

Response to Action Item IRCC5/19

From: Eastern Atlantic Hydrographic Commission

EAtHC input to IHO Strategic Performance Indicators and IRCC Working Level Indicators

No PI	Designation	IRCC Contribution	Comments	EAtHC input
SPI 1	Number and percentage of Coastal States providing ENC coverage directly or through an agreement with a third party. (Previous year figures in brackets)	Source: collated by the WEND WG through RHCs.		52% (12 CS) of EAtHC's Coastal States provides ENC coverage
SPI 2	Growth in ENC coverage worldwide, as reported in the IHO on-line catalogue, relative to the existing gap in adequate coverage (as defined by IMO/NAV) from the benchmark 01 Aug. 2008.	Source: collated by WEND WG from the IHO on-line catalogue.		
SPI 3	Percentage of Coastal States which provide hydrographic services, directly or through an agreement with a third party, categorized by CB phases, as defined by the IHO Capacity Building Strategy.	Source: collated by CBSC through RHCs.		52% (12 CS) of EAtHC's Coastal States provides hydrographic services
SPI 4	Percentage of "acceptable" CB requests which are planned. SPI 4bis Percentage of planned CB requests which are subsequently delivered.	Source: collated by CBSC.		100%
SPI 5	Number of standards issued (including new editions), per category: - hydrographic standards to enhance safety of navigation at sea, - protection of the marine environment, - maritime security, - economic development.	No IRCC contribution (Source: collated by HSSC).		0

SPI 6	Number of potential new IHO MS (indicated by the start of the application process) relative to the number of "non-IHO" IMO MS.	No IRCC contribution (Source: collated by IHB through the government of Monaco).		
SPI 7	Increase in participation / membership in RHCs.	Source: collated by IRCC through RHCs.		All countries in the region are members/observers of EAtHC. 60% participation rate at EAtHC12 (2012). A 10% average increase since EAtHC11 (47%,2010) and EAtHC10 (52%,2008).
SPI 8	Percentage of available / agreed ENC schemes.	Source: collated by the WEND WG through RHCs or International Charting Coordination Working Groups (ICCWG).	See table in IRCC Annual Report for 2011.	100% of the actual ENC scheme have been agreed by Region G ICC WG members. UB1-2 coverage is 100% UB3-4-5-6 coverage is more than 95%

Selection of IRCC Working Level Indicators (document IRCC4-06.2)

No PI	Designation	IRCC Contribution	Comments	NSHC input
WPI 15	Growth in ENC coverage worldwide, as reported in the IHO on-line catalogue, relative to the existing gap in adequate coverage (as defined by IMO/NAV) from the benchmark 01 Aug. 2008.	Source: collated by the WEND WG through RHCs.	Associated with SPI 1.	N/A
WPI 16	Number of additional IHO MS starting to produce & maintain (with/without support) relevant ENCs (contributing to 'adequate coverage') in the reporting period relative to those already producing at 01 Aug. 2008.	Source: collated by the WEND WG through RHCs.	Associated with SPI 1.	Morocco
WPI 17	Percentage of Coastal States delivering hydrographic services - categorized by CB phases (MSI services, surveying capabilities, charting capabilities), directly or through an agreement with a third party, at the end of the reporting period.	Source: collated by the CBSC through RHCs.	Y/N assessment for each Coastal State and each CB phase.	52% (12 CS) of EAtHC's Coastal States provides hydrographic services
WPI 18	Percentage of IHO MS updating their C-55 entry data regarding hydrography survey, INT charts, ENC, and MSI in the reporting period.	Source: collated by IRCC through RHCs.		C-55 entry data of 11 countries out of 23 (48%) are updated regularly.
WPI 19	Status of hydrographic surveys in each region.	Source: collated by IRCC through RHCs.	Metrics to be defined.	No overall survey status determined at the scale of the entire region so far. Only C-55 data is available. This issue is now considered as a CB priority.
WPI 20	Percentage of agreed INT chart schemes, percentage of INT charts available.	Source: collated by IRCC through RHCs or ICCWGs.	See table in IRCC Annual Report for 2011.	100% of the actual INT scheme have been agreed by Region G ICC WG members. 82% of the catalogue is available (31 out of 170 are in preparation).

WPI 21	Percentage of agreed ENC schemes, percentage of ENC available.	Source: collated by WEND WG through RHCs or ICCWGs.	Associated with SPI 8. See table in IRCC Annual Report for 2011.	UB1-2 coverage is 100% UB3-4-5-6 coverage is more than 95%
WPI 22	Increase in effective MS participation in RHC activities.	Source: collated by IRCC through RHCs.		60% participation rate at EAtHC12 (2012). A 12% increase since EAtHC11 (2010).
WPI 23	Percentage of Coastal States which are IHO Member States.	Source: collated by the IHB.		6 Coastal States are IHO MS (26%).
WPI 24	Number of new Coastal States joining the IHO during the reporting period.	Source: collated by the IHB.		One: Cameroun
WPI 25	Number of potential new IHO MS (indicated by the start of the application process) relative to the number of "non-IHO" IMO MS.	Source: collated by the IHB.		2 out of 16 potential new IHO MS: Mauritania, Sierra Leone (12,5%)
WPI 26	Percentage of Coastal States which have achieved phase 1, 2 or 3 and established a National Hydrographic Office.	Source: collated by CBSC through RHCs.		0
WPI 27	Number of States which have achieved phase 1, 2 or 3 and established a National Hydrographic Office in the reporting period.	Source: collated by CBSC through RHCs.		0
WPI 28	Percentage of Coastal States which provide ENC coverage directly or through an agreement with a third party.	Source: collated by the WEND WG through RHCs.		52% (12 CS) of EAtHC's Coastal States provides ENC coverage
WPI 29	Percentage of Coastal States which have set up a national geospatial infrastructure.	Source: collated by IRCC through RHCs.		Only 3 out of 23 members/observers have confirmed that a SDI is in place or in process.
WPI 40	Number of agreements signed in the reporting period, including bilaterals and RENC membership, etc.	Source: collated by IRCC through RHCs.		N/A
WPI 41	Percentage of planned CB events that are achieved.	Source: collated by CBSC.		100%
WPI 42	Number of acceptable CB requests received.	Source: collated by CBSC.		N/A
WPI 43	Percentage of "acceptable" CB requests which are planned.	Source: collated by CBSC.		N/A