**LIST of ACTIONS FROM SCUFN-28**

*(at the date of 19 August 2016)*

Note: DECISIONS have been kept in the list and highlighted in light grey when implemented (names now available in the GEBCO Gazetteer, for instance).

| **Decision/Action** | **Agenda Item** | **Details** | **Status****(Aug 2016)** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **1** | **SCUFN Membership - Election of Vice-Chair** |  |
| SCUFN28/01 |  | In application of RoP 2.5, **K. Dobrolyubova** was considered as stepping down from SCUFN full Membership. **Chair** to prepare a call for vacancy for the IOC **by the end of October**, deadline **end of Dec.** for application so SCUFN Membership should be complete in Jan. 2016, taking into account responses received to IHO CL 59/2015. | Done.IOC CL No 2627 dated 16 May 2016. K. Dobrolyubova re-appointed. |
| SCUFN28/02 |  | **Sec**. to inform **K. Dobrolyubova** of the decision made at SCUFN28 but of the possibility for any rep. of the Russian Federation to remain active and to participate in SCUFN activities under RoP 2.6 and 2.7. | Complete. Email **Sec**. 14 Dec. 2015. |
| SCUFN28/03 |  | **Y. Ohara** was elected as SCUFN Vice-Chair. | Complete |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **3** | **Matters remaining from Previous Meetings** |  |
|  | **3.1** | **Review of Actions from SCUFN-27 and transfer to the relevant agenda items** |  |
| SCUFN26/03 | 3.1 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **N. Cherkis** to ask M. Jakobson, as IBCAO Chair, for information about NP-28 Seachannel. | Done. See SCUFN29-07.2B. |
| SCUFN26/07 | 3.1 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **H.W. Schenke** to provide the Secretary with polygons in shape files for Axthelm Seamount and Sever Spur. | Complete |
| SCUFN26/09 | 3.1 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **K. Dobrolyubova** **and N. Cherkis** to investigate the history of Naletov Ridge vs Brass Ridge to find the appropriate name for the feature and report back at SCUFN-27. | Complete |
| SCUFN26/42 | 4.5.1 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **Secretary** to ask the proposer to provide a modified proposal for Weddell Basinand include further details such as min and max depths, relief and dimensions. | Done. See [additional information](http://www.iho.int/mtg_docs/com_wg/SCUFN/SCUFN26/Proposals/Norway_NPI/Proposals_from_Norway_NPI.htm) from the proposer. |
| SCUFN28/04 |  | **Secretary** to provide the GEBCO Bathymetry Editor with digital data provided by **K. Dobrolyubova** relating to Egiazarov Seamount, Kolbasin Hill, Kulyndyshev Seamount, Sen’kov Seamount and **K. Dobrolyubova** to provide the Sec. with polygon coordinates. | Complete |
| SCUFN26/64 | 5.2 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **K. Dobrolyubova, Y. Ohara and N. Cherkis** to investigate names in the Russian Arctic Gazetteer and make recommendations to the Sub-Committee on how to incorporate these names in the GEBCO Gazetteer. | SCUFN-29 |
| SCUFN26/73 | 7.1 (ref. SCUFN-26 Report) | **N. Cherkis/ J . Nerantzis** to provide the Secretary with central/submit coordinates and an improved encircling polygon for Woolsey Mound **by Dec. 2015**. | Complete. Email **J. Nerantzis** 18 Nov. 2015 |
| SCUFN27/02 | 4.2.1 | Proposal for Madiba Seamount is ACCEPTED. **Chair** to request AWI to include track lines, to improve the colors in the diagram, in order to adjust and complete the background information, The polygon should be reduced and closed. Justification of the specific term to be slightly revised. | **By end of Oct. 2015**Complete |
| SCUFN27/33 | 4.6.1 | Proposal for Crean Deep isACCEPTED**. Secretary** to request from the proposer complementary information (coordinates on the graphic, deepest point). | Name ACCEPTED.Complete |
| SCUFN27/34 | 4.6.1 | **L. Taylor** to check the geometry of Hikurangi Sea Channel. | Complete**.** Email from **V. Stagpoole to** Sec. dated 12 May 2016. |
| SCUFN27/36 | 4.6.2 | Proposal for Tāwhatiwhati Guyot is NOT ACCEPTED due to the existence of L’Atalante Seamount in the vicinity which is likely to be the same feature. Further work is deemed necessary. **Secretary** to contact the proposer and V. Stagpoole to investigate and provide relevant complementary data to resolve the ambiguity prior to SCUFN-28. | Complete. Name L’Atalante Seamount is kept. |
| SCUFN27/75 |  | Besides, ACUF(**J. Nerantzis**) to examine the possibility of harmonizing definitions of “knoll” and “hill” with SCUFN definitions. | Done. See SCUFN29-03.2B |
| SCUFN27/77 |  | Following the issue raised by the NZGB Undersea Names Committee, the SCUFN is in the view that the use of acronyms for naming is not desirable in general but can be considered on case by case basis. | **Sec.** to take a note for future Ed. of B-6. Done. See SCUFN29-06A |
| SCUFN27/88 |  | **K. Dobrolyubova** to provide additional information on Konstantinov Ridge which will be considered at ~~SCUFN-28~~ SCUFN-29. | **By end of Dec. 2015**Complete. See SCUFN29-07.2A Annex A |
| SCUFN28/97 |  | Proposals for Aniva Seamount, Bezrukov Ridge, Neprochnov Seamount, Zhuze Seamount are kept as PENDING. Specific terms are accepted (former Decisions SCUFN27/69 to 72). Complementary data (track lines, steepness, location of the minimum depth) to be provided in accordance with B-6 by **K.** **Dobrolyubova** in liaison with the proposer, **by end of December 2015**. After deadline, names will be considered as withdrawn by the proposer. | Complete |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **3.2** | **Report and recommendations of the SCUFN Generic Term Group (Yas, Vaughan, Han)** |  |
| SCUFN28/05 | 3.2 | **SCUFN Generic Term Group** to provide the **Sec.** with a proposal for a new Ed. of the section II of B-6 including new definitions – GENERIC TERMS USED FOR HARMONIZATION WITH OTHER GAZETTEERS AND DEFINITIONS **by end of Oct. 2015** | Complete.Will be part of the revised B-6. |
| SCUFN28/06 | 3.2 | **SCUFN Generic Term Group** to provide **Han** with all the generic terms and definitions (including those above) that need to be added to scufnterm.org **by end of Oct. 2015** |  |
|  | **3.3** | **Final Approval of the report from SCUFN-27** |  |
| SCUFN28/07 |  | **Sec**. to make editorial corrections in Annex D of SCUFN27 Report and upload a Rev 1 version **by end of Dec. 2015**. | Complete. Report Rev 1 available on SCUFN webpage |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **4** | **Proposals Submitted during Intersessional Period** |  |
|  | **4.1** | **From Malaysia, NHC** |  |
| SCUFN28/08 |  | Proposal for Rafflesia Hill is ACCEPTED (Note from SCUFN Member Lin to be inserted in the report). | Complete |
| SCUFN28/09 |  | Proposal for Kenyalang Hill is ACCEPTED (Note from SCUFN Member Lin to be inserted in the report). | Complete |
| SCUFN28/10 |  | Proposal for Iban Ridge is ACCEPTED (Note from SCUFN Member Lin to be inserted in the report). | Complete |
| SCUFN28/11 |  | Proposal for Santubong Seamount is ACCEPTED (Note from SCUFN Member Lin to be inserted in the report). | Complete |
| SCUFN28/12 |  | Proposal for Raja Jarom Shoals is NOT ACCEPTED. [for the following reasons:* This feature is chartered as an area which may cover and uncover (drying contour line, grey tint above chart datum), with a sounding of - 0.3 m;
* Minimum height provided by NHC in the proposal form is 0.0 m;

The naming of this type of “non-undersea” feature is considered as not being in the remit of SCUFN.] | Complete |
| SCUFN28/13 |  | Proposal for Rentap Shoal is NOT ACCEPTED [for the following reasons:* It appears that names such as Friendship Bank, Mengyi Ansha already exist for this feature and that they have been used on charts and in scientific publications at least since 1983;
* In accordance with B-6, Ed. 4.1.0, Sept. 2013 (Section E), *authorities involved are invited to resolve the matter* prior to any further submission to SCUFN.]
 | Complete |
|  | **4.2** | **From USA, Schmidt Ocean Institute, Mr Leighton Rolley** |  |
| SCUFN28/14 |  | Proposal for Falkor Seamount is ACCEPTED. Proposal for Engineer Ridge, received on 25 Sept. 2015, to be examined at SCUFN29 | Complete |
|  | **4.3** | **From Brazil, Fluminense Federal University, Mr Filipe Japiassú Leitão** |  |
| SCUFN28/15 |  | Proposal for Ferraz Peak is ACCEPTED with the main feature to be reported (Deception Island). Discovery facts to be added in the information section. | Complete |
|  | **4.4** | **From The Republic of Korea, KHOA/KCGN** |  |
| SCUFN28/16 |  | Proposal for Dalpaengi Knoll is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/17 |  | Proposal for Gokkal Hill is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/18 |  | Proposal for Maisan Hills is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
|  | **4.5** | **From Brazil, DHN** |  |
| SCUFN28/19 |  | Proposal for Amazon Channel is ACCEPTED with the relative depths to be changed. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/20 |  | Proposal for Baião Guyot is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/21 |  | Proposal for Cabugi Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/22 |  | Proposal for [Frevo [~~Hill~~](http://www.iho.int/iho_pubs/scufn/Brazil_DHN/04_Frevo%20Hill.pdf)] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Hills. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/23 |  | Proposal for Guarani Guyot is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/24 |  | Proposal for Iracema [~~Bank~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Guyot. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/25 |  | Proposal for José de Alencar Hill is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/26 |  | Proposal for Maracatu [~~Seamount~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Guyot.. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/27 |  | Proposal for Maximiano Guyot is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/28 |  | Proposal for Peri Seamount is kept as PENDING. Specific term is accepted. Complementary bathymetric data is requested from **DHN** **(AA. Alberoni)** prior to SCUFN-29. | Complete. See SCUFN29-07.2B Annexes A & B |
| SCUFN28/29 |  | Proposal for Touros [~~Bank~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Guyot. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/30 |  | **Sec.** to update the Gazetteer changing Amazon **Canyons** to Amazon **Canyon** (Doc. SCUFN28-04.5B refers). | Complete |
|  | **4.6** | **From Japan, JHOD/JCGN/JAMSTER** |  |
| SCUFN28/31 |  | Proposal for Deschamps Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/32 |  | Proposal for Jugoya Ridge is ACCEPTED with total relief to be modified. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/33 |  | Proposal for Hangetsu Ridge is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/34 |  | Proposal for Zangetsu Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/35 |  | Proposal for [Shinjuboshi Escarpment](http://www.iho.int/iho_pubs/scufn/Japan_JHOD_JCUFN_JAMSTEC/SCUFN-28_Form_JCUFN-4_Shinjuboshi-Escarpment.doc) is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/36 |  | Proposal for Kazahayahoshi Escarpment is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/37 |  | Proposal for Kazahayahoshi Basin is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/38 |  | Proposal for Kazahayahoshi Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Complete  |
| SCUFN28/39 |  | Proposal for Nishi-Kazahayahoshi Seamount is ACCEPTED, with polygon to be changed in the West around the 3700 m contour line. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/40 |  | Proposal for [Nansei-Kazahayahoshi Seamount](http://www.iho.int/iho_pubs/scufn/Japan_JHOD_JCUFN_JAMSTEC/SCUFN-28_Form_JCUFN-9_Nansei-Kazahayahoshi_Smt.doc) is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/41 |  | Proposal for Hoburehoshi Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/42 |  | Proposal for [Hamuronohoshi Seamount](http://www.iho.int/iho_pubs/scufn/Japan_JHOD_JCUFN_JAMSTEC/SCUFN-28_Form_JCUFN-11_Hamuronohoshi_Smt.doc) is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/43 |  | Proposal for Tobarinohoshi Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/44 |  | Proposal for Habunohoshi Terrace is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/45 |  | Proposal for Yanbaruhoshi Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/46 |  | Proposal for Yutsumanohoshi Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/47 |  | Proposal for Tochinohoshi [~~Seamount~~] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Seamounts. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/48 |  | Proposal for Hahirohoshi Seamounts is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/49 |  | Proposal for [Hahirohoshi Basin](http://www.iho.int/iho_pubs/scufn/Japan_JHOD_JCUFN_JAMSTEC/SCUFN-28_Form_JCUFN-18_Hahirohoshi-Basin.doc) is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/50 |  | Proposal for [Parece Vela Knoll Province](http://www.iho.int/iho_pubs/scufn/Japan_JHOD_JCUFN_JAMSTEC/SCUFN-28_Form_JCUFN-19_Parece-Vela-Knoll-Province.doc) is kept PENDING and will be reconsidered definitely at SCUFN-29. **Y. Ohara** to provide complementary information that give evidences for adopting the generic terms (knoll, province), the polygon, additional information such as existing maps using this name already. | Done. See SCUFN29-07.2B Annexes A & B |
| SCUFN28/51 |  | **Y. Ohara** to provide the Sec. with complementary information (polygon, etc.) for updating [Parece Vela](http://www.iho.int/iho_pubs/scufn/Japan_JHOD_JCUFN_JAMSTEC/SCUFN-28_Form_JCUFN-19_Parece-Vela-Knoll-Province.doc) Basin in the Gazetteer database. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/52 |  | Proposal for Konotori Fracture Zone is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/53 |  | Proposal for Rasa Ridge is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/54 |  | Proposal for Rasa Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/55 |  | Proposal for Kiyan Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/56 |  | Proposal for [Matsuzaki Guyot](http://www.iho.int/iho_pubs/scufn/Japan_JHOD_JCUFN_JAMSTEC/SCUFN-28_Form_JCUFN-24_Matsuzaki-Guyot.doc) is ACCEPTED. **Y. Ohara** to provide Sec. with a new shp file corresponding to a reduced polygon. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/57 |  | Proposal for [Magoshichi [~~Guyot~~](http://www.iho.int/iho_pubs/scufn/Japan_JHOD_JCUFN_JAMSTEC/SCUFN-28_Form_JCUFN-25_Magoshichi-Guyot.doc)] is ACCEPTED with the generic term changed to Guyots. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/58 |  | Proposal for [Kawakami Seamount](http://www.iho.int/iho_pubs/scufn/Japan_JHOD_JCUFN_JAMSTEC/SCUFN-28_Form_JCUFN-26_Kawakami-Smt.doc) is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/59 |  | Proposal for [Iiyama Seamount](http://www.iho.int/iho_pubs/scufn/Japan_JHOD_JCUFN_JAMSTEC/SCUFN-28_Form_JCUFN-27_Iiyama-Smt.doc) is ACCEPTED. **Y. Ohara** to provide Sec. with a new shp file corresponding to a reduced polygon. | Complete |
|  | **4.7** | **From Russian Federation, GINRAS** |  |
| SCUFN28/60 |  | Proposal for Shkolnik Guyot is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
|  | **4.8** | **From China, CCUFN** |  |
| SCUFN28/61 |  | Proposal for Qiluo Guyot is NOT ACCEPTED. CCUFN to consider the possibility of making a new proposal at SCUFN-29 (generic term to be justified, extension, etc.). | Complete |
| SCUFN28/62 |  | Proposal for Wuyang Seamounts is NOT ACCEPTED, as the group of features belong already to the Mid-Pacific Seamounts. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/63 |  | Proposal for Huigong Spur is NOT ACCEPTED as the main part of the feature is considered being part of Allison Guyot. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/64 |  | As a consequence, proposal for [~~Echi~~] Seamount made at SCUFN27 is ACCEPTED with the specific term changed to Huigong. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/65 |  | Proposal for Echi Seamount is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Ridge. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/66 |  | Proposal for Zhangbingxi Ridge is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/67 |  | Proposal for Zhengtingfang Seamounts is ACCEPTED, with adjusted polygon around the two main summits, to be provided by **S. Lin**. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/68 |  | Proposal for Gongzhen Knolls is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/69 |  | Proposal for Suxun Knoll is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/70 |  | Proposal for Sushi [~~Knoll~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Hill. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/71 |  | Proposal for Suzhe Knoll is ACCEPTED  | Complete |
| SCUFN28/72 |  | For most of the CCUFN proposals, **S. Lin** to provide new wording for “associated features”, if any, as the justifications given in the proposals are usually unhelpful. | Complete. See SCUFN29-07.2A Annex A |
| SCUFN28/73 |  | Proposal for Daxitong [~~Seamount~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Knoll, and **S. Lin** to provide a polygon redefined around the main feature. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/74 |  | Proposal for Niaoluo [~~Knolls~~] is ACCEPTED, with the generic term changed to Hills, and **S. Lin** to provide a polygon reduced around the main hills. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/75 |  | Proposal for Xunmei Knoll is NOT ACCEPTED, as considered as not significant enough being part of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge, and in the absence of information at small scale, providing a larger context view. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/76 |  | Proposal for Chukochen Fracture Zone is kept as PENDING. Complementary information to be provided by **S. Lin** to assess specific and generic terms. | Complete. See SCUFN29-07.2B Annexes A & B |
| SCUFN28/77 |  | Proposal for Wocan Ridge is NOT ACCEPTED, as this feature is part of the Carlsberg Ridge. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/78 |  | Proposal for Chongya Ridge is kept as PENDING. Complementary information to be provided by **S. Lin** to assess the feature in the larger context of the Southwest Indian Ridge. | Complete. See SCUFN29-07.2B Annexes A & B |
| SCUFN28/79 |  | Proposal for Heming Ridge is NOT ACCEPTED. Proposer to be invited by **S. Lin** to consider making a new proposal for Gagua Ridge instead, as this feature is likely part of the Gagua Ridge  | Complete. See SCUFN29-07.2A Annex A |
| SCUFN28/80 |  | Proposal for Jinghao Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/81 |  | Proposal for Tianbao Seamount is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/82 |  | Proposal for Yang’e Ridge is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
|  | **4.9** | **From Sweden, Stockholm University** |  |
| SCUFN28/83 |  | Proposal for Oden Trough is ACCEPTED. | Complete |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **5** | **Liaison with Other Geographical Name Bodies** |  |
|  | **5.2** | **NZGB Undersea Names Committee, New Zealand** |  |
| SCUFN28/84 |  | **SCUFN Members** approved the provision of already-charted feature names by NZGB and decided to use it as a test for an experimental fast-track procedure. |  |
| SCUFN28/85 |  | SCUFN review sub-group (**V. Stagpoole**, **Y. Ohara** **W. Reynoso-Peralta**, **S. Lin**) for already-charted feature names to provide comments on [www.scufnreview.org](http://www.scufnreview.org) **by the end of Feb. 2016.**  | Complete |
| SCUFN28/86 |  | If, **by the end of Feb. 2016**, there is a single negative comment for an undersea feature name (UFN), this UFN must be considered at the next SCUFN plenary meeting (normal route).**If no comment** is received by the leader from a member of SCUFN review sub-group prior to the deadline, it means that there is **no objection**. The UFN is therefore considered as **approved at the sub-group level** ; after the deadline, the sub-group leader can task the SCUFN Sec. to add the feature, with a READY status, into the Gazetteer database (i.e with all Gazetteer database attributes!).Then, **SCUFN Sec.** presents a formal report with all approved-UFN to the next SCUFN meeting during the inter-session period. **If no concern** is raised at the SCUFN meeting, all of the UFN are moved to the ADOPTED status. |  |
| SCUFN28/87 |  | **SCUFN Members and Observers** (such as ACUF) to provide **V. Stagpoole** and **Sec.** with their inputs/comments on Doc. SCUFN28-05.2C **by the end of Dec. 2015.**  | Email from Lin, dated 28 Dec. 2015 |
| SCUFN28/88 |  | **SCUFN Members** to make some additional research and provide the **Sec**. with their comments **by** **March 2016** on the proposal made by **V. Stagpoole** for accepting the use of dual names separated by “/”. | Done. See SCUFN29-05.2B&05.2C. Decision to be made at SCUFN29 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | **6** | **Standardization of Undersea Feature Names: IHO-IOC Publication B-6** |  |
| SCUFN28/89 |  | SCUFN, as well as the GEBCO Guiding Committee, support the S-100WG recommendations, and stands ready to provide expertise on feature cataloguing. |  |
| SCUFN28/90 |  | CA (**K. Fadaie**) in liaison with CN (**Lin**) and **Yas Ohara**, to prepare the ToR of an Undersea Feature Names Project Team (scope - incl. provision for Minor Feature Names - , main tasks, work items). ToR to be circulated to SCUFN Members **by Dec. 2015**, then submitted to HSSC for further consideration and guidance. | Complete. See SCUFN29-06C |
| SCUFN28/91 |  | **Han** supported by **V. Stagpoole** to consider the proposals made in Doc. SCUFN28-06C and report back at SCUFN-29 taking into account lessons learned from SCUFN-28 experimentation and resources available at Kigam. |  |
| SCUFN28/92 |  | **Sec.** to upload on the IHO website the English-Spanish and English-Chinese version of B-6, provided respectively by **W. Reynoso Peralta** and **Lin**, as well as the list or reserved-specific terms. | Complete |
| SCUFN28/93 |  | **Sec.** to upload on the IHO website the list or reserved-specific terms and to consider the possibility of informing the national geographic boards. | Complete. IHO website updated |
|  | **8** | **Any other business** |  |
|  | 8.1 | **Open Discussion on the Promotion of SCUFN Activities (call for submissions, circular letter from parent organizations, publications, etc.)** |  |
| SCUFN28/94 |  | **V. Stagpoole, HW Schenke, Y. Ohara** to prepare, **by end of Feb. 2016** a paper on “new web tools help naming undersea feature”, to be published in EOS or other scientific publications, and a second one **by May 2016**, for Hydro International.  | Complete |
| SCUFN28/95 |  | **Sec.** to provide all SCUFN Members with their GEBCO Gazetteer Editors username and password so they can log in and assess the new proposed feature names in the general context. | *Post meeting*: On hold due to discussions with NOAA on the maintenance of Gazetteer |
|  |  |  |  |
| SCUFN28/96 |  | Periodic status report on this list of decisions and actions, to be requested/distributed by the **Secretary** on **30 Dec. 2015, 30 March 2016,** and **30 June 2016.** | Complete |