**DECISIONS and ACTIONS FROM SCUFN-27**

 **including actions from previous meetings**

*(Status at known at* ***October 2015****)*

| **Decision/Action** | **Agenda Item** | **Details** | **Status****(Oct. 2015)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **3** | **Matters remaining from Previous Meetings** |  |
| SCUFN26/02 | 3.1 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **Secretary** to ask for additional information to INOCAR, Ecuador, regarding Flamingo Seamount (pending), Galera Seamount (pending), Aromo Hill (accepted), Amadeus Seamount (accepted), INOCAR Seamount (accepted), Libertad Seamount (accepted), Megaprint Knoll (accepted), Orion Seamount (pending) and Guayas Seamount (pending). | Done (Email Sec. to Inocar dated 24 Sep. 2015) |
| SCUFN26/03 | 3.1 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **N. Cherkis** to ask M. Jakobson, as IBCAO Chair, for information about NP-28 Seachannel. |  |
| SCUFN26/07 | 3.1 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **H.W. Schenke** to provide the Secretary with polygons in shape files for Axthelm Seamount and Sever Spur. |  |
| SCUFN26/09 | 3.1 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **K. Dobrolyubova** **and N. Cherkis** to investigate the history of Naletov Ridge vs Brass Ridge to find the appropriate name for the feature and report back at SCUFN-27. |  |
| SCUFN26/10 and 26/66 | 3.3 and 6.1 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **V. Stagpoole** to liaise with L. Taylor about *EOS Transactions* article on the new website for the GEBCO Gazetteer and to include a description of the undersea feature terminology. |  |
| SCUFN26/42 | 4.5.1 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **Secretary** to ask the proposer to provide a modified proposal for Weddell Basinand include further details such as min and max depths, relief and dimensions. | On going with name Cook |
| SCUFN26/45 | 4.6.1 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **K. Dobrolyubova** to provide the Secretary with a plot with the polygon and polygon coordinates for Egiazarov Seamount. | Done. (Email Kseniya, 11 Sept. 2015) |
| SCUFN26/46 | 4.6.1 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **K. Dobrolyubova** to provide the GEBCO Bathymetry Editor with digital data relating to Egiazarov Seamount. |  |
| SCUFN26/47 | 4.6.2 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **K. Dobrolyubova** to provide the Secretary with a plot with the polygon and polygon coordinates for Kolbasin Hill. | Done. (Email Kseniya, 11 Sept. 2015) |
| SCUFN26/48 | 4.6.2 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **K. Dobrolyubova** to provide the GEBCO Bathymetry Editor with digital data relating to Kolbasin Hill. |  |
| SCUFN26/49 | 4.6.3 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **K. Dobrolyubova** to provide the Secretary with a plot with the polygon and polygon coordinates for Kulyndyshev Seamount. | Done. (Email Kseniya, 11 Sept. 2015) |
| SCUFN26/50 | 4.6.3 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **K. Dobrolyubova** to provide the GEBCO Bathymetry Editor with digital data relating to Kulyndyshev Seamount. |  |
| SCUFN26/51 | 4.6.4 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **K. Dobrolyubova** to provide the Secretary with a plot with the polygon and polygon coordinates for Sen’kov Seamount. | Done. (Email Kseniya, 11 Sept. 2015) |
| SCUFN26/52 | 4.6.4 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **K. Dobrolyubova** to provide the GEBCO Bathymetry Editor with digital data relating to Sen’kov Seamount. |  |
| SCUFN26/56 | 4.6.8 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **K. Dobrolyubova** to provide further information on Atlant Seamount, that is, additional bathymetric data, location of peaks and a polygon for the feature. | Done. (Email Kseniya, 11 Sept. 2015) |
| SCUFN26/57 | 4.6.9 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **K. Dobrolyubova** to provide further information on Darvin Guyot, that is, additional bathymetric data, location of peaks and a polygon for the feature. | Done. (Email Kseniya, 11 Sept. 2015) |
| SCUFN26/58 | 4.6.10 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **K. Dobrolyubova** to provide further information for the proposed Endeavour Seamount, that is, additional bathymetric data, location of peaks and a polygon for the feature, and a new specific term. | Done. (Email Kseniya, 11 Sept. 2015) |
| SCUFN26/59 | 4.6.11 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **K. Dobrolyubova** to provide further information for Poseydon Seamount, that is, additional bathymetric data, location of peaks and a polygon for the feature. | Done. (Email Kseniya, 11 Sept. 2015) |
| SCUFN26/60 | 4.6.12 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **K. Dobrolyubova** to provide further information on Vostok Seamount, that is, additional bathymetric data, location of peaks and a polygon for the feature. | Done. (Email Kseniya, 11 Sept. 2015) |
| SCUFN26/63 | 5.2 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **V. Stagpoole** to provide min/max height and dimensions of features listed at section 5.2 of the SCUFN-26 report (plus the 78 feature names adopted at SCUFN-25) to the Secretary. Also provide corrected coordinates for Molyneux Canyon and Molyneux Sea Channel. |  |
| SCUFN26/64 | 5.2 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **K. Dobrolyubova, Y. Ohara and N. Cherkis** to investigate names in the Russian Arctic Gazetteer and make recommendations to the Sub-Committee on how to incorporate these names in the GEBCO Gazetteer. |  |
| SCUFN26/73 | 7.1 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **N. Cherkis** to provide the Secretary with central/submit coordinates and an improved encircling polygon for Woolsey Mound. | Ongoing by J. Nerantzis. |
|  | **4** | **Proposals Submitted during Intersessional Period** |  |
|  | **4.1** | **by SHOM, France** |  |
| SCUFN27/01 | 4.1 | Proposal for Le Gouic Seamount is ACCEPTED. **Secretary** to request SHOM, France, to provide the track lines and shape file for the polygon.  | Complete |
|  | **4.2** | **by AWI, Germany** |  |
| SCUFN27/02 | 4.2.1 | Proposal for Madiba Seamount is ACCEPTED. **Chair** to request AWI to include track lines, to improve the colors in the diagram, in order to adjust and complete the background information, The polygon should be reduced and closed. Justification of the specific term to be slightly revised. |  |
| SCUFN27/03 | 4.2.2 | Proposal for Nachtigaller [~~Hill~~] submission is ACCEPTED with the generic term to be changed into Shoal.  | Followed by Lisa/Yas emails dated 25 March. In progress |
| SCUFN27/04 | 4.2.2 | The **SCUFN Generic Term Group** (Lisa, Han, Yas, Vaughan) to examine whether it is appropriate to reconsider the definitions of shoal/reef/bank or not. | Done (Email Yas dated 1 Oct. 2015 |
|  | **4.3** | **by NHC, Malaysia** |  |
| SCUFN27/05 | 4.3.1 | **NHC, Malaysia** is invited to propose a specific name to [~~Rentap~~] Hill next year as SCUFN was in the view that justifications appear not to be fully compliant with B-6 regulations. Meanwhile, the feature will be kept as [Unknown] Hill PENDING in the Gazetteer. | Done |
| SCUFN27/06 | 4.3.1 | **NHC, Malaysia** to make, if desired, a new submission for the already charted Rentap Shoals and Raja Jarom Shoals.  | Done |
| SCUFN27/07 | 4.3.2 | **NHC, Malaysia** is invited to propose a specific name to [~~Rosli~~] Hill next year as SCUFN was in the view that justifications appear not to be fully compliant with B-6 regulations. Meanwhile, the feature Hill is agreed and will be kept as [Unknown] Hill PENDING in the Gazetteer. | Done |
| SCUFN27/08 | 4.3.3 | The generic term for the [~~Raja Jarom~~] Hill submission was agreed to be changed into Ridge. Now, **NHC, Malaysia** is invited to propose a specific name to [~~Raja Jarom~~] Ridge next year as SCUFN was in the view that justifications appear not to be fully compliant with B-6 regulations. Meanwhile, the feature Ridge is agreed and will be kept as [Unknown] Ridge PENDING in the Gazetteer. | Done |
| SCUFN27/09 | 4.3.4 | **NHC, Malaysia** is invited to propose a specific name to [~~Jugah~~] Seamount next year as SCUFN was in the view that justifications appear not to be fully compliant with B-6 regulations. Meanwhile, the feature Seamount is agreed and will be kept as [Unknown] Seamount PENDING in the Gazetteer. | Done |
|  | **4.4** | **by Lee Daniels, UK** |  |
| SCUFN27/10 | 4.4.1 | Proposal for Chac Seamount is NOT ACCEPTED due to lack of true physical data in accordance with B-6, §2.1. **Secretary** to report to the proposer with relevant explanations such as satellite data and predicted bathymetry are not considered by SCUFN as sufficient evidences for the time being. **L. Taylor** to include the specific term Chac into the “reserved-names” list. | Done (Sec.’s email 6 Nov.2014) |
| SCUFN27/11 | 4.4.2 | Proposal for Nares Seamount is NOT ACCEPTED due to lack of true physical data in accordance with B-6, §2.1. **Secretary** to report to the proposer with relevant explanations such as satellite data and predicted bathymetry are not considered by SCUFN as sufficient evidences for the time being.  | Done (Sec.’s email 6 Nov.2014) |
| SCUFN27/12 | 4.4.3 | Proposal for Maclear Seamount submission is NOT ACCEPTED due to insufficient data. More information is requested for the Antarctica survey ANTAC08 carried out by the Japanese ship “Fuji”. **L. Taylor** to include the specific term Maclear into the “reserved-specific-names” list. | Done (Sec.’s email 6 Nov.2014) |
| SCUFN27/13 | 4.4 | **Secretary** to include the question of the “reserved-specific-names” list into the SCUFN-28 agenda as the list should be visible. | Done |
|  | **4.5** | **by CSCUFN, China**  |  |
| SCUFN27/14 | 4.5.1 | Proposal for Chuci Seamount is ACCEPTED. **S. Lin** to provide the new polygon and figures to the Secretary. Last sentence of the justification to be deleted. | Done |
| SCUFN27/15 | 4.5.2 | Proposal for Fangbo Seamount is ACCEPTED. **S. Lin** to provide the new polygon and figures to the Secretary. Last sentence of the justification to be deleted. | Done |
| SCUFN27/16 | 4.5.3 | Proposal for Jiahui [~~Seamount~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Knoll. **S. Lin** to provide the new polygon and figures to the Secretary. Last sentence of the justification to be deleted. | Done |
| SCUFN27/17 | 4.5.4 | Proposal for Jingfu [~~Seamount~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Hill. **S. Lin** to provide the new polygon to the Secretary. Last sentence of the justification to be deleted. | Done |
| SCUFN27/18 | 4.5.5 | Proposal for Qinggao Seamount seems to be part of a linear feature (ridge with individual peaks). Using all existing available data (IHO Data Center for Digital Bathymetry, public domain), **S. Lin** to make a new proposal by SCUFN-28. Meanwhile, the feature is kept as PENDING and the specific term is accepted. | To be examined at SCUFN28 |
| SCUFN27/19 | 4.5.6 | Proposal for Tianhu Knoll is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/20 | 4.5.7 | Proposal for Suoli Guyot is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/21 | 4.5.8 | Proposal for Chunyang Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/22 | 4.5.9 | Proposal for Nianfeng Guyot is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/23 | 4.5.10,11 | Proposal for Echi Seamount and Huigong Guyot were considered together as they seem to be part of a bigger feature (designated as Allison Guyot in ACUF database). Using all existing available data (IHO Data Center for Digital Bathymetry, public domain), **S. Lin** to provide SCUFN-28 with a bigger view of the global area as the features might qualify for a spur. Meanwhile, the Echi and Huigong features are kept as PENDING, with specific terms accepted. | To be examined at SCUFN28 |
| SCUFN27/24 | 4.5.10,11 | **ACUF** to investigate the possibility of making a submission for Allison Guyot to SCUFN so it could be considered in conjunction with Echi and Huigong features proposals at SCUFN-28. |  |
| SCUFN27/25 | 4.5.12 | Proposal for Mulai Guyot is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/26 | 4.5.13 | Proposal for Weiqi Guyot is ACCEPTED. Last sentence of justification to be deleted. | Done |
| SCUFN27/27 | 4.5.14 | Proposal for Weiluo Guyot is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/28 | 4.5.15 | Proposal for Siwen [~~Seamount~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Ridge.  | Done |
| SCUFN27/29 | 4.5.16 | Proposal for Tiancheng Seamount is NOT ACCEPTED as the feature is not an isolated seamount. It is part of the SW Indian Ridge. |  |
| SCUFN27/30 | 4.5.17 | Proposal for Fangzhou Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/31 | 4.5.18 | Proposal for Gongchou Seamount is NOT ACCEPTED since it appears that the feature is already well known in scientific publications as Vinogradov Seamount. **S.Lin** to liaise with the proposer and consider it for a new submission by SCUFN-28 using Vinogradov as specific term. Publications kindly provided by Y. Ohara (Terrapub, 1995 and JGR, 2003), for information. , References to these articles have been uploaded. . | Done (for Y. Ohara’s articles references). Done (for Lin, proposer considered to withdraw the proposal?) |
| SCUFN27/32 | 4.5.19 | Proposal for Haidongqing Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
|  | **4.6** | **by Leighton Rolley, USA** |  |
| SCUFN27/33 | 4.6.1 | Proposal for Crean Deep isACCEPTED**. Secretary** to request from the proposer complementary information (coordinates on the graphic, deepest point). | Following 16 July NZGB meeting outcome, action to be considered |
| SCUFN27/34 | 4.6.1 | **L. Taylor** to check the geometry of Hikurangi Sea Channel. |  |
| SCUFN27/35 | 4.6.1 | By courtesy, **Secretary** to inform NZGB on the outcome of the SCUFN meeting for Crean Deep prior to their meeting (16 July 2014). | Done (25 June 2014) |
| SCUFN27/36 | 4.6.2 | Proposal for Tāwhatiwhati Guyot is NOT ACCEPTED due to the existence of L’Atalante Seamount in the vicinity which is likely to be the same feature. Further work is deemed necessary. **Secretary** to contact the proposer and V. Stagpoole to investigate and provide relevant complementary data to resolve the ambiguity prior to SCUFN-28. |  |
|  | **4.7** | **by KCGN, Republic of Korea** |  |
| SCUFN27/37 | 4.7.1 | Proposal for Hangari Knoll is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/38 | 4.7.2 | Proposal for Paeraengi Knoll is ACCEPTED. |  |
|  | **4.8** | **by DHN, Brazil** |  |
| SCUFN27/39 | 4.8.1 | Proposal for Atobá Ridge is ACCEPTED. The proposer in liaison with **A. Alberoni** to investigate the possibility of releasing data to IHO DCDB. | Done. (Proposer has agreed to make data available 3 years after survey). |
| SCUFN27/40 | 4.8.2 | Proposal for Gurupi Guyot is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/41 | 4.8.3 | Proposal for Marajó Seamounts is ACCEPTED. |  |
|  | **4.9** | **by JCUFN, Japan**  |  |
| SCUFN27/42 | 4.9.1 | Proposal for Higashi-Mikazuki Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/43 | 4.9.2 | Proposal for Jogen Basin is ACCEPTED. **Y. Ohara** to provide the Secretary with a slight modification of the geometry. | Done. (Email Yas, 11 Sept. 2015) |
| SCUFN27/44 | 4.9.3 | Proposal for Kagen Basin is ACCEPTED. **Y. Ohara** to provide the Secretary with a slight modification of the geometry. | Done. (Email Yas, 11 Sept. 2015) |
| SCUFN27/45 | 4.9.4 | Proposal for Nishi-Dosei Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/46 | 4.9.5 | Proposal for Kamiarizuki Knoll is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/47 | 4.9.6 | Proposal for Nishi-Tennosei Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/48 | 4.9.7 | Proposal for Nishi-Kaiosei Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/49 | 4.9.8 | Proposal for Genjiboshi Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/50 | 4.9.9 | Proposal for Heikeboshi Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/51 | 4.9.10 | Proposal for Masugataboshi Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/52 | 4.9.11 | Proposal for Yaraiboshi Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/53 | 4.9.12 | Proposal for Kajiboshi Seamount is ACCEPTED. **Y. Ohara** to provide the Secretary with a short note to be included in the justification to the Japanese local dialect regarding Big Dipper. This is also the case for 4.9.13, 4.9.14, 4.9.15, 4.9.16, and 4.9.17. | Done. (Email Yas, 11 Sept. 2015) |
| SCUFN27/54 | 4.9.13 | Proposal for Shisonohoshi Seamount is ACCEPTED. **Y. Ohara** to provide the Secretary with a short note to be included in the justification to explain that “hoshi” means also “boshi” (star) and slight modification of the geometry. | Done. (Email Yas, 11 Sept. 2015) |
| SCUFN27/55 | 4.9.14 | Proposal for Nanatsuboshi Seamount is ACCEPTED. **Y. Ohara** to provide the Secretary with a slight modification of the geometry. | Done. (Email Yas, 11 Sept. 2015) |
| SCUFN27/56 | 4.9.15 | Proposal for Kita-Funeboshi Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/57 | 4.9.16 | Proposal for Soeboshi Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/58 | 4.9.17 | Proposal for Kensakiboshi Seamount is ACCEPTED. **Y. Ohara** to provide the Secretary with a modification of the geometry, extended to the South and the East. | Done. (Email Yas, 11 Sept. 2015) |
| SCUFN27/59 | 4.9.18 | Proposal for Kyoka Seamount is ACCEPTED. **Y. Ohara** to provide the Secretary with a short note to be included in the justification in order to explain the choice of the novelists’ first name rather than the surname. This is also the case for 4.9.19, 4.9.20, and 4.9.21. Furthermore, **Y. Ohara** to provide the Secretary with a new proposal for “Great Writers Seamount Province”. | Done. (Email Yas, 11 Sept. 2015) |
| SCUFN27/60 | 4.9.19 | Proposal for Yasunari Seamount is ACCEPTED.  |  |
| SCUFN27/61 | 4.9.20 | Proposal for Hakushu Seamount is ACCEPTED. Former action SUCFN26/06 is complete. |  |
| SCUFN27/62 | 4.9.21 | Proposal for Takuboku\_Seamount is ACCEPTED. |  |
| SCUFN27/63 | 4.9.22 | Proposal for Nasu [~~Guyot~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Guyots. **Y. Ohara** to provide the Secretary with a slight modification of the geometry and a complementary profile showing the two flat parts of the Guyots. | Done. (Email Yas, 11 Sept. 2015) |
| SCUFN27/64 | 4.9.23 | Proposal for Suda Guyot is ACCEPTED. **Y. Ohara** to provide the Secretary with a slight modification of the geometry to reduce the polygon and Secretary to clarify the statute of the Suda / Michelson Ridge. | Done. (Email Yas, 11 Sept. 2015) |
|  | **4.10** | **by NZGB, New Zealand** |  |
| SCUFN27/65 | 4.10.1 to 4.10.12 | All submissions were reviewed and SCUFN stands ready to agree on the specific terms. All feature names are kept as PENDING and will be reconsidered definitely at SCUFN-28 for the generic term, provided **V. Staagpole** in liaison with the proposer provides SCUFN with more detailed bathymetric data (cross profiles, depths, etc.) and accurate geometry (linear features coordinates, polygons as shapefile, etc.). |  |
| SCUFN27/66 | 4.10.8 and 4.10.9 | Considering that it is not possible to use *either* Puketuroro *or* Hoopers, proposals for the specific terms of Puketuroto/Hoopers Canyon and Channel specific terms are agreed provided the features are designated by both names separated by an hyphon, as Puketuroto-Hoopers, rather than by a “/”. **Secretary** to liaise with the proposer on this issue | To be discussed under SCUFN28-05.2B |
| SCUFN27/67 | 4.10.10 | Considering that it is not possible to use *either* Taitimu *or* Caswell, proposal for the specific term of Taitimu/Caswell Ridge specific term is agreed provided the feature is designated by both names separated by an hyphon, as Taitimu-Caswell, rather than by a “/”. **Secretary** to liaise with the proposer on this issue | To be discussed under SCUFN28-05.2B |
| SCUFN27/68 | 4.10.12 | For the proposal for Campbell Island/Motu Ihupuku Shelf, SCUFN is in the view that the generic terms *Island* and *Motu* that are already part of the specific-dual terms should be withdrawn. **V. Staagpole** in liaison with the proposer is invited to report on this issue at SCUFN-28. | To be discussed under SCUFN28-05.2B |
|  | **4.11** | **by GINRAS, Russian Federation** |  |
| SCUFN27/69 | 4.11.1 | Proposal for Aniva Seamount is kept as PENDING. Specific term is accepted. Complementary data (track lines, steepness, location of the minimum depth) to be provided in accordance with B-6 by **K.** **Dobrolyubova** in liaison with the proposer. |  |
| SCUFN27/70 | 4.11.2 | Proposal for Bezrukov Ridge is kept as PENDING. Specific term is accepted. Complementary data (track lines, graphic coordinates) to be provided in accordance with B-6 by **K.** **Dobrolyubova** in liaison with the proposer. |  |
| SCUFN27/71 | 4.11.3 | Proposal for Neprochnov Seamount is kept as PENDING. Specific term is accepted. Complementary data (track lines, steepness, location of the minimum depth) to be provided in accordance with B-6 by **K.** **Dobrolyubova** in liaison with the proposer. |  |
| SCUFN27/72 | 4.11.4 | Proposal for Zhuze Seamount is kept as PENDING. Specific term is accepted. Complementary data (track lines, steepness, location of the minimum depth) to be provided in accordance with B-6 by **K.** **Dobrolyubova** in liaison with the proposer. |  |
|  | **4.12** | **by GST, Denmark** |  |
| SCUFN27/73 | 4.12.1 | Proposal for East Greenland Ridge is ACCEPTED.  |  |
|  | **5** | **Liaison with Other Geographical Name Bodies** |  |
|  | **5.1** | **ACUF, USA** |  |
| SCUFN27/74 | 5.1 | Proposals made at SCUFN-27 by ACUF (**J. Nerantzis**) were considered for a new submission, in due course, for review at SCUFN-28. |  |
| SCUFN27/75 | 5.1 | Besides, ACUF(**J. Nerantzis**) to examine the possibility of harmonizing definitions of “knoll” and “hill” with SCUFN definitions. |  |
|  | **5.2** | **NZGB Undersea Names Committee, New Zealand** |  |
| SCUFN27/76 | 5.2 | The review of the group of 26 names proposed by NZGB is postponed to SCUFN-28 waiting for complementary data to be sent to the Secretary by **V. Stagpoole** in liaison with the proposer (see also SCUFN27/65). Then, SCUFN sub-group (**N. Cherkis** (lead), **K. Dobrolyubova, S. Lin** and **V. Stagpoole)** to provide a preliminary analysis report, 4 weeks prior to SCUFN-28. |  |
| SCUFN27/77 | 5.2 | Following the issue raised by the NZGB Undersea Names Committee, the SCUFN is in the view that the use of acronyms for naming is not desirable in general but can be considered on case by case basis. |  |
|  | **5.3** | **UN Group of Experts on Geographical Names**  |  |
| SCUFN27/78 | 5.3 | **Secretary** to make the report of the IHO available to UNGEGN | Done. (Report available on-line) |
|  | **5.4** | **Marine Regions**  |  |
| SCUFN27/79 | 5.4 | NOAA/NGDC Gazetteer technical team **(L. Taylor)** to examine the possibility of having a technical VTC meeting with Marine Regions (S. Claus) to explore ways and means of development/data exchange/improvements between the two Gazetteers and report at SCUFN-28 for further considerations by SCUFN members. | Done (WFS support provided by NOAA) |
| SCUFN27/80 | 5.4, 6 | **SCUFN Generic Term Group (Y. Ohara, H-C. Han, V. Stagpoole)** to consider the possibility of including definitions of “continental slope, pass, cap, seabight, embayment” into the appropriate section of B-6, in conjunction with action SCUFN25/21 (related to Bahía Plateau and discussion about “Re-entrant”) and come up with appropriate definitions by SCUFN-28 for consideration and approval. Policy issue on the impact of changes on existing feature names to be carefully considered (guidelines to be developed eventually). So far, it is suggested not to affect features named earlier a change, and to put a note in Editor’s comment for instance to indicate that the name was approved prior to version x.x of the standard.  | Done. Email Yas dated 1 Oct. 2015 |
|  | **6** | **Standardization of Undersea Feature Names: Publication B-6** |  |
| SCUFN27/81 | 6 | **SCUFN Members** to provide H-C. Han with high resolution jpg images, well illustrating typical generic terms. | In progress |
| SCUFN27/82 | 6 | **SCUFN Members** to start playing with [www.scufnterm.org](http://www.scufnterm.org), [www.scufn.submission.org](http://www.scufn.submission.org), [www.scufnreview.org](http://www.scufnreview.org) and report to H-C. Han **by Jan. 2015**. | To be discussed under SCUFN28-06C |
| SCUFN27/83 | 6 | **SCUFN Generic Term Group (Y. Ohara, H-C. Han, V. Stagpoole)** to consider, first, the need for the development and the definition for a new generic term “Plain”, in the case current generic terms are considered as not suitable, and further review the requested change for Chukchi, Mendeleev, Northwind [~~Abyssal~~] Plain generic term. **SCUFN Chair/Secretary** to send a formal response to the proposer accordingly. | Done. Email Yas dated 1 Oct. 2015 |
|  | **7** | **Gazetteer of Undersea Feature Names** |  |
|  | **7.1** | **On-line interface to the Gazetteer database** |  |
| SCUFN27/84 | 4.10 and 7.1 | **Secretary** to maintain a wish-list file for on-line Gazetteer improvements, liaise with NOAA, seek for solutions and report at SCUFN meetings on an annual basis for prioritization and way-ahead (to date: polygons crossing the date line and the equator, diacritic signs, secondary geometry not displayed, Lat-Long options display, WMS flow for getting Lat-Long-Depths when mouse flying…). | Done. To be discussed under SCUFN28-07.1A |
| SCUFN27/85 | 7.1 | **Secretary** to act as main point of contact for coordinating potential project teams in charge of revising the content of the Gazetteer (mainly geometry enhancement) and bring it to the SCUFN Members for approval.  | Done. |
| SCUFN27/86 | 7.1 | **A.A Alberoni** to provide the Secretary with shape files of the different already-named features (Maranhão Seamounts, Alagoas Seamounts, Equatorial Seachannel, Jean Charcot Seamounts, Fernando de Noronha Ridge, Ceará Abyssal Plain, Trindade Seachannel / Columbia Channel, North Brasilian Ridge) | Done (24 June 2014). Note to be added into the Gazetteer for Trindade “also known as Columbia Channel” |
| SCUFN27/87 | 7.1 | Proposalfor changing the specific name of the **Recife** Plateau to **Pernambuco** Plateau was ACCEPTED with a note to be included “also formely known as Recife Plateau” and the polygon to be provided by **A.A. Alberoni**. | Done. |
| SCUFN27/88 | 7.1 | **K. Dobrolyubova** to provide additional information on Konstantinov Ridge which will be considered at SCUFN-28. |  |
| SCUFN27/89 | 7.1 | **IHB** to provide SCUFN Members with more information on the requirements on the future standards (S-100 WG) to consider if the development of a product specification for undersea feature names is needed. | Done. To be discussed under SCUFN28-06B |
|  | **7.2** | **Review of pending names in the GEBCO Gazetteer**  |  |
| SCUFN27/90 | 7.2 | **Secretary** to seek on the best ways and means for progressing with PENDING names (probably establishment of a spreadsheet providing feature names/SCUFN Members involved/SCUFN meeting related action if any) and carefully monitor every year the evolution of the total number of PENDING names. | Done. To be discussed under SCUFN28-07.2B |
|  | **7.3** | **Minor Undersea Features**  |  |
| SCUFN27/91 | 7.3 | The task on micro-feature names is put on hold on the moment. Further coordination with Marine Regions activities when possible. |  |
|  | **7.4** | **Unnamed Pacific Seamounts**  |  |
| SCUFN27/92 | 7.4 | **W. Reynoso-Peralta** to continue standing effort for investigating Unnamed Pacific Seamounts in liaison with **K. Dobrolyubova,** and report at SCUFN-28. |  |
|  | **8** | **Any other business** |  |
| SCUFN27/93 | 8.0 | **SCUFN Members** to provide, **by 1 September 2014**, an *estimate* of the resources (man.days, budget) available in their organization for undertaking SCUFN tasks (including participation of delegates in SCUFN meetings). This information might be used prior to the EIHC5 to evaluate and prioritize. **Secretary** to provide a template to be filled by SCUFN Members **by 20 July.** | Cancelled. But EIHC-5 Decision No 3 applies |
| SCUFN27/94 | 8.0 | Periodic status report on this list of decisions and actions, to be requested by the **Secretary** on **30 Sept, 30 Dec,** and **30 March 2015.** | Done |
| SCUFN27/95 | 9.0 | **A.A. Alberoni** to confirm the dates and venue of SCUFN-28 not later than 31 Dec. 2014. | Done |