

No	Geographic Name	Accept/qerry	Lin	Walter	Yas	Notes		
1	Auckland Islands Shelf	Accepted	Accept	Accept	Accepted	No objection. Accepted. As is the case for Cook Channel, it should be noted that this UFN is also appeared in ACUF gazetteer.		
2	Bollons Gap	Accepted	Accept	Accept	I am willing to accept it.			
3	Bream Knolls	Pending - new polygon needed	Accept	Accept	Pending	The polygon provided by NZGB appears not representing the feature. Polygon needs to be revised. Pending.		
4	Christable Seamount	Accepted	Accept	Accept	I am willing to accept it.			
5	Cook Channel	Accepted	Accept	Accept	Accepted.	Cook Channel is shown in an official cartography edited by New Zealand. Regarding to Lin note, It could be mentioned in the GEBCO gazetteer that Cook Channel is also in the ACUF gazetteer, just for harmonization and in case it be considered relevant.	No objection. Accepted.I also agreed the opinions both Lin and Walter	
6	Courrejolles Slope	Pending - more info needed	Accept but more data requested	Accept	Pending	Accept but more data requested	I would concur with the opinion by Lin. In order to check if the undersea feature in fact exists or not, NZ should provide modern multi-beam bathymetry, in addition to the old chart. I would rate this proposal as pending.	
7	Foulwind Canyon	Accepted	Accept	Accept	Accepted.			
8	Hauraki Canyon	Accepted	Accept	Accept	Accepted.	It has been named in ACUF	Accepted. It should be noted that this UFN is also appeared in ACUF gazetteer.	
9	Kaipara Canyon	Accepted	Accept	Accept	Accepted.			
10	Kaipara Channel	Accepted	Accept	Accept	Accepted.			
11	Kapukairo Knoll	Accepted	Accept	Accept	Accepted.			
12	Koutunui Bank	Pending - generic term	Pending	Pending	Pending	Specific term is ACCEPT. According to the B-6, The Min Deep of BANK is less than 200M, but here is 350M. So the gineric term need to have some discussion?:	I agree with Lin. The generic term for this feature needs some discussion. It could be a rise.	I am willing to accept it, but I also concur with Lin. Pending.
13	McDougall Trough	Pending - more info needed and need polygon revised	Pending	Accept	Pending	Could be considered as ACCEPT but better to provide more data if it is possible	I can accept it, but the geometry should be given as polygon, instead of polyline	
14	Mokohīnau Canyon	Accepted.	Accept	Accept	Accepted.			
15	Moeraki Canyon	Accepted.	Accept	Accept	Accepted.			
16	Ōhena Knoll	Pending - need polygon revised	Accept	Accept	Pending	This can be accepted as is, but the polygon provided by NZGB appears not representing the knoll. The polygon needs to be revised. Pending		
17	Papamoa Knoll	Accepted.	Accept	Accept	Accepted.			



Features that appear on charts and maps but have subsequently had altered names from those shown in charts and maps							
32	Araara Seamount	Accept as Hill	Accept as Hill	Accept as Hill	Accept as Hill	The Specific term is ACCEPT. But for the generic term should be considered as HILL, because the total relief of this SEAMOUNT is 820M which was shown on the SCUFM proposal form of this proposal.	I concur with Lin and Walter
33	Campbell Island / Motu Ihupuku Shelf	Pending	Pending	Pennding	Pending	This proposal was not included in the proposals which were provided by NZL in 2014 and 2015, Because for the specific term need to discuss? And looked at the information of the SCUFN form of this proposal the generic term could be considered to ACCEPT:Specific term do not follow B-6 rules. Then it should have been Campbell Shelf, named so after Campbell Island. Generic Term could be accepted on the base of the map provided by the proposer. It need further discussion.	Every dual name proposal should not be considered at this stage. This can be considered only after SCUFN action 28/88 is settled.
34	Pukekura Canyon	Pending - more info needed	Accept	Needs more info	Pending	The proposal maps do not show the PEKEKURA CANYON, neither the bathymetric charts provided. The provided information shows TAIAROA CANYON.	Polygon data are not provided. In general, NZ's SCUFN proposal forms lack information such as enough number of lat-lon coordinate and etc. Pending.
35	Puketuroto / Hoopers Canyon	Pending	Not accepted	Not accepted	Pending	This proposal was not included in the proposals were provided by NZL in 2014 and 2015. And the Specific term need to discuss and the generic term is ACCEPT: I agree with Lin as the before cases where the proposals were not included by New Zealand in 2014 and 2015 submissions. Specific term should be accepted as it is on the map. Generic term is accepted. Proposal must be completed in all their fields.	This is the same case as for Campbell-Island_Motu-Ihupuku-Shelf. Any decisions cannot be made at this stage. Pending.
36	Puketuroto / Hoopers Channel	Pending	Not accepted	Not accepted	Pending	This proposal was not included in the proposals were provided by NZL in 2014 and 2015. The Specific term need to discuss and the generic term is ACCEPT.I agree with Lin. Specific term should be accepted as it is printed on the map. Generic term is accepted	See my comment for Campbell-Island_Motu-Ihupuku-Shelf. Pending.

37	Taitimu / Caswell Ridge	Pending	Not accepted	Not accepted	Not accepted	This proposal was not included in the proposals which were provided by NZL of 2014 and 2015. The specific term need to discuss. And the generic term I could not decide yet because I have some problem with downloading the information: Dual naming is under SCUFN discussion. I propose accept the specific term as it is used in the map. Regarding to the generic term proposed, it is needed more reference information and supporting data.		I totally concur with Walter
38	Waiatoto Channel	Pending	Not accepted	Not accepted	Pending	This proposal was not included in the proposals which had been provided by NZL in 2014 and 2015. Looked on the information of the SCUFN form of the proposal. Could be considered as ACCEPT both Specific term and Generic term of the proposal: Get in mind the comment from Lin. The proposal needs to be completed, following the B-6 rules.		I am willing to accept the proposal. However, this undersea feature was discovered in as recent as 2009. In my opinion, such a newly-discovered feature should be considered as a "normal-track" procedure. In fact, NZGB prepared a SCUFN format.