LETTRE CIRCULAIRE 37/1999 3 août 1999

QUATRIEME REUNION DE LA WEND Sidney, Australie, 27-29 janvier 1999

Monsieur.

Vous trouverez ci-joint pour information le rapport succinct de la réunion susmentionnée accompagné d'une liste de documents auxquels il a été fait référence au cours de celle-ci (Annexe C), d'une liste des participants (Annexe D), et de l'ordre du jour (Annexe E).

Suite à cette réunion, une liste de mesures à prendre a été établie (Annexe B). Le Bureau, qui entreprend les travaux et les actions qui lui ont été assignés, demande aux Etats membres de bien vouloir examiner cette liste eu égard aux actions requérant leur attention. La 5e réunion de la WEND a été programmée au BHI (Monaco) pour les 17 et 18 mars 2000 conjointement avec la Conférence hydrographique internationale extraordinaire organisée du 20 au 24 mars 2000. Il est demandé aux Etats membres de bien vouloir vérifier la liste des membres jointe en annexe (WEND/ 5/1C), et d'informer le Bureau de tout changement, avant le 1er novembre 1999.

Ce rapport succinct sera affiché sur le site web de l'OHI (www.iho.shom.fr). Tous les documents WEND, listés dans l'annexe C sont disponibles sous forme numérique auprès du BHI (info@ihb.mc).

Un tableau ainsi qu'une carte donnant une estimation au mois de mars 1999 de l'état de la production de données S-57 par les Etats membres de l'OHI, étaient joints à la Lettre Circulaire du BHI 12/1999. L'Australie, la Belgique, le Chili, Cuba, le Danemark, l'Espagne, la Finlande, l'Inde, l'Indonésie, la Malaisie, la Norvège, le Pérou, le Portugal, Singapour et les USA (NIMA) ont fait parvenir des commentaires qui ont été pris en compte pour les nouvelles versions du tableau et de la carte jointes à cette lettre et actualisées en juin 1999. Ainsi qu'il était mentionné dans la LC 12 / 1999, ces renseignements seront publiés dans le Bulletin hydrographique international et affichés sur le site web de l'OHI.

Veuillez agréer, Monsieur, l'assurance de ma haute considération.

Pour le Comité de direction,

Contre-amiral Neil GUY Directeur

PJ: Compte-rendu succinct de la 4e réunion de la WEND (Anglais seulement) Liste des membres de la WEND (Anglais seulement) Etat de la production de données S-57

4th WEND COMMITTEE MEETING Sydney, Australia, 27-29 January 1999

SUMMARY REPORT

- Notes: 1) The paragraph numbering is the same as in the abridged agenda (Annex E) unless otherwise specified.
 - 2) A list of acronyms is provided at Annex A.

1. OPENING AND ADMINISTRATIVE ARRANGEMENTS

a) The following delegations from Member States attended:

Australia, Canada, Chile, China, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, India, Indonesia, Italy, Japan, Korea (Republic of), Malaysia, New Zealand, Norway, Portugal, Singapore, Sweden, United Kingdom, United States of America.

- b) Dr. Peter EHLERS, Director of the BSH (Germany), was the Chairman.
- c) RAdm Neil GUY represented the IHB, which acts as the WEND Secretariat.
- d) Dr. Lee Alexander, of the IEC, acted as Rapporteur.

Attachments

List of Acronyms	(Annex A)	
Action List	(Annex B)	
List of Documents	(Annex C)	(WEND/4/1A rev.3)
List of Participants	(Annex D)	(WEND/4/1B rev.2)
Abridged Agenda	(Annex E)	(WEND/4/2A rev.1)

Welcoming Address

The Welcoming Address was given by RAdm Chris RITCHIE, Maritime Commander of the Royal Australian Navy in which he also described the scope of the activities of the Australian Hydrographic Service. He then formally opened the Meeting. The WEND Chairman, Dr. EHLERS then thanked RAdm RITCHIE for his welcome and the Australian Hydrographic Office for all the arrangements that had been made for the Meeting.

The Chairman stated the objectives of the Meeting which were as follows:

- a) The Meeting had to address the urgent need for progress in the implementation of the WEND system.
- b) It had to coordinate the activities of the HOs and harmonize the policies of RENCs.

- It was not a forum for the exchange of technical information.
- c) The success of WEND would depend on the production of ENCs and the establishment of RENCs. To achieve this success the Meeting had to decide how to make progress, to analyze problems of establishing a RENC and establish whether there were national interests that would make it difficult to achieve. This would involve international cooperation and coordination.
- d) The Meeting had to draw conclusions on how best to achieve these objectives.

Administrative Arrangements

Cdre Bob WILLIS (Chief Hydrographer of the Australian Hydrographic Service) detailed the arrangements for both the Meeting and the social events.

RAdm GUY (IHB) referred delegates to the List of Documents (WEND/4/1A rev.2) which contained those documents received prior to the Meeting. This would be updated as documents were presented at the Meeting.

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

The Abridged and Annotated Agendas (WEND/4/2A rev.1 & 2B rev.2) were adopted.

3. MATTERS ARISING FROM MINUTES OF 3RD WEND MEETING

RAdm GUY (IHB) reviewed the IHB Actions arising from the 3rd Meeting. (WEND/4/3B).

Mr. Doug Brown (USA-NOAA) gave details of the US Hydrographic Conference to be held in Mobile, Alabama, USA, from 26-29 April 1999. Additional information is available at www.usahydrosoc.org. The Caribbean and Gulf of Mexico Hydrographic Commission ENC Working Group Meeting would follow this, at the same venue, 30 April-1 May. An IHO ENC Updating Workshop would follow from 3-4 May. Mr. Ricardo MONTANER (Chile) requested that as much notice be given of meetings as possible to facilitate national funding applications.

4. REVIEW OF THE LINKS WITH OTHER IHO COMMITTEES DEALING WITH ECDIS

RAdm GUY (IHB) reviewed the links with other IHO Committees and Working Groups, such as CHRIS. (WEND/4/4A).

The position of RENCs within the WEND Committee proceedings was discussed but the matter was deferred for discussion under Agenda Item 11.

5. REPORT ON STATUS: ECDIS AND ENC STANDARDS/SPECIFICATIONS AND PLANS

RAdm GUY reported on the status of IHO publications and in particular the French language versions of S-52 and S-57. (WEND/4/5A). Although progress had been made

with the French translations of S-52 and its appendices the enormous task of translating S-57 into French could only be commenced when there was a suitable opportunity.

S-57

Dr. Chris Drinkwater (UK) briefly reviewed WEND/4/5B and stressed that ENCs should not only be produced in the S-57 Ed. 3 format but they must also conform with the ENC Product Specification. He reported that in order to permit the use of a small number of additional attribute values which certain nations had requested for ENC purposes, S-57 Ed. 3.1 will be published in November 1999 and formally adopted in November 2000. Mr. Frode KLEPSVIK (Norway) expressed concern over further changes to S-57 Ed. 3. Capt. B. R. RAO (India) asked about the impact of S-57 Ed. 3.1 on ECDIS and Dr. Drinkwater stated that he had received confirmation from the IEC that S-57 ed. 3.1 will not cause problems for an ECDIS which had already been type approved. He also stressed that S-57 Ed. 3.1 will not contain any new information other than the new attribute values mentioned above.

RAdm GUY (IHB) raised the concern of system manufacturers that while claims were made that ENCs conformed to S-57 Ed. 3, there was incompatibility between some of the ENCs produced by different Member States. RAdm Alexander MARATOS (Greece) expressed concern over the negative image that this would give of ENCs. Mr. KLEPSVIK (Norway) asked for clarity on who would decide, and how, if an ENC conformed with the Product Specification.

The Chairman asked if any conclusions should be drawn on the consistency of ENC production and the need for a S-57 Ed. 3.1.

It was concluded that TSMAD would convene a meeting with Staff of the NE RENC (PRIMARTM) and software manufacturers to consider the approval by IHO of a suite of QA tools for ENCs. TSMAD would also consider a session of its meetings at which ENC problem solving issues would be discussed.

Action: TSMAD

Dr. ALEXANDER (IEC) reviewed the current status of the International Standard for ECDIS (WEND/4/5C) and IEC Test Standards for ECDIS. (WEND/4/5D rev 1)

ENC Test Data Sets

Dr. Drinkwater explained the process whereby the IHO ENC Test data Set was produced and the additional steps taken to ensure IEC approval. Mr. Klepsvik was of the opinion that RENCs should develop their own ENC Test Data Sets. The Chairman drew attention to the importance of ECDIS Type approval for WEND.

S-52, Colours and Symbols, and the Presentation Library

Mr. Mike CASEY (Canada) reported on the ongoing sea-trials on Colours and Symbols taking place in Canada and on the C&SWG Meeting held in Hamburg, Germany, 14-15 December 1998.

Finnish Proposal on Rationalization of ENC Development.

Mr. Juha KORHONEN (Finland) gave notice of a proposal submitted to the Strategic Planning Working Group on the structure necessary to achieve the best results in ENC development. (WEND/4/5E)

It was agreed that, while WEND should take note of the proposal, it was a CHRIS matter.

Use of the term ENC

Mr. Horst HECHT (Germany) drew attention to the fact that only official data that complied with the ECDIS Performance Standard and the Product Specification contained in S-57 Ed. 3, could be referred to as "ENC".

The Meeting agreed that HOs should exercise care in using the term "ENC" when releasing data. It should be observed that only data complying strictly with the requirements set out in the definition for an ENC in the ECDIS Performance Standard should be referred to as an ENC. This implies that the ENC should be 'official' and that it adhere fully to the relevant standards of the IHO, namely the Product Specification contained in the latest edition of S-57.

6. NATIONAL REPORTS ON THE PRODUCTION OF ENC/DNC/RNC AND PLANS

RAdm GUY (IHB) introduced the National Reports submitted to the 10^{th} CHRIS Meeting, held in October 1998 and recommended that these be updated. (WEND/4/6A rev.1) This was agreed to.

Australia: Nothing additional to report.

Canada: Nothing additional to report.

Chile: Nothing additional to report.

China: Nothing additional to report.

Denmark: Mr. Ole BERG highlighted the need for consistency in the QC/QA tools

available. This was confirmed by remarks from Germany, Portugal and the UK. Dr. DRINKWATER (UK) announced that TSMAD would investigate the matter in conjunction with QC/QA software companies.

Finland: The issuing of ENCs would commence in June 1999.

France: Will issue ENCs shortly but will not be able to provide an update service

at this stage.

Germany: Updating problems are now resolved and ER updates have been resumed.

Mr. HECHT advised the Meeting of the progress in electronic chart river

navigation and the production of a digital waterways chart.

Greece: ENC production to start early in 1999.

India: 60 ENCs now produced and the establishment of a RENC in the region

is now necessary.

Italy: Nothing additional to report.

Japan: Brochures now available on ENC production and other information.

Korea (Rep) 35 ENCs to be produced during 1998-1999.

Malaysia: Report submitted to the Meeting (WEND/4/6B)

New Zealand: Rasterization of charts to commence 1 July 1999.

Norway: Nothing additional to add except comments on the difficulty in producing

ENCs that complied with Product Specification.

Portugal: Will produce first ENC cell in March 1999.

Singapore: Trials on ENC updating from terrestrial stations to be done in 1999.

Sweden: Nothing additional to report.

UK: Trials on many ENCs are in hand and the issue of ENC updates relating to the

relevant NTM is being investigated.

USA: The USA is committed to ENC production but progress is hampered by budget

constraints and by the fact that the USA is not on the metric system. In conjunction with a system developer, the issue of automatic conversion

to metric is being investigated.

Comments:

Updating:

Mr. HECHT queried the justification in claiming that ENCs were produced when no ENC updating facility existed. In response to a query from Mr. Adam KERR (UK) as to who was responsible for updating, Mr. HECHT stated that this must be a HO's responsibility. Capt. RAO (India) pointed out that NTM via NAVTEX could be used to update ENCs daily.

Production Priorities:

Mr. BERG (Denmark) stated that paper charts were still the major concern of HOs and would probably remain so until ENCs became a carriage requirement. Mr. HECHT queried how ENCs could become a carriage requirement if they were not available in adequate numbers.

RAdm John CLARKE (UK) pointed out that ENC production should be directed to the needs of commercial shipping and that for the first time HOs were being realistic in

regard to the problems of ENC production. Mr. John SPITTAL (New Zealand) agreed and added that the costs of ENC production and updates had been seriously underestimated. Mr. Parry OEI (Singapore) recommended the "Open ECDIS Forum" as a vehicle for exchange of information and ideas.

Quality Control and Assurance:

Mr. KERR (UK) stated that it was critical that ENC be adequately quality controlled (QC) and quality assured (QA) and updated.

Mr. SPITTAL (New Zealand) queried whether the requirements of the user had been fully investigated.

After further discussion the Chairman summarized, and the meeting agreed to the following:

- a) All efforts should be directed to ENC production.
- b) More discussions on the problems and possible solutions should take place.
- c) Mechanisms on what can and what cannot work should be found.
- d) WEND should focus on solutions and not only the problems.
- e) An ENC updating service should be as frequent as the current NTM services.
- f) Methods must be found to improve the production rate of ENCs.
- g) The cooperation between Member States within Regional Commissions should be encouraged to improve development and production.

Action: All

7. REPORT ON TRIALS AND GENERAL PROGRESS ON ENC DISTRIBUTION AND UPDATING TECHNOLOGY

a) SHARED Demonstration Programme

Mr. OEI (Singapore) reported on the Programme, which is the practical use of raster and vector charts in a hybrid electronic chart system. The 2^{nd} SHARED Meeting, held in Singapore in October 1998 agreed to extend the Programme to include 13 Member States.

b) <u>BAFEGIS Project</u>

Mr. HECHT (Germany) briefly updated the Report presented to the 3rd WEND meeting in Goa. Some experiences reported by the mariners involved in the Project were as follows:

- i) One of the key benefits was for harbour navigation.
- ii) Since SENC data is shown at the largest scale available, source material should be used in preference to the digitizing of paper charts.
- iii) There is interest in extending the project to other Baltic Sea areas.

iv) ECDIS equipment fitted to the vessels lag behind in being capable of handling update messages.

c) <u>ECHO Project</u>

Mr. Asbjørn Kyrkjeeide (Norway) gave a brief presentation on this Project to provide and update ENC data. The Project was completed in 1998 and, as a result, the ECC (Norway) would provide internet-based services (i.e. $PRIMAR^{\text{TM}}$ operations and services). Further information was available on the Internet at www.statkart.no/ecc/frecho.htm

Comments:

In reply to a question, Mr. OEI (Singapore) stated that although the SHARED Programme was capable of providing RENC services, it would depend on agreement by Member States in the region.

RAdm GUY (IHB) was of the opinion that while it was not the original intention, the SHARED Programme offered an opportunity for Member States, outside of Europe, to develop the production and distribution of ENCs.

The Meeting agreed that these projects and programmes reported on indicated ways to overcome specific problems related to ENCs and ECDIS.

8. REGIONAL REPORTS ON PROGRESS AND PLANS OF RENCS AND PROJECTS

Mediterranean and Black Seas RENC (WEND/3/7C)

RAdm MARATOS (Greece) reported on the possible formation of a RENC in the Black Sea and questioned the relationship between this RENC and the NE RENC. This gave rise to the question as to whether a Member State could be a member of more than one RENC in the same area. RAdm GUY (IHB) and Mr. FLATHEN (Norway), in his capacity as Co-Chairman of the NE RENC, felt that there should not be a problem with dual membership. RAdm CLARKE (UK) and Mr. JAKOBSEN (Denmark) agreed. Mr. KLEPSVIK (Norway) identified this as being two issues

- a) HO membership in more than one RENC
- b) A HO providing ENC data for the same geographic area to different RENCs creating overlapping areas.

After further discussion the Chairman summarized and the Meeting agreed that RENCs should not cover overlapping areas and HOs should not be members of different RENCs for the same area.

Action : All

North European RENC (WEND/4/8A)

Mr. Kyrkjeeide (General Manager of PRIMAR) in his capacity as Manager of PRIMAR $^{\text{TM}}$, the organisation and service for the European RENC, reported on its creation, objectives and future plans. Ten HOs are participating at present and the service would be based in Stavanger, Norway, and staffed by 19 persons from the UKHO and ECC/SK. He stated that the establishment of the service had proved both costly and time consuming. PRIMAR $^{\text{TM}}$ is a non-profit organization owned by the UK and Norway. The budget for 1999 would be \$3.8 million (USA). A number of objectives and dates were given.

- a) 956 ENC cells are planned for 1999 (61 supplied as of 20 January 1999).
- b) A graphical catalogue of available ENC cells is being developed.

- c) The Trial Service would commence, free of charge, on 1 February 1999.
- d) Official Service is planned to begin operation on 1 July 1999.
- e) ENC pricing, service charges, and a security scheme are under consideration and will be announced before the official launch in June 1999.
- f) In addition to distributing data to cooperating HOs, the service to the end-users will be made available through authorized distributors and licensed service providers.

Comments and Questions

Mr. Ronald Furness (Australia) requested clarification on PRIMARTM, as an organization, in terms of "free and open" competition, non-exclusivity, and monopolistic concerns. Cdre Willis (Australia) asked how non-European States could join this RENC. RAdm Clarke (UK), in his capacity as Co-Chairman of the NE RENC, stated that, in accordance with the Helsinki Accord, PRIMARTM is a non-profit, governmental mechanism properly constituted within Europe for the provision of official ENC data. He also stated that PRIMARTM was investigating how it could relate to, and cooperate with, other HOs and RENCs.

East Asia

Mr. Kunio YASHIMA (Japan) reported on the progress of this RENC as follows:

The East Asian RENC would probably be a "Virtual" RENC without a centralized facility but comprising a network of ENC databases. He reported on the progress of ENC production and services in the region of the possible RENC and stated that Japan would submit a draft plan on the establishment of a "Virtual" RENC to the next East Asian Hydrographic Commission Meeting in Beijing during mid-1999. He also commented on additional Member States in the region possibly participating in the SHARED Programme and the Marine Electronic Highway (MEH) Project.

North America

Mr. CASEY reported that the RENC concept had been discussed at Annual Canada-USA Hydrographic Commission Meetings. There were, however, no current plans to form a North American RENC. Mr. BROWN (USA) added that although there were differences in the application of cost-recovery and copyright between the two States, long-standing cooperation existed, which included similar business models and information philosophies. An example was that NDI with the CHS and MapTech with NOAA were being used for ENC and RNC distribution and servicing.

Mr. HECHT (Germany), Mr. CASEY (Canada) and Capt. David MACFARLAND (USA) were of the opinion that the North American arrangement fell within the WEND concept. Mr. KERR (UK) noted however that it was not a good example of a RENC.

Action : All

Comments and Questions

In response to questions, RAdm CLARKE (UK) stated that Canada would have to decide whether it wished to have a dealer relationship between CHS/NDI and PRIMAR TM , and

Capt. MACFARLAND (USA) indicated that it would be prepared to distribute its ENC data through PRIMAR TM .

Baltic Sea

As there was no representative from the Baltic RECC present capable of reporting, the matter was adjourned but Mr. ASTERMO (Sweden), in his capacity as Chairman of the Baltic Sea Hydrographic Commission, commented that the position in regard to a Baltic Sea RENC was somewhat unclear.

Australia and New Zealand

Mr. FURNESS (Australia) reported the following:

- a) Australia and New Zealand have agreed to take the leadership role in the establishment of an Australasian RENC.
- b) They will encourage other members of the South West Pacific Hydrographic Commission to participate.
- c) After consideration, they may look to a closer relationship with those Member States participating in the SHARED Programme.
- d) Any Member State that wishes to participate, may advise them as soon as they wish but preferably before mid-February 1999.
- e) The first meeting is tentatively planned for late February or early March 1999 and the IHB will be advised of the details.
- f) Observers are welcome and future meetings will probably alternate between Australia and New Zealand.

Action: Australia & New Zealand

Other Regions

a) Capt RAO (India) requested advice and possible assistance to enable the formation of a RENC in the Indian Ocean.

Comments:

A number of tasks for the IHB were identified:

i) The IHB should assess the assistance needed in a region [Mr. OEI (Singapore)].

The IHB should promote the establishment of RENCs [Mr. Peter JAKOBSEN (Denmark)].

iii) The IHO, in conjunction with the IMO Is to identify high density shipping routes to facilitate the planning of priorities for ENC production.

Action: IHB

- b) There are three factors related to the establishment of a RENC:
 - i) The proximity of chart coverage by the HOs.
 - ii) Government policies relating to the role of distributors and the private sector.
 - iii) The extent to which technology can support the integration and the distribution of the ENCs of several countries.

Role of the IHB

The future role of the IHB in the production and distribution of ENCs and the development of RENCs within the WEND Principle was discussed. RAdm GUY (IHB) requested that the Meeting give consideration to guidelines for the IHB to follow in the promotion of ENCs, ECDIS and the formation of RENCs. In addition to the two items raised in the previous Agenda Item, the following was proposed.

Mr. BERG (Denmark) proposed that a Handbook for the establishment of a RENC be prepared.

Radm CLARKE (UK), Mr. HECHT (Germany) and Mr. KLEPSVIK (Norway) disagreed and felt that it would be better for aspirant RENC members to visit an established RENC such as PRIMARTM.

After further discussion, the Chairman summarised and the Meeting agreed as follows:

i) The IHB should complete the analysis of ENC production and try to identify and then to encourage States in a region to cooperate in ENC distribution by forming a RENC or to form a RENC or to distribute ENCs via an established RENC, all in accordance with the WEND Principles.

Action: IHB

ii) Information should be shared between those RENCs already established and those hydrographic services that have plans.

Action: All

The Role of a RENC

Mr. Knut FLÄTHEN (Norway) recommended that the concept of 'one-stop shopping', within the WEND concept, should be promoted within the maritime user community.

Mr. KERR (UK) recommended that the Meeting consider the requirements of QC/QA tools to be able to provide users with a composite set of data coupled with updating and other services.

RAdm CLARKE (UK) pointed out that unless the IHO agreed on all the ENC related standards, the future existence of the IHO was in question.

9. DISCUSSION ON FINANCIAL POLICIES, BILATERAL ARRANGEMENTS AND OTHER MATTERS RELATED TO REIMBURSEMENT FOR PROVISION OF DATA

EU/TENT-T Project (WEND/3/7D)

Mr. David MCPHERSON (UK) reported briefly on this project and the mechanism of financial assistance for ENC production and other potential sources of funding.

Reimbursement between RENCs and Participating HOs

MR. KYRKJEEIDE (Norway) commented that reimbursement was dependent on the provision of EN and ER.

Pricing for ENC Data

Mr. CASEY (Canada) explained the Canadian pricing scheme, which was a matter of public record. The cost of an ENC was 50\$ (USA) per year. This cost was derived after an analysis based on a distribution service (basic operating threshold) and the products assessed against potential sales over the next four years.

Discounts would be considered based on sales and margins. Some users were satisfied with the arrangement while others were not. Coupled with the price dissatisfaction were complaints over apparent lack of user-friendliness of the Colours and Symbols Presentation Library. This appears to be the major objection as the display is not attractive to the mariner.

The continued support of NTX data would be sub-contracted for one year as it was not possible for the CHS to support both NTX and S-57 Ed. 3.

Mr. KYRKJEEIDE (Norway) explained the pricing system within PRIMAR™ which was based on three things:

- a) A registration Fee.
- b) The price per ENC.
- c) An updating service.

The level of pricing would be finalized by June 1999 and it would be based on a cell size equivalent to one nautical chart. Mr. HECHT (Germany) felt that more information on pricing should be provided by $PRIMAR^{TM}$ during the Meeting.

The Meeting concluded that that this was not the appropriate time to deal with pricing harmonization policy.

10. EXAMINATION OF CONCEPTUAL MODEL FOR WEND. OPTIONS FOR ORGANIZING A WORLD WIDE DATABASE

Virtual RENC (WEND/4/10A)

Mr. YASHIMA (Japan) summarized this concept in the East Asian context:

- a) There already existed cooperation between Indonesia, Japan, Malasia and Singapore on ENC production and distribution for the Straits of Malacca and Singapore.
- b) The basis for the establishment of a "virtual" RENC would be the distribution of ENCs through a network, for the participating HOs.

RAdm Mohd RASIP (Malaysia) further explained that the ENC databases held by the various HOs would be networked and an official distributor and agents appointed. This is under consideration at present. Updating is also under consideration but would ultimately be the responsibility of the particular HO.

WEND input into IHO Strategy

As two of the three outstanding items on the Strategic Planning Working Group Agenda, increased responsibilities of regional bodies and relationships with the private sector, appeared on the WEND Agenda, RAdm GUY (IHB) was requested to relay the feelings of the Meeting on these issues to the SPWG.

Action: IHB

11. PROPOSAL FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF RENCS

a) Security System (WEND/4/11A)

Mr. CASEY (Canada) reported on the work of the TAWG in regard to encryption of ENCs. He stated that there were both regulatory and policy implications to consider.

In addition to the paper presented, additional information could be found on the Open ECDIS Forum at: www.openecdis.com.

In the discussion that followed, Mr. KLEPSVIK (Norway) stated that encryption should not interfere with the basic requirement of safety of navigation. Mr. HECHT (Germany) further noted that it was the objective of the TAWG to recommend an encryption scheme for the IHO and that they complete their work before any encryption scheme was put in place. Mr. KYRKJEEIDE (Norway) and RAdm Clarke (UK) both stated that it was the intention of PRIMAR $^{\text{TM}}$ to introduce a security system for their ENCs from 1 July 1999.

The Chairman summarized and the Meeting agreed that total termination was not advisable, in the event of contractual obligations not being met, and the safety of the user should be considered when acting although there was wide agreement that some action had to be taken against users who refused to renew their license.

Action: All

b) <u>Participation of RENCs in WEND Meetings</u>

After discussion, the Meeting agreed that RENC representatives should participate in WEND Meetings as observers.

Action: IHB

c) Participation of Regional Commissions in WEND Meetings

After discussion, the Meeting agreed that Regional Hydrographic Commissions should be requested to participate in WEND Meetings and be represented by at least one member of the Commission.

Action: IHB

12. INTERIM MEASURES TO PROVIDE DATA IN THE ABSENCE OF S-57 DATA

Referring to WEND/4/12A, RAdm GUY (IHB) reviewed the use of raster and other data as a "dual-fuel" option in ECDIS equipment as an interim solution for the lack of adequate ENC coverage. Notice was given to the Meeting of the new IHO Publication S-61, Product Specification for RNCs. Capt. Corrado FIORI and LCdr. Rosario LA PIRA (Italy) advised the Meeting of their efforts to clarify the Italian proposal to the NAV 45 Subcommittee Meeting of IMO in regard to "official" and "approved" data in order to achieve the aim of the proposal, that is to allow commercial companies to derive legal navigational recognized products from the official data, targeted to satisfy the needs of different customers. In particular the private company could act as a "service provider" for:

- a) official data issued by an HO, or on the authority of an HO,
- b) additional value-added data prepared by the company and authorized by the HO.

This was welcomed by the meeting.

13. RELATIONS WITH THE PRIVATE INDUSTRY

RAdm GUY (IHB) explained the ongoing relations with the private industry (WEND/4/13A) and sought clarification on the Meetings attitude to future relationships. Mr. Furness (Australia) accepted the need for these relationships to continue but Mr. Montaner (Chile) cautioned against any perception that these relationships may indicate favouritism.

The Meeting agreed that the IHB should not show favoritism towards any private company.

Action: IHB

14. CO-OPERATION WITH OTHER INTERNATIONAL BODIES

Referring to WEND/4/14A, RAdm GUY (IHB) advised the Meeting of the ongoing relationships with international organisations such as IALA, IMO, FIG, and IAPH.

South East Asia Marine Electronic Highway

Dr. ALEXANDER (IEC) briefly explained the purpose and scope of this project. (WEND/4/14B & WEND/4/14B add 1)

Mr. Kerr (UK) expressed concern that the profit margin anticipated in ENC production and services might not be of sufficient interest to private entrepeneurs. RAdm GUY (IHB) expressed the view that clarity is needed as to how exactly the SE Asia Project, for example, is to succeed and what role the HOs would have. RAdm RASIP (Malaysia) believes that the Project has merits although there are some concerns. Mr. Kerr stated that the matter should be pursued and that possible financial benefits to the IHO should be investigated. It was confirmed that there would be cooperation between this Project and the proposed East Asian RENC. Mr. OEI (Singapore) remarked that the Project could be regarded as a possible source of funding for ENC producers in the region of the proposed MEH.

The Meeting agreed that the IHB should intensify their contact with the MEH Project and thoroughly monitor developments concerning marine electronic highway activities.

Action: IHB

15. FORMAT OF WEND COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Referring to WEND/4/15A, Mr. HECHT (Germany) proposed that future WEND meetings should precede an ENC technological meeting, forum or conference. This would enable discussions and cooperation on related matters to benefit both HOs and Industry.

After discussion the Chairman summarized and the Meeting agreed that WEND meetings should preferably be held in conjunction with other ECDIS related meetings and conferences and that it would be beneficial to include a workshop or symposium, including private industry.

Actions: IHB & Chairman

16. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

In response to a request from the Chairman, RAdm GUY (IHB) briefed the Meeting on the status of the Strategic Planning Working Group activities and in particular the possibility of holding an Interim IH Conference in 2000.

The Meeting felt that WEND should report to this Conference, if it is held, and that Member States should submit WEND related proposals for inclusion in such a report.

Actions: IHB & Chairman

17. SUMMARY OF ACTION ITEMS IDENTIFIED DURING THE MEETING

The Meeting reviewed all agreed action items which have been summarized at Annex B.

18. DATE AND PLACE OF NEXT MEETING

Taking into consideration the benefits of holding meetings in the various regions the venue for a WEND meeting would be influenced by whether there is to be an Interim Conference.

The Meeting agreed that if there is to be an Interim Conference, a WEND meeting should be held at that time in Monaco during March 2000, and that a report should also be submitted to the Conference. If there was not to be a Conference then the IHB is to investigate a suitable venue in South America for meeting during January/February 2000 and, failing that, the meeting would be held in Stavanger, Norway, in May.

Action : IHB

Annex A

LIST OF ACRONYMS

BSH Bundesamt für Seeschiffahrt und Hydrographie

CHS Canadian Hydrographic Service

CHRIS Committee on Hydrographic Requirements for Information Systems (IHO)

C&SMWG Colour and Symbol Maintenance Working Group (IHO)

ECC Electronic Chart Centre (Norway)

ECDIS Electronic Chart Display and Information System

ECHO European Chart Hub Organization (European Union)

ENC New, i.e. ENC base data

ENC Electronic Navigational Chart

ER ENC Revision, i.e. ENC update or NtM data

EU European Union

FIG Fédération Internationale des Géomètres

HO Hydrographic Office

IALA International Association of Lighthouse Authorities

IAPH International Association of Ports and Harbours

IEC International Electrotechnical Commission

IHB International Hydrographic Bureau

IHO International Hydrographic Organization

IMO International Maritime Organization

MEH Marine Electronic Highway

NAV Sub-committee on Navigation (IMO)

NAVTEX Navigational Telex

NDI Nautical Data International (Canada)

NHS Norwegian Hydrographic Service

NOAA National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (USA)

NTM Notice to Mariner

OEF Open ECDIS Forum

QA Quality Assurance

QC Quality Control

RENC Regional ENC Coordinating Centre

RNC Raster Navigational Chart

SENC System ENC

SHARED Singapore Hong Kong Admiralty Raster and ENC Demonstration

SPWG Strategic Planning Working Group (IHO)

TAWG Technology Assessment Working Group (IHO)

TEN-T Trans-European Network - Transport (European Union)

TSMAD Transfer Standard Maintenance and Application Development Working Group

(IHO)

UKHO United Kingdom Hydrographic Office

WEND Worldwide Electronic Navigational Chart Data Base (IHO)

4th WEND COMMITTEE MEETING Sydney, Australia, 27_29 January 1999

LIST OF ACTION ITEMS

Agenda item	Subject	Status	Comments	Action by
5	Recommended IHO QA Tools	In hand	TSMAD is requested to convene a meeting of NE RENC (PRIMAR TM) staff and software manufacturers to recommend a suite of IHO approved QA tools	TSMAD
6	ENC production	On going	 All efforts should be directed to: a) ENC production. b) More discussions on the problems and possible solutions in ENC production. c) Mechanisms that are workable should be identified. d) WEND should focus on solutions and not only problems. e) ENC updating service to be as frequent as current NTM service. f) Methods to improve ENC production site to be found. g) Cooperation between Member States in Regional Hydrographic Commissions should be encouraged to comprise ENC development and production. 	All
8	RENC Membership	IHB to advise Member States	a) RENCs should not cover overlapping charting areas and HO's should not be members of different RENC's for the same area.	All
8	RENC plans and projects	On going On going Awaiting IMO	a) Assess assistance needed to improve ENC production.b) Promote the establishment of RENC's.c) Establish with the IMO the areas that have high density	All All IHB
8		response Attached to	shipping routes to facilitate ENC production planning. d) Complete ENC Production analysis and distribute results	IHB

Agenda item	Subject	Status	Comments	Action by
(ctd)	Exchange of RENC information	WEND letter as an annexure On going	to encourage ENC production. e) RENC information should be shared by established RENCs with RENCs that are being developed.	All
11	Encryption Application Attendance to WEND Meetings	On going IHB to advise	 a) In the event of ENC contractual obligations not being met by a user, the service should not be terminated in a manner that would be a hazard to the safety of navigation, although some action has to be taken against users who refuse to renew their license. b) i) RENC representatives should be encouraged to 	All
			attend WEND Meetings as observers. ii) Regional Hydrographic Commissions should be encouraged to participate in WEND Meetings and be represented by at least one Member State.	IHB
13		On going	Cooperate with private companies in an appropriate manner.	IHB
14		IHB to attend Singapore Conf., 14-15 October 1999	Intensify contact with MEH projects and monitor development.	ІНВ
15	Venue and times of WEND Meetings	In hand	WEND Meetings should be organized at the same time as other ECDIS related Meetings and Conferences.	Chairman WEND & IHB
16	Interim Conference	In hand	Should an Interim IH Conference be held during March 2000, a WEND Report should be submitted to it and Member States should submit WEND related proposals to the WEND Chairman.	Chairman WEND & IHB
17	Date and Place of next Meeting	In hand	 a) Should an Interim IH Conference be held, WEND should meet immediately before or after the Conference. b) Should there be no Conference, IHB is to investigate a venue in South America for a meeting during January/February 2000. c) Should this not be possible, a Meeting is to be organized 	Chairman WEND & IHB

Agenda item	Subject	Status	Comments	Action by
			in Stavanger (Norway) during January/February 2000.	

LIST OF DOCUMENTS

WEND/4/1A rev.3	List of Documents
WEND/4/1B rev.2	List of Participants
WEND/4/1C rev.3	Membership of WEND
WEND/4/2A rev.1	Abridged Agenda
WEND/4/2B rev.2	Annotated Agenda
WEND/4/3A	Summary Report of the 3 rd WEND Committee Meeting
WEND/4/3B	IHB Actions (to WEND/4/3A, Annex A)
WEND/4/3C	Terms of Reference for the WEND Committee
WEND/4/4A	Summary Report of the 10 th CHRIS Meeting
WEND/4/5A	Status of IHO Publications on ECDIS
WEND/4/5B	S-57 (Ed 3.0) and the ENC Product Specification
WEND/4/5C	International Standard for ECDIS : Current Status (January 1999)
WEND/4/5D rev.1	IEC Test Standards for ECDIS : Current Status (January 1999)
WEND/4/5E	Finnish Proposal for the Re-arrangement of the Standardization Works within the IHO CHRIS Committee
WEND/4/6A rev.1	Worldwide Production of Electronic Chart Data
WEND/4/6B	ENC Development in Malaysia
WEND/3/7C	Mediterranean and Black Seas RENC
WEND/3/7D	Status report on EU/TEN-T Project
WEND/4/8A	Status Report on North European RENC "European ENC Coordinating Centre - PRIMAR, Official ENC Service"
WEND/4/10A	Virtual RENC Concept
WEND/4/11A	TAWG Report on "Encryption and ENCs - The Technology and Policy issues"
WEND/4/12A	Interim Measures to Provide Data in the absence of S-57 Data
WEND/4/13A	Relations with the private industry
WEND/4/14A	Cooperation with other international bodies
WEND/4/14B	South East Asia Marine Electronic Highway
WEND/4/14B add.1	South East Asia Marine Electronic Highway (Update)
WEND/4/15A	Format of WEND Committee Meetings
·	-

LIST OF PARTICIPANTS

Country	Name	E-mail (otherwise Fax)
Australia	Cdre Robert WILLIS	Robert.Willis.2841@navy.gov.au
	Mr. Ronald FURNESS	Ron.Furness.34742328@navy.gov.au
Canada	Mr. Mike CASEY	Caseym@dfo-mpo.gc.ca
Chile	Mr. Ricardo MONTANER	rmontaner@shoa.cl
China	Mr. Wang LIANGYU	+86 10 652 92245
Denmark	Mr. Peter JAKOBSEN	Pj@kms.dk
	Mr. Ole BERG	Olb@kms.dk
Finland	Mr. Juha KORHONEN	Juha.Korhonen@fma.fi
France	Ing. général François MILARD	milard@shom.fr
Germany	Dr. Peter EHLERS (Chairman)	Peter.ehlers@bsh.d400.de
-	Mr. Horst HECHT	Horst.Hecht@bsh.d400.de
Greece	RAdm Alexander MARATOS	Hydrose@ath.forthnet.gr
India	Capt. B.R. RAO	Nho@nde.vsnl.net.in
Indonesia	First Adm. Nicolas ELLO	+62 21 323 577
Italy	Capt. Corrado FIORI	iim@assicomitalia.it
-	LCdr. Rosario LA PIRA	***
Japan	Mr. Kunio YASHIMA	Kunio-Yashima@kaiho.motnet.go.jp
Korea (Rep. of)	Dr. Sang Hyun SUH	+82 32 885 3829
Malaysia	First Adm. Mohd RASIP	+60 3 2987972
New Zealand	Mr. John SPITTAL	jspittal@linz.govt.nz
	Mr. Dave MOLE	dmole@linz.govt.nz
Norway NMA	Mr. Knut FLÄTHEN	Official@sjo.statkart.no
NHS	Mr. Frode KLEPSVIK	Idem
ECC and PRIMAR	Mr. Asbjørn KYRKJEEIDE	Asbjorn.Kyrkjeeide@ecc.statkart.no
PRIMAR	Mr. Philip WAINWRIGHT	Philip.Wainwright@primar.org
Portugal	Mr. Luis M. Ferreira VARELA PAIS	<u>Lpais@mail.telepac.pt</u>
Singapore	Mr. Parry OEI	+65 224 8454
Sweden	Mr. Svante ASTERMO	Svante.Astermo@shipadm.se
UK	RAdm. John P. CLARKE	
	Mr. David H. McPHERSON	mcpherson@hydro.gov.uk
	Dr. Chris DRINKWATER	drinkwater@hydro.gov.uk
	Mr. Adam J. KERR	kerr@mcmail.com
	Mr. Mark HAMBREY	
USA (NOAA)	Mr. Douglas BROWN	Douglas.Brown@noaa.gov
	Capt. David MacFARLAND	
IEC	Dr. Lee ALEXANDER	<u>lalex@nh.ultranet.com</u>
IHB	RAdm. Neil GUY (Secretary)	dir1@ihb.mc

ABRIDGED AGENDA

- 1. Opening and administrative arrangements
- 2. Approval of agenda
- 3. Matters arising from minutes of 3rd WEND Meeting
- 4. Review of the links with other IHO committees (e.g. CHRIS or HGE) dealing with ECDIS
- 5. Report on status: ECDIS and ENC standards/specifications and plans
- 6. National reports on production of ENC/DNC/RNC and plans
- 7. Report on trials and general progress on ENC distribution and updating technology
- 8. Regional reports on progress and plans of RENCs and projects
- 9. Discussion on financial policies, bilateral arrangements and others matters related to reimbursement for provision of data
- 10. Examination of conceptual model for WEND. Options for organizing a worldwide database
- 11. Proposals for the implementation of RENCs
- 12. Interim measures to provide data in the absence of S-57 data
- 13. Relations with the private industry
- 14. Co-operation with other international bodies
- 15. Format of WEND Committee Meetings
- 16. Any other business
- 17. Summary of actions items identified during meeting
- 18. Date and place of next meeting

5th WEND COMMITTEE MEETING IHB, Monaco, 17-18 March 2000

MEMBERSHIP OF WEND

** = Chairman

* = Secretary

Country	Name	Fax number	E-mail
Argentina	Lt. Cdr. Miguel G. Ferrero	+54 13013883	shn@rina.hidro.gov.ar
	Lt. Viviana N. Beltran		
Australia	Cmdre Robert .J. Willis	+61 2 4221 8599	Robert.Willis.2841@navy.gov.au
	Mr. Ronald R. Furness	+61 2 4221 8680	Ron.Furness.34742328@navy.gov.
			au
Brazil	Cdr. Luiz Gonzaga Campos	+55 21 620 8861	22@dhn.mar.mil.br
Canada	Mr. Anthony D. O'Connor	+1 613 996 9053	oconnorto@dfo-mpo.gc.ca
Chile	Mr. Ricardo Montaner	+56 32 283542	rmontaner@shoa.cl
China	Mr. Jianshe Ma	+86 10 652 92245	
	Mr NG Kwok-Chu (Hong-Kong)	+ 852 2504 4527	hkhydro@hk.super.net
Cuba	Col. E.L. Rojo	+53 7 24 28 69	
Denmark	Mr. Peter Jakobsen	+45 35875059	pj@kms.dk
	Mr. Ole Berg	+45 35875057	olb@kms.dk
Finland	Mr. J. Korhonen	+358 204 484620	Juha.Korhonen@fma.fi
France	Ing. en Chef Gilles Bessero	+33 1 40659998	Gilles.Bessero@shom.fr
Germany	Dr. Peter Ehlers **	+49 40 31905000	Peter.Ehlers@bsh.d400.de
	Mr. Horst Hecht	+49 40 31905000	Horst.Hecht@bsh.d400.de
Greece	RAdm Aléxandros Maratos	+30 1 652 0224	Hydrose@ath.forthnet.gr
India	RAdm K.R. Srinivasan	+91 135 748 373	naval-hydro@X400.nicgw.nic.in
Indonesia	1st. Adm. P.Ello	+62 21 323 577	
Italy	Capt. Corrado Fiori	+39 10 261 400	iim@assicomitalia.it
Japan	Dr. Kunio Yashima	+81 3 32481250	Kunio- Yashima@kaiho.motnet.go.jp
Korea (Rep. of)	Mr. Se Yun Cho	+82 32 885 3823	master@www.nori.go.kr
Malaysia	1st. Adm. Modh Rasip	+60 3 2987972	
Netherlands	Capt. Leke P. Van der Poel	+31 70 316 2843	hydro@euronet.nl
New Zealand	Mr. John Spittal	+64 4 472-2244	jspittal@linz.govt.nz
Norway (ECC)	Mr. Asbjorn Kyrkjeeide	+47 518 58709	Asbjorn.Kyrkjeeide@ecc.statkart.n o

Country	Name	Fax number	E-mail
Norway (NHS)	Mr. Knut Flathen	+47 32 118 101	official@sjo.statkart.no
Oman	Muq. Bahry I.M. Bartholomew	+968 614 730	
Philippines	Cmdre R. Feir	+63 2 2422 090	rbf@sun1.dost.gov.ph
Portugal	Lt. Cdr. Manuel Pinto de Abreu	+351 1 397 8593	
Russian Federation	Adm. A.A. Komaritsyn	+7 812 323 7260	
Singapore	Capt. Wilson Chua	+65 224 8454	wilson@mpa.gov.sg
South Africa (Rep. of)	Capt. Derek Law	+27 21 787 2228	hydrosan@iafrica.com
Spain	Capt. D. Juan Miguel Nodar Criado	+34 56 275358	ihmesp@redestb.es
Sweden	Mr. Svante Astermo	+46 11133903	Svante.Astermo@shipadm.se
Thailand	VAdm Sinthu Wongpaibool	+66 2 472 1286	hydro@navy.mi.th
United Kingdom	Mr. David McPherson	+44 1823325522	mcpherson@hydro.gov.uk
USA (NOAA)	Capt. Nicholas Prahl	+1 301 7134019	Nick.Prahl@noaa.gov
USA (NIMA)	RAdm Chris Andreasen	+1 301 227-7649	AndreasenC@nima.mil
IHB	RAdm Neil R. Guy *	+377 93 10 81 40	dir1@ihb.mc


EXAMEN DU STATUT DE LA PRODUCTION DE DONNEES S-57 PAR LES ETATS MEMBRES DE L'OHI (Estimations - juin 1999)

<u>Note:</u> Les chiffres communiqués dans le tableau ci-dessous correspondent au nombre de cartes papier équivalentes, les expressions "ENC" et "cellules ENC" semblant être interprétées différemment en ce qui concerne leur couverture géographique.

Pays	Ed.3	Ver.2	Nat.	A jour	Com.	
Afrique du Sud	8(1)	0	0	Y	Y	
Allemagne	12	0	0	Y	$\mathbf{Y}^{\scriptscriptstyle{(7)}}$	
Australie	32(1)	0	0	N ⁽⁸⁾	N	
Belgique	1(1)	0	8 ⁽²⁾	N ⁽⁸⁾	N	
Brésil	3 ⁽¹⁾	0	0	N ⁽⁸⁾	N ⁽⁸⁾	
Canada	180	0	655(2)	Y	Y	
Chili	38 ⁽¹⁾	0	75 ⁽²⁾	$N^{(8)}$	$N^{(8)}$	
Chine	20 (1)	0	20	Y	N	
Corée (Rép.)	200	0	0	Y	Y	
Cuba	5	0	0	N	N	
Danemark	21	0	60 ⁽²⁾	Y ⁽⁷⁾	$\mathbf{Y}^{(7)}$	
Espagne (10)	4	-	50 ⁽⁶⁾	-	-	
Estonie	5 ⁽¹⁾	78	0	Y	N	
Finlande	5 ⁽¹⁾	0	35 ⁽⁴⁾	Y ⁽⁷⁾	N	
France	27	0	202	Y ⁽⁷⁾	$\mathbf{Y}^{(7)}$	
Grèce		Débi	ut prévu en	1999		
Inde	25 ⁽¹⁾	0	0	Y	N	
Indonésie ⁽⁹⁾	0	0	20 ⁽²⁾	N	N	
Italie	56 ⁽¹⁾	0	0	Y	N	
Japon (9)	151	0	0	Y	Y	
Malaisie (9)	-	-	-	-	-	
Norvège	10	26	85 ⁽³⁾	N	$\mathbf{Y}^{\scriptscriptstyle{(7)}}$	
(NHS) Nouvelle-		Dáh		1000		
Zélande		Debi	ut prévu en	1999		
Pérou	85 ⁽¹⁾	0	85 ⁽²⁾	Y	N	
Philippines		Début prévu en 1999				
Portugal	1	0	0	Y	$\mathbf{Y}^{\scriptscriptstyle{(7)}}$	
RU	60	0	0	Y	Y ⁽⁷⁾	
Russie	2000	1600	0	Y	Y	
Singapour ⁽⁹⁾	15	0	0	Y	Y	

Pays	Ed.3	Ver.2	Nat.	A jour	Com.
Suède	16(1)	0	0	N	N
Turquie	2(1)	0	27(2)	Y	N
Ukraine	10(1)	0	0	N	N
USA (NIMA)	0	0	4000(5)	N ⁽¹¹⁾	N ⁽¹¹⁾
USA (NOAA)	200(1)	0	0	Y	N
Lettonie*	6(1)	0	0	N	N

- * Etat non-membre de l'OHI
- (1) Données d'essai (contrôlées du point de vue de la qualité, pas encore sur le marché)
- (2) Format NTX
- (3) Format NSKV
- (4) Format FINGIS
- (5) DNC au format VPF
- (6) Format OPT
- (7) Via le PRIMAR (RENC Européen)
- (8) Actuellement en cours de discussion
- (9) L'Indonésie, le Japon, la Malaisie et Singapour ont conjointement produit 6 ENC dans les détroits de Malacca et de Singapour
- (10) Distribution par le PRIMAR envisagée
- (11) Prévu pour le second semestre de 1999



Version 2 ou format national (Plus couverture mondiale DNC au format VPF, par USA-NIMA)

---- Envisagé