INTERNATIONAL HYDROGRAPHIC ORGANIZATION

\_\_\_\_

INTERGOVERNMENTAL OCEANOGRAPHIC COMMISSION (of UNESCO)

IHO/IOC Form No. 1

# UNDERSEA FEATURE NAME PROPOSAL

(See NOTE overleaf)

| Ocean or Sea _ <b>N</b>   | North Pacific Ocean_ Name proposedCBF Rift   |
|---|--|
| Coordinates :   | A - of midpoint or summit : Lat, Long  |
|   | kilometres indirection from  |
| and/or  | <b>B</b> - extremities (if linear feature) :   |
|   | Lat.19-00N $\$ Lat.15-00NLong.126-30E $\$ $\$ $\$ Long.133-30E   |
| Description (kind   | d of feature) : <u>rift (this is an extinct back-arc spreading ridge in terms of geology and geophysics)</u> |
| Identifying or cat  | tegorizing characteristics (shape, dimensions, total relief, least depth, steepness, etc.):                  |
| trending transcr<br>Shelf Survey con<br>ridge. It is char:<br>context). The m | res : Philippine Basin, CBF Rise   |
| Chart reference :   |  |
| Shown with nam  | ne on chart No   |
| Shown but not n   | named on chart No  |
| Not shown but v   | within area covered by chart No. Japanese Chart No. W1004A   |
| Reason for choic  | e of name (if a person, state how associated with the feature to be named) :                                 |
|   | ntral Basin Fault" is the widely accepted name in the science community. The abbreviated version,            |
|   | videly accepted by the science community.  |
| Discovery facts :   | 2000 by (individuals or ship) R/V Yokosuka, R/V Kairei   |
| Date <u>1990, 1999,</u>   | 2000 by (individuals of sinp) K/ V TOKOSUKA, K/ V Kaner  |
| , , ,   | uipment) : Multi-beam Echosounders HS-10, SEABEAM 2112   |
| 0   | : <u>GPS</u>   |
| The state of the second state   |  |

Estimated positional accuracy in nautical miles : <u>+/- 30m</u>

| Description of survey (track spacing, line crossing, grid network, etc.) :   |
|--|
| Primary track lines were NW-SE survey lines with track spacing at 7 miles.   |
| Nature and repository of other survey activities (dredge samples, cores, magnetics, gravity,   |
| photographs, etc.) :   |
| Submersible Shinkai 6500 dives were conducted in 1996 (Fujioka et al., 1999) by JAMSTEC. French and Taiwanese group also did swath mapping surveys (e.g., Deschamps et al., 2002). |
| Supporting material : enclose, if possible, a sketch map of the survey area, profiles of the features, etc.,   |
| with reference to prior publication, if any :  |
| See attached.  |
| Submitted by : Japanese Committee on Undersea Feature Names  |
| Date : 8 June 2007   |
| Address : 5-3-1 Tsukiji, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0045, Japan  |
|  |
| Concurred in by (if applicable) :  |
| Address :  |
|  |
| National Authority (if any) : Japanese Committee on Undersea Feature Names   |
| Address : 5-3-1 Tsukiji, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0045, Japan  |

**NOTE** : This form should be forwarded, when completed :

#### a) If the undersea feature is located in territorial waters :-

to your "National Authority for Approval of Undersea Feature Names" or, if this does not exist or is not known, either to the International Hydrographic Bureau or to the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission (see addresses below);

#### b) If the undersea feature is located in international waters :to the International Hydrographic Bureau or to the Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission, at the following addresses :

| Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission |
|--|
| UNESCO                                     |
| Place de Fontenoy                          |
| 75700 PARIS                                |
| FRANCE                                     |
| Fax: +33 1 45 68 58 12                     |
| E-mail : info@unesco.org                   |
|  |



Fig. 1. Shaded color bathymetric map of CBF Rift and CBF Rise. Color scale is as same as the Index map shown in the proposal for Amami Sankaku Basin.



Fig. 2. Bathymetric map of CBF Rift and CBF Rise. Contours in 200 m.

## Appendix 1. The reason for proposing "rift" as a generic name

In page 2-21 of B-6, it reads that 'It is realized that some named features, such as "cap", "deep" and "swell" have widely accepted longtime usage. No attempt has been made to define them since a suitable description of these particular features is found among the present definitions.'

It may be possible for the feature (i.e., CBF Rift) to be named something like "CBF valley", since the feature is partly characterized by valley morphology. However, this valley morphology is discontinuous at (17N, 129E). It is thus not appropriate to have a single name "CBF Valley" for describing this feature.

We would propose that "rift" will be more appropriate to describe the feature. We know that SCUFN primarily consider the name in terms of morphology, but the generic name "rift" will also well describe the feature as an an extinct back-arc spreading ridge in terms of geology and geophysics. Although "rift" does not appear in the terminology section of B-6, we argue that "rift" is a widely accepted longtime usage similar to "cap", "deep" and "swell".

## Appendix 2. Primary literatures describing CBF Rift

- Deschamps, A., K. Okino and K. Fujioka, 2002, Late amagmatic extension along the central and eastern segments of the West Philippine Basin fossil spreading axis, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 203, 277-293.
- Fujioka K., K. Okino, T. Kanamatsu et al., 1999, An enigmatic extinct spreading center in the West Philippine backarc basin unveiled, Geology, 27, 1135-1138.
- Hess, H., 1948, Major structural features of the western Pacific, and interpretation of H.O. 5989 bathymetric chart, Korea to New Guinea, Geol. Soc. Am. Bull., 59, 417-446.
- Hilde, T.W.C. and C.-S. Lee, 1984, Origin and evolution of the West Philippine Basin: a new interpretation, Tectonophysics, 102, 85-104.
- Okino, K. and K. Fujioka, 2003, The Central Basin Spreading Center in the Philippine Sea: structure of an extinct spreading center and implications for marginal basin formation, Journal of Geophysical Research, 108(B1), 2040, doi:10.1029/2001JB001095.

## Appendix 3. Okino and Fujioka (2003) is attached in PDF file.