

9th CSPWG MEETING
Seoul, Republic of Korea, 13-16 November, 2012

Paper for Consideration by CSPCWG
Report from DQWG

Submitted by:	Chairman
Executive Summary:	Relevant extracts from DQWG6 (July 2012) and HSSC4 (September 2012)
Related Documents:	CSPCWG9-08.6A
Related Projects:	None

Report from DQWG6, July 2012

The majority of DQWG business is ENC-focussed and there is little impact on CSPCWG matters. The following are relevant extracts from minutes of DQWG6 meeting, Silver Spring, USA (July 2012).

- **Review of actions, DQWG4-9A: *DQWG Membership*** – Google group has many limitations due to office e-mail restrictions. Therefore Google group will be discontinued and the IHB will keep a master list of DQWG membership.
Comment: to note that using a ‘Google group’ for CSPCWG would not be a good option.
- **Action DQWG5-2A: *Sharing of data quality survey with CSPCWG*** – Complete; SH provided paper to CSPCWG. No feedback relevant to the DQWG conclusions received from CSPCWG.
Comment: CSPCWG Secretary advised DQWG Chair of his interpretations of the DQWG survey responses; in reciprocation, DQWG Chair had some input to the paper circulated to CSPCWG members under WG Letter 07/2012 (June 2012).
- **DQWG5-2B: *IHO CL reporting on the data quality survey results to MS*** – Complete; see IHO CL 2012/58.
- **DQWG5-2C: *Reporting on data quality survey results to CSPCWG*** – Complete.
- **DQWG5-4B: *Existence Doubtful (ED)*** – In progress; DQWG Chair discussed with CSPCWG Secretary. CSPCWG to discuss.
Comment: this will be pursued at agenda item 8.6. (see Appendix to CSPCWG9-8.6A Annex C)
- **Any Other Business: *DQWG6-10A Depiction of CATZOC for Unsurveyed Areas after Disaster (Japan); DQWG6-10B Chart action taken after the great Earthquake and the great Tsunami (Japan)*** - Paper from Japan on CATZOC following an extreme event was reviewed. DQWG considered that U was not appropriate due to the lack of information it provides. CATZOC D was found more appropriate as the definitions seem to fit with the conditions after an extreme event like an earthquake and tsunami.
Comment: this recommendation supports the same recommendation from CSPCWG.

Report to HSSC, September 2012

DQWG Chairman reported to HSSC4 (HSSC4-05.6A):

- A work package is being undertaken by a Masters student at the University of Southern Mississippi. This will look at data quality in ENCs in terms of its visualisation and potential composite indicators. The goal is to move on from

the current CATZOC indicators. The study will report to DQWG7, July 2013.

A further paper was presented to HSSC4 (HSSC4-05.6B):

- Proposals were made for clarifications regarding the population of CATZOC in the Use of the Object Catalogue (S-57 Appendix B.1, Annex A) section 2.2.3:
 - A proposal for the application in areas of mobile seafloor was rejected;
 - A proposal for the application in areas affected by extreme events (eg tsunami – DQWG6 item 10 above refers) was endorsed.

Action required of CSPCWG.

The CSPCWG is invited to:

- note these extracts from DQWG6 and HSSC4