

**FOURTH MEETING OF THE IHO INTER REGIONAL COORDINATION COMMITTEE  
IHO-IRCC4  
Singapore, 7-8 June 2012**

**INPUT TO AND FROM THE IHO STRATEGIC PLANNING MECHANISM**

**Submitted by the IRCC Chairman**

In accordance with IRCC PRO-1 the 18<sup>th</sup> IHC agreed to postpone any revision of the IHO Strategic Plan adopted in 2009 and welcomed the monitoring system to be put in place by the IHB Directing Committee based on the Strategic Performance Indicators (SPI) of the Strategic Plan (see CONF.18/WP.1/Add.2). Moreover, the HSSC and the IRCC were invited to review the working level performance indicators relevant to them.

Based on the discussion of WPIs at IRCC3 (see IRCC3-06.2) and the IHB monitoring table of SPIs, the IRCC is invited to consider the following elements of its contribution to the Strategic Plan monitoring system:

- a) IRCC to agree on its contribution to the monitoring of SPIs based on the SPI table in Annex A;
- b) IRCC to review the WPI table in Annex B and any alternative proposals and to agree on a limited set of basic and representative WPIs suited to the IRCC component of the IHO 2013-2017 Work Plan and on a monitoring framework;
- c) IRCC to invite RHCs and IRCC subsidiary organs to provide to the IRCC Chair the estimated values of SPIs and agreed WPIs as of 31 December 2012 and target values for 31 December 2017 by end of January 2013.
- d) IRCC Chair to report to the IHB Directing Committee on the IRCC contribution to the Strategic Plan monitoring system in the IRCC Annual Report for 2012 by the end of February 2013.

**Annex A to document IRCC4-06.2**

**IRCC contribution to monitoring Strategic Performance Indicators**

<b>No PI</b>	<b>Designation</b>	<b>IRCC Contribution</b>	<b>Comments</b>
SPI 1	Number and percentage of Coastal States providing ENC coverage directly or through an agreement with a third party. (Previous year figures in brackets)	Source: collated by the WEND WG through RHCs.	
SPI 2	Growth in ENC coverage worldwide, as reported in the IHO on-line catalogue, relative to the existing gap in adequate coverage (as defined by IMO/NAV) from the benchmark 01 Aug. 2008.	Source: collated by WEND WG from the IHO on-line catalogue.	
SPI 3	Percentage of Coastal States which provide hydrographic services, directly or through an agreement with a third party, categorized by CB phases, as defined by the IHO Capacity Building Strategy.	Source: collated by CBSC through RHCs.	
SPI 4	Percentage of "acceptable" CB requests which are planned. <b>SPI 4bis</b> Percentage of planned CB requests which are subsequently delivered.	Source: collated by CBSC.	
SPI 5	Number of standards issued (including new editions), per category: - hydrographic standards to enhance safety of navigation at sea, - protection of the marine environment, - maritime security, - economic development.	No IRCC contribution (Source: collated by HSSC).	
SPI 6	Number of potential new IHO MS (indicated by the start of the application process) relative to the number of "non-IHO" IMO MS.	No IRCC contribution (Source: collated by IHB through the government of Monaco).	
SPI 7	Increase in participation / membership in RHCs.	Source: collated by IRCC through RHCs.	

<b>No PI</b>	<b>Designation</b>	<b>IRCC Contribution</b>	<b>Comments</b>
SPI 8	Percentage of available / agreed ENC schemes.	Source: collated by the WEND WG through RHCs or International Charting Coordination Working Groups (ICCWG).	See table in IRCC Annual Report for 2011.

**Annex B to document IRCC4-06.2**

**Selection of IRCC Working Level Indicators**

<b>No PI</b>	<b>Designation</b>	<b>IRCC Contribution</b>	<b>Comments</b>
WPI 15	Growth in ENC coverage worldwide, as reported in the IHO on-line catalogue, relative to the existing gap in adequate coverage (as defined by IMO/NAV) from the benchmark 01 Aug. 2008.	Source: collated by the WEND WG through RHCs.	Associated with SPI 1.
WPI 16	Number of additional IHO MS starting to produce & maintain (with/without support) relevant ENCs (contributing to 'adequate coverage') in the reporting period relative to those already producing at 01 Aug. 2008.	Source: collated by the WEND WG through RHCs.	Associated with SPI 1.
WPI 17	Percentage of Coastal States delivering hydrographic services - categorized by CB phases (MSI services, surveying capabilities, charting capabilities), directly or through an agreement with a third party, at the end of the reporting period.	Source: collated by the CBSC through RHCs.	Y/N assessment for each Coastal State and each CB phase.
WPI 18	Percentage of IHO MS updating their C-55 entry data regarding hydrography survey, INT charts, ENC, and MSI in the reporting period.	Source: collated by IRCC through RHCs.	
WPI 19	Status of hydrographic surveys in each region.	Source: collated by IRCC through RHCs.	Metrics to be defined.
WPI 20	Percentage of agreed INT chart schemes, percentage of INT charts available.	Source: collated by IRCC through RHCs or ICCWGs.	See table in IRCC Annual Report for 2011.
WPI 21	Percentage of agreed ENC schemes, percentage of ENC available.	Source: collated by WEND WG through RHCs or ICCWGs.	Associated with SPI 8. See table in IRCC Annual Report for 2011.
WPI 22	Increase in effective MS participation in RHC activities.	Source: collated by IRCC through RHCs.	

WPI 23	Percentage of Coastal States which are IHO Member States.	Source: collated by the IHB.	
WPI 24	Number of new Coastal States joining the IHO during the reporting period.	Source: collated by the IHB.	
WPI 25	Number of potential new IHO MS (indicated by the start of the application process) relative to the number of "non-IHO" IMO MS.	Source: collated by the IHB.	
WPI 26	Percentage of Coastal States which have achieved phase 1, 2 or 3 and established a National Hydrographic Office.	Source: collated by CBSC through RHCs.	
WPI 27	Number of States which have achieved phase 1, 2 or 3 and established a National Hydrographic Office in the reporting period.	Source: collated by CBSC through RHCs.	
WPI 28	Percentage of Coastal States which provide ENC coverage directly or through an agreement with a third party.	Source: collated by the WEND WG through RHCs.	
WPI 29	Percentage of Coastal States which have set up a national geospatial infrastructure.	Source: collated by IRCC through RHCs.	
WPI 40	Number of agreements signed in the reporting period, including bilaterals and RENC membership, etc.	Source: collated by IRCC through RHCs.	
WPI 41	Percentage of planned CB events that are achieved.	Source: collated by CBSC.	
WPI 42	Number of acceptable CB requests received.	Source: collated by CBSC.	
WPI 43	Percentage of "acceptable" CB requests which are planned.	Source: collated by CBSC.	