## INTERNATIONAL HYDROGRAPHIC ORGANIZATION



## ORGANISATION HYDROGRAPHIQUE INTERNATIONALE

# CHART STANDARDIZATION & PAPER CHART WORKING GROUP (CSPCWG)

[A Working Group of the Hydrographic Services and Standards Committee (HSSC)]

Chairman: Peter JONES

Secretary: Andrew HEATH-COLEMAN

UK Hydrographic Office Admiralty Way, Taunton, Somerset TA1 2DN, United Kingdom

CSPCWG Letter: 12/2009

UKHO ref: HA317/010/031-06

Telephone:

(Chairman) +44 (0) 1823 337900 ext 5035 (Secretary) +44 (0) 1823 337900 ext 3656 Facsimile: +44 (0) 1823 325823 E-mail: peter jones@ukho.gov.uk andrew.coleman@ukho.gov.uk

To CSPCWG Members

Date 8 October 2009

Dear Colleagues,

#### Subject: Draft revision of S-49 (further to letter 06/2009)

The task to revise IHO Special Publication No.49 'Recommendations concerning Mariners' Routeing Guides' (CHRIS19 Action 24) has been progressed by our S-49 sub-WG, under the leadership of Germany (Jens Schröder-Fürstenberg). CSPCWG Letter 06/2009 invited you to comment on the new draft document produced by the sub-WG. This letter is to inform you of subsequent progress.

Thank you to the 12 WG members who responded to Letter 06/2009 providing comments on the 'alpha version' draft of S-49 Edition 2. Annex A summarizes the responses, and includes numerous additional comments. Jens has worked through all of these, amending the draft as appropriate. The 'beta version' of the S-49 draft document is now attached (at Annex B) and includes track changes which identify the recent amendments. (Please ignore the page numbering, which is invalidated by incorporating the document as an Annex to this letter.)

It is hoped that this revised draft (beta version) meets with your approval. One specific question remains, resulting from a proposal to include some 'thumbnail' images of current Mariners' Routeing Guides (MRG) within the document (perhaps of  $400 \times 300 \text{px}$ ). However, because of the high text-based content of MRG, I am not sure the clarity of such 'thumbnails' will prove to be a useful addition. An alternative may be simply to list the MRG which are currently available. Your advice on this matter is requested in the response form at Annex C, which may also be used should you have any remaining comments. **Please respond to me by 5 November 2009**.

I will present the document in its current state as an Information Paper to HSSC1. This will provide advance notification prior to formally submitting the final version to IHO Member States for approval by Circular Letter later this year.

Yours sincerely,

Peter Jones

Chairman CSPCWG

Annexes: A. Consolidated responses to CSPCWG Letter 6/2009

B. S-49 'Standardization of Mariners' Routeing Guides' ('Beta version' of draft Edition 2)

C. Response form

### Annex A to CSPCWG Letter 12/2009

### Consolidated responses to CSPCWG Letter 6/2009 (ordered by date comments received)

Item	Responding HO	Comment and proposals	Decision
All	Greece	no comments; agreed	
2.5	France	2.5 Language and symbology*	implemented; see comments
		In the previous edition it was stated:	
		"The Guide should be produced in the English*	
		*language, and may additionally also be produced in	
		the National language, if other than English, by the	
		producer nation. If in chart format, space limitations	
		will probably preclude a bilingual single product.	
		Although the Guide is not recommended to be an	
		INT series product"	
		In the draft of edition 2, it is proposed:	
		"The Guide should be produced in the English*	
		*language. The Guide is recommended to be an	
		International (INT) Chart series product"	
		Obviously I agree that the guide is normally	
		designed to be used for international shipping and	
		then should be produced in the English* *language.	
		However, national users who are not familiar with	
		English language should be informed with an	
		appropriate product. Note that the guide gives a lot	
		of textual explanations (contrary to a nautical chart) and then national language is more suitable for	
		national users.	
		So, Guide in national language may be required.	
		This kind of guides, even in national language,	
		should benefit from recommendations of the IHO	
		and thus to be considered for being in accordance with it.	
		Also, I suggest the following text:	
		"The guide is normally designed to be used for	
		international shipping and then should be produced	
		in the English* *language. The guide may also be	
		produced in the National language, if other than	
		English. Symbols and abbreviations in the Guide	
		should be according to IHO chart specifications (M-	
		4 and INT 1) for nautical charts; exceptions should	
		be explained in the Guide. The Guide is	
		recommended to be an International (INT) Chart series product. An International Guide must be	
		produced in the English language."	
		In the draft of edition 2, the Guide is also	
		recommended to be an International (INT) Chart	will be done by Beta
		series product. This should be submitted to MS	version which is released
		approval. I am not convinced that all principles for	with this CSPCWG letter
		"INT charts" will simply apply due to the form of the	
		product, especially its textual aspect.	

Item	Responding HO	Comment and proposals	Decision
		At least, neighbouring members should be	
		encouraged to cooperate in areas concerned.	
2.7		2.7 Geographic areas requiring guides*	We decided to let
			recommendations about
		The previous edition gives a list of geographic areas	the regions out of the
		where MRG should be useful.	scope. That allows more
			flexibility for future work.
		This list has been removed in the draft. It seems	
		important to define which areas of the world should	Sandan and all and
		be covered. If the list is not reviewed with the new	implemented; see comments
		edition, I presume that each regional hydrographic commission should review the list as for INT Charts	comments
		and should identify the producer of the INT MRG.	
		Perhaps, this aspect should be mentioned in the S-	
		49	
All	New Zealand	no comments; agreed	
All	Spain	agreed; FR comments should be taken into	done
7 (11	Орант	consideration	done
page 6	Norway	Introduction, second paragraph, end of first line:	amended; same spotted
1-3-	,	Secial to be changed to Special.	by AU
page 6		Format. We suggest a couple of small chart	to be considered by the
2.1		examples to be inserted as supplement to the	group; is forwarded for
		textual recommendations.	decision
page 7		Language and symbology, M-4 should probably be	amended; same spotted
2.5		changed to S-4.	by AU
general		S-49 should perhaps have some kind of reference	implemented; see
		to existing digital MRGs.	comments by N2005;
			We decided to not include
			references to digital
			versions; we saw the risk
			of broken links and the
			necessity to maintain only
			URL for such digital version. The solution
			provided sound
			reasonable.
All	Japan	no comments; but wonders if S-49 relates to the	You are totally right
, wi	Саран	plan that the data of Sailing Direction and ENC	pointing us to the SNPWG
		shows on display in the future.	plans. We revised the
			recommendation knowing
			that we need one as long
			as printed pubs will exists
All	Sweden	no comments; agreed	
All	Australia	file with editorial changes provided	amended see NO
All	Netherlands	file with proposed amendments provided	implemented; see
			comments by N2005
			Digital version see reply to
A 11	Delicates		NO
All	Pakistan	no comments	
All	Finland	no comments	
All	US	no comments	

Annex B to CSPCWG Letter 12/2009

### INTERNATIONAL HYDROGRAPHIC ORGANIZATION



**S-49** 

### Standardization of Mariners' Routeing Guides

Edition 2.00 - November 2009

Beta version October 2009

**Commentaire [N20051]:** to be removed on release

Published by the INTERNATIONAL HYDROGRAPHIC BUREAU MONACO

4, Quai Antoine 1er
B.P 445 - MC 98011 MONACO Cedex
Principauté de Monaco
Telefax: (+377) 93 10 81 40
Web http://www.iho-ohi.net

Page intentionally left blank

#### **FOREWORD**

IHO Publication S-49 provides a standardized structure for the preparation and publication of Mariners' Routeing Guides.

The text of this document (S-49) was prepared by a sub-working group of the Chart Standardization and Paper Chart Working Group (CSPCWG) in 2009. It is the result of a comprehensive review of the 1st Edition, published in 1985 and titled 'Recommendations Concerning Mariners' Routeing Guides (IHO Special Publication No. 49)'.

This publication was subject to IHO Member States' approval (IHO Circular Letter ??/2009 refers).

Commentaire [N20052]: proposed by AU

Page intentionally left blank

### Contents

**Commentaire [c3]:** please ignore page numbers here; the field will be updated when final version prepared.

1.	Intr	oduction		6
2.	Re	commenda	ations	6
	2.1			
	2.2	Layout		6
	2.3	Title		6
	2.4		ance	
	2.5	Language	e and symbology	7
	2.6	Use of ac	cronyms and abbreviations	7
	2.7		hic areas requiring guides	
	2.8		nformation	
3.			ecommended content of a Mariners' Routeing Guide)	
	3.1 De			8
	3.1		Mariners' Routeing Guide (MRG)8	
	3.1		ssential8	
	3.1		Iseful8	
	3.1		lot appropriate8	
	3.1		Jse of IMO 'Ships' Routeing'8	
	3.2		ended content of a Mariners' Routeing Guide	9
	3.2		numeration order9	
	3.2	.2 S	Subject matter considered ESSENTIAL9	
	3.2		Subject matter considered USEFUL11	
	3.2	.4 S	Subject matter considered NOT APPROPRIATE13	

#### 1. Introduction

The IHO publication 'Standardization of Mariners' Routeing Guides' evolved in response to an opinion by the International Maritime Organization (IMO) that in areas where there is a complex routeing system, comprising several related routeing measures with lengthy special provisions and associated recommendations on navigation by vessels using the system, a special routeing guide might be prepared which would give full information on all aspects of the routeing measures and that it would be desirable to have a degree of standardisation of such guides.

The background on the establishment of the original ad hoc Working Group (WG), which prepared Special Publication (SP) No. 49 Edition 1 and its procedures, appears in the progress report presented to the XIIth International Hydrographic Conference (IHC) in April 1982 (CONF.XII/DOC.18). The background on the establishment of the sub-WG of CSPCWG, which prepared Edition 2 and its procedures, appears in Committee on Hydrographic Requirements for Information Systems (CHRIS) paper 19-11.1A, based on an explanatory note provided by Finland to the 12<sup>th</sup> Baltic Sea Hydrographic Conference (BSHC) Meeting. The revision of SP No. 49 was assigned to the Chart Standardization and Paper Chart Working Group (CSPCWG) under CHRIS Action Item 19/24. It was affirmed by CHRIS20-01D and CHRIS20-06.5A.

The revision (for this Edition 2) was based on consideration of the content of several existing Mariners' Routeing Guides produced by various Hydrographic Offices and is a result of an interactive process between the sub-working group members.

#### 2. Recommendations

#### 2.1 Format

Mariners' Routeing Guides should normally be designed in paper chart format, although in certain circumstances a booklet format may be more appropriate. The size and shape of the geographic area to be covered and the amount of information to be included are key factors in the selection of the format.

Mariners' Routeing Guides may also be produced in digital form. In digital form, it is recommended that the content is in accordance with S-49 recommendations. However, the design of a digital format 'Mariners' Routeing Guide' is not within the scope of this S-49 document.

#### 2.2 Layout

The layout of a guide should include both a plan of the relevant geographic area on which the routeing measures, major aids to navigation, etc. are shown graphically, and text giving appropriate guidance concerning passage planning, routeing, etc.

The guide, if in chart form, should be designed to be folded, so that it can be easily utilised by the mariner at the chart table, in conjunction with the appropriate nautical charts for the area.

#### 2.3 Title

The title should be 'Mariners' Routeing Guide' followed by the appropriate geographic area name. This reflects the IMO-defined term, 'Routeing', noting that the guide must cover a complex routeing system, and the fact that the guide is designed for use by the mariner (as opposed, for example, to the IMO publication 'Ships' Routeing', which is designed for use by Administrations). It should also help differentiate such guides from other special guidance products (approaches to ports, etc.) which are highly useful in their own way but do not relate to IMO-adopted routeing systems.

#### 2.4 Maintenance

Maintaining the guide by Notice to Mariners (NtM) is necessary to ensure the credibility of the product in the eyes of the mariner. Experience with existing guides has shown that comparatively few NtM are required to keep them maintained. Recommended criteria for the selection of information to be included in the guide excludes information subject to frequent change - such information should rather appear in the basic nautical product dealing with the subject matter, such as standard nautical charts and associated nautical publications.

**Commentaire [j4]:** Inserted by sub-WG Chairman Jens S-F

#### 2.5 Language and symbology

The 'Mariners' Routeing Guide' is normally designed to be used for international shipping and should be produced in the English language. The 'Mariners' Routeing Guide' may also be produced in the National language, if other than English.

Symbols and abbreviations in the guide should be according to IHO chart specifications (S-4 and INT 1) for nautical charts; exceptions should be explained in the guide.

The 'Mariners' Routeing Guide' is recommended to be an International (INT) Chart series product. An INT chart 'Mariners' Routeing Guide' must be produced in the English language. The INT chart producer should be identified by the relevant Regional Hydrographic Commission or its delegated International Charting Coordination Working Group.

#### 2.6 Use of acronyms and abbreviations

In all text, acronyms and other abbreviations which may be unfamiliar to the average navigator should be avoided unless their definitions are also included in the guide.

#### 2.7 Geographic areas requiring guides

Routeing Guides should only be published for those waters where there is a clear need, which must include complex routeing system(s). Such guides will therefore serve as a forewarning to the mariner of the need for special care in the area covered. The views of the mariner on the areas for which guides would be most useful should be sought before embarking on the development of the product.

#### 2.8 Type of Information

The recommendations contained in the Appendix are focused on the following:

- a. the type of information essential to be included.
- b. the type of additional information which might usefully be included if space permits.
- c. the type of information which should not be included because, for example, it would either encourage mis-use of the guide or would be subject to such frequent change that it would present a significant maintenance problem.

Commentaire [j5]: <u>Inserted</u> by sub-WG Chairman replacing 'The Guide should be produced in the English language. The Guide is recommended to be an International (INT) Chart series product.

**Commentaire [N20056]:** Following advice from FR:

1. added national language as an option; 2.added responsibility for chart producer

#### 3. Appendix (Recommended content of a Mariners' Routeing Guide)

#### 3.1 Definitions

#### 3.1.1 Mariners' Routeing Guide (MRG)

A guidance document designed to be used in conjunction with nautical charts and other nautical publications for geographic areas where there are IMO-adopted, complex routeing systems comprising several related routeing measures with lengthy special provisions and associated recommendations on navigation by vessels using the system. The MRG is intended to provide the mariner with the special information required for planning safe navigation in the described area.

#### 3.1.2 Essential

Items recommended as ESSENTIAL for a Mariners' Routeing Guide concern those subjects of major importance to safety of navigation guidance information. Not all items may be applicable to all geographic areas considered by such guides because of differences in either environmental or administrative conditions. However, where an ESSENTIAL subject is not applicable (e.g. tides are a negligible factor, or there is no Broadcast Information Service) consideration should be given to the inclusion of a statement that the particular subject is not of significance or does not exist in the area.

#### 3.1.3 Useful

Items recommended as USEFUL for a Mariners' Routeing Guide concern subjects of importance to safe navigation in a particular geographic area on which information would be helpful to the mariner and its collection in a single document (the MRG) would facilitate its use. Not all items will be applicable to all geographic areas considered by such guides, and the relative importance of the items will vary between geographic areas. USEFUL items should be reviewed for each geographic area and those deemed of higher priority should be included in the guide whenever space permits.

#### 3.1.4 Not appropriate

Items recommended as NOT APPROPRIATE for a Mariners' Routeing Guide are those which either do not contribute to the enhancement of safety of navigation, or those which by their inclusion may actually reduce safety by obscuring presentation of essential information or by enticing the mariner to use the MRG as a nautical chart. In addition, information subject to frequent change should be avoided, being left to appear in the appropriate standard nautical document which is maintained by regular Notices to Mariners.

#### 3.1.5 Use of IMO 'Ships' Routeing'

'Ships' Routeing', published by the IMO, is the authoritative publication to which hydrographic offices should turn for details of routeing measures adopted by IMO. The publication is primarily directed to Administrations and not designed for shipboard use. Hydrographic offices should take this into account in ensuring that all general and special rules, provisions and recommendations from the publication are given in adequate detail in any relevant guides.

### 3.2 Recommended content of a Mariners' Routeing Guide

### 3.2.1 Enumeration order

Items are ordered according to the following enumeration for the categories ESSENTIAL (E), USEFUL (U) and NOT APPROPRIATE (N):

- Plan(s) of the area (graphics)
   Passage planning (textual)
   Routeing (textual)
   Communications (textual)
   Pilotage (textual)

- 6. Natural Environment (textual)
- 7. General

### 3.2.2 Subject matter considered ESSENTIAL

No.	Item	Special comments
E 1	Plan(s) of the area (graphics),	Graphics should be as large scale as possible to
	depicting:	cover area required.
E 1.1	Routeing Measures.	Traffic separation schemes, deep-water routes, areas to be avoided, two-way routes and associated roundabouts, precautionary areas, inshore traffic zones, etc. – use standard chart symbols, where possible. Principal lines of traffic flow may be clarified by the use of tinted flowlines.
E1.2	Major Aids to Navigation associated with Routeing Measures, including those which help to relate routeing locations to features shown on standard charts.	Show position and any associated identifying name for correlation with the text, but do not show characteristics (e.g. light description), to reduce maintenance effort.
E 1.3	Warning that Plans are to be used for Navigational planning only and that this Guide must be used only in conjunction with, and as supplementary information to, the appropriate standard nautical chart(s) and other publications while navigating in the area.	
E 1.4	Legend (key or symbols). Plan(s) to have graduated borders. Plan(s) to show significant names.	To facilitate cross-reference to other publications and the inclusion of changes notified in NtM.
E 1.5	Depth limitations.	Alternatively, a statement on depth limitations may be given in E 2.3. Selected depth contours may be given in U 1.1.
E 1.6	Pilot boarding places. Officially designated anchorages or waiting areas. Cargo transhipment areas.	
E 1.7	Points, or lines marking boundaries, where radio reporting is required.	Graphic to complement information in text.
E1.8	Locations with names of Traffic Surveillance Stations.	

No.	Item	Special comments	
E 2	Passage planning (textual)		
E 2.1	IMO-approved Rules or Recommendations on planning a passage through the area.	Not necessary to include the verbatim text of the IMO 'Rules and Recommendations on Navigation' which accompany some routeing measures, but give enough detail to remove need for the mariner to consult the verbatim text which appears in the IMO document 'Ships' Routeing' and in nautical publications. Consideration should be given to distinguishing IMO-approved rules and recommendations from other advice, e.g. by sidelining, or printing in a distinctive colour.	
E 2.2	Specific advice, extracted where necessary from national Sailing Directions, on planning a passage through the area.		
E 2.3	Underkeel clearance criteria and specific advice to deep draught vessels (if not included in E 2.1 or E 2.2).	Cross-referenced to U 6.1. Could include diagram to show predicted squat for large vessels, examples of calculations of 'Tidal Windows', etc.	
E 3	Routeing (textual)		
E 3.1	IMO-adopted Special Provisions and Warnings relating to specific Routeing Measures.	Not necessary to include the verbatim text of the IMO adopted 'Special Provisions' and 'Warnings' but give enough detail to remove any need for the mariner to consult the IMO document 'Ships' Routeing', or verbatim text from that document reproduced in nautical publications.	
E 3.2	National rules and recommendations relating to specific Routeing Measures.		
E 4	Communications (textual)		
E 4.1	Vessel Reporting Systems.	Voluntary and mandatory radio reporting, with message formats.	
E 4.2	Broadcast Information Services (Shipping movements, Navigational Warnings, Weather).	Giving times if practicable, frequencies, languages of broadcasts, etc.	
E 5	Pilotage (textual)		
E 5.1	Compulsory Pilotage Information.		
E 5.2 E 5.3	Contact and Boarding Area Information.  Helicopter Boarding Guidance, where specific to the area.	Primarily off-shore or deep-sea pilotage, rather than harbour pilotage	

### 3.2.3 Subject matter considered USEFUL

No.	Item	Special comments
U 1	Plan(s) of the area (graphics),	
	depicting:	
U 1.1	Selected Depth Contours.	
U 1.2	All significant Aids to Navigation in area associated with Routeing Measures, including those helping to relate routeing locations to features shown on standard nautical charts.	Show positions and any associated identifying names for correlation with the text, but do not include characteristics (e.g. light characteristics), to reduce maintenance effort.
U 1.3	Positions and names of Rescue Centres.	
U 1.4	Ferry crossings.	
U 1.5	Indication of general pattern of traffic flow.	If they regularly represent possible hazards. Occasional possible hazards (e.g. military practice
U 1.7	Zones, with times, of heavy fishing in vicinity of routeing measures.	and exercise area, oil exploration activity, yachting activity) may be mentioned in text.
U 1.8	Zones under Constant Radar Surveillance.	
U 2	Passage planning (textual)	
U 2.1	General Planning Principles.	Include four stages: Appraisal, Planning, Execution, Monitoring.
U 3	Routeing (textual)	
U 3.1	Selected quotations from IMO's document 'Ships' Routeing', Part A, General Provisions.	Particularly relevant for navigators are:  § 2 Definitions and § 8 The use of routeing systems.
U 3.2	Quotations from, or discussion of Rule 10 COLREG.	g o The use of foutering systems.
U 4	Communications (textual)	
U 4.1	Maritime Assistance Service.	General introduction and contact details.
U 4.2	Maritime Safety Information.	Type of information provided and appropriate transmission methods.
U 4.2	Weather forecast areas.	
U 4.3	NAVTEX areas.	
U 4.4	Communication with port of destination.	
U 4.5	ISPS Reports.	Only if specific to area.
U 4.6	Reports according to supra-national guidances.	Only if specific to area.
U 6	Natural Environment (textual)	
U 6.1	Tidal height (preferable by Co-tidal diagrams), negative surges, and swell.	Important feature where underkeel margins are critical and where tides must be exploited for safe passage of deep draft vessels. Details will depend on situation.
U 6.2	Currents and tidal streams.	
U 6.3	Visibility Restrictions.	
U 6.4	Ice Information.	Only if specific to area; preferably indicate maximum limit of coverage.
U 6.5	Sea level.	Only if specific to area.
U 7	General	
U 7.1	References to other publications.	
U 7.2	Nautical Chart Index	Not necessary to include if space is limited; reference to chart catalogue is preferred.
U 7.3	Recommended Nautical Charts.	General remark stating that the appropriate nautical charts with the best scale available should always be used. Refer to both paper and digital charts.

U 7.4 Anchorage Area Guidance.	

### 3.2.4 Subject matter considered NOT APPROPRIATE

No.	Item	Special comments
N 1	Plan(s) of the area (graphics),	
	depicting:	
N 1.1	Soundings.	To discourage the use of Guide plans as substitutes
N 1.2	Detailed characteristics of local Aids to Navigation.	for nautical charts.
N 1.3	Locations of Coast Radio Stations, with names and call signs	
N 2	Passage planning (textual)	
N 2.1	Verbatim wording of preambles to IMO Resolutions, although the Recommendations themselves will often appear under E 2.1.	For example, 'The Assembly, recalling Art. 16 (1) of the Conventionbeing aware ofbeing also aware of'
N 4	Communications (textual)	
N 4.1	Two-way Radio Communications other than vessel reporting systems.	
N 4.2	GMDSS.	Not considered necessary as general information, not specific to area.
N 8	Reference to electronic navigational aids (textual)	
N 8.1	Racons.	

### Annex C to CSPCWG Letter 12/2009

### RESPONSE FORM

### PLEASE SEND TO

jens.schroeder-fuerstenberg@bsh.de WITH COPY TO

andrew.coleman@ukho.gov.uk by 5 November 2009

No	QUESTION (please do not answer YES to more than one)	YES	NO
1a	Do you support the inclusion of thumbnail images of sample MRGs within document		
	S-49?		
	If you reply 'Y', and your office produces a MRG, please attach a sample thumbnail		
	image of each document, suitably titled, that you are willing to release for inclusion		
1b	Do you support the inclusion of a list of MRGs available (at November 2009)		
	Whether you reply 'Y' or 'N', please supply a list of MRGs that your office		
	publishes(i.e. chart reference numbers)		
1c	Do you consider that there is no requirement to include further details of specific or		
	sample MRGs within document S-49?		

Any other comments on beta draft at Annex  $B.\dots\dots$ 

Name: Member State: Date: