO on-line catalogue, relative to the existing gap in adequate coverage (as defined by IMO/NAV) from the benchmark 01 Aug. 2008.	Yellow	↔
	<b>SPI 3</b> Percentage of Coastal States which provide hydrographic services, directly or through an agreement with a third party, categorized by CB phases, as defined by the IHO Capacity Building Strategy.	Green	↔
(c) To improve global hydrographic capability, capacity, training, science and techniques.	<b>SPI 4</b> Percentage of “acceptable” CB requests which are planned.	Green	↓
	<b>SPI 4bis</b> Percentage of planned CB requests which are subsequently delivered	Yellow	↑
(d) To establish and enhance the development of international standards for hydrographic data, information, products, services and techniques and to achieve the greatest possible uniformity in the use of these standards.	<b>SPI 5</b> Number of standards issued (including new editions), per category: - hydrographic standards to enhance safety of navigation at sea, - protection of the marine environment, - maritime security, - economic development.	Red	↔
(e) To give authoritative and timely guidance on all hydrographic matters to States and international organizations.	<b>SPI 6</b> Number of potential new IHO MS (indicated by the start of the application process) relative to the number of “non-IHO” IMO MS.	Yellow	↑
(f) To facilitate coordination of hydrographic activities among the Member States.	<b>SPI 7</b> Increase in participation / membership in RHCs.	Red	↔
(g) To enhance cooperation on hydrographic activities among States on a regional basis.	<b>SPI 8</b> Percentage of available / agreed ENC schemes.	Green	↑

Color code: **Red = Unacceptable** / **Yellow = Needs improvement** / **Green = Acceptable**

Trend: ↓ = indicator descends / ↔ = indicator stable / ↑ = indicator improves



**Proposed Working-level Performance Indicators (WPI's) by HSSC-2**  
(from Annex F to HSSC-2 Minutes)

<b>Metric</b>	<b>Data Collection Point</b>	<b>Rationale</b>
Number of proposal submissions (by domain) to S-100 GI Registry	<b>S-100 Registry Manager (IHB idc)</b>	Relative indicator of uptake of IHO standards including for purposes other than SOLAS navigation
% of annual work programme achieved	<b>HSSC WGs (all)</b>	Progress against objectives in the strategic plan
Total number of participants at meetings (MS and Expert Contributors)	<b>HSSC WGs (all)</b>	Indicates participation of MS and wider community in execution of the plan
Number of technical revisions and clarifications approved by MS	<b>IHB</b>	Indicative of ability to provide comprehensive, safe and effective standards
Number of ENCs distributed annually under license	<b>IC-ENC</b>	Relative indicator of ENC usage throughout SOLAS market



# Way ahead

- ✦ IHB DC will implement a periodical review of the achievement of the IHO Objectives based on the **existing SPIs** and report to Member States.
- ✦ IHB DC will use the **WPIs proposed by HSSC-2** to develop a similar monitoring and reporting process for the HSSC and report to HSSC-5.
  - Note that WPI N° 2 (% of annual work programme achieved) requires to be rigorous when approving WG work plans



# Action required of HSSC

- a) note this report
- b) comment as appropriate, and
- c) take any other action as considered necessary

