

# PROJECT SUBMISSION MODEL

## **IDENTIFICATION**

#### Project Number:

Project Name:	Development of a Regional Marine Spatial Data Infrastructure (MSDI) Workshop for Spanish Speakers
Submitting RHC/Country:	MACHC (as part of the approved MACHC CB Plan)
Date:	2016
Institution executing the project:	MACHC/UKHO
Name of responsible:	Jeff Bryant, MACHC CB Coordinator
Address:	UKHO, Taunton, Somerset TA1 2DN
Telephone:	+44 1823 337900 x3821
Fax:	+44 1823 284077
e-mail:	jeff.bryant@ukho.gov.uk

GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS
(Please provide detailed information in Annex of no more than three pages)

Background information	The IHO definition of Hydrography supports "maring activities including economic development, security and defence, scientific research and environmental protection." One of the objectives of the organization identifies the importance of the development of the sciences in the field of hydrography and the techniques employed in descriptive oceanography.  Within the HO community, there remains an incomplete understanding of the interacting systems and sciences that operate in the world's oceans and coastal areas. Pressure is now growing globally to improve that understanding. This Workshop approach highlights the relevance of MSDI in the development of a framework for Coastal and Marine Spatial Planning programs (MSP) at the sub national, national and/or regional levels. It provides an approach to introduce and inform how MSDI inter reacts as a component within the framework of a National Spatial Data Infrastructure (NSDI) through the development and later delivery of a series of global workshops. The workshops will utilize a panel of recognized leaders and experts in the various components of MSDI development as well expertise and experience in developing NSDI. The workshops will build on the work undertaken by the MSDIWG by providing a practical platform of knowledge transfer to enable MS's to engage and actively participate in MSDI and MSP development. In this way the HO community will be able to inform and shape the way MSDI is delivered.  Regional and / or National requirement
ustification of the project	and of National requirement

Countries involved	The Spanish speaking MACHC Members will be beneficiaries of this workshop (they are Colombia, Cuba, Guatemala, Mexico and Venezuela) to these must be added Brazil and Associate Members Costa Rica, El Salvador, Honduras, Nicaragua and Panama
Exposition of the proble	Individual Nations cannot fund appropriate staff to undertake any recognized course just at the time when they are required to respond to global challenges in the marine and coastal zone.
General objective	There is a particular urgent need to address issues associated with MSDI in this region due to the conflicts in use of the regional sea space, dwindling resources, lack of up to date and reliable hydrographic and oceanographic data, the threats posed by climate change, coastal inundation and the need for parties to work more closely together to ensure long term economic and social sustainability.  The workshop will enhance and increase understanding, confidence and provide practical insight to delegates as to what measures can be taken to develop and control this framework and what can be accomplished through development of a robust and active program of real time observations, data capture and evaluation, data management, data sharing, exchange and improved access to information to underpin modeling and visualization of the underwater and coastal environment. The workshop will develop the appreciation of what is needed and how to measure and understand interaction of systems and to monitor progress over time to reach defined goals.
Specific objectives	Introduce MSDI to the attending delegates as the marine component of an SDI and provide practical assistance in developing capability at the regional and national level. MSDI encompasses all marine geographic and business information that supports decision making processes and asset management.
Outputs/Products	Increased and enhanced understanding of MSDI and its relevance to national, regional, and global development success through the provision of tools solutions and acceptance.
Other deliverables	Creation of a new knowledge base within the IHO community which MS's can access and learn from. The
Achievements and awaited benefits	workshop approach will be supported by FIG and IOC.
Schedule of activities	5 day course

## RESOURCES

Contribution by countries involved	Venue will be Mexico and instruction will be in Spanish Mexico will provide one instructor	
Contribution by other parties	One Mexican subject matter expert plus industry representation will be assembled to provide content preparation, organization, presentation of lectures, sharing of best practice, delivery of case studies and factual information for the	

	Conservation as w			I subject area ring and F			
Contribution	Yes			ou de gre i re	111 20	310-13 OH I	310diversit
expected from CBCFund							
Total Cost (euros)	€23,658						
Breakdown of		Trainees	x 10	(2 local)			
costs (subject	Flights		8	persons x		€ 1,172	€ 9,376
0	Hotel, all meals		8	persons x	6	nights	C 3,37
confirmation)		=	48	nights x	•	€ 224	€ 10,752
	Transport		8	persons x		€ 42	€ 336
		Trainers	x 2	(1 local)			
	Flights		1	~		64.470	
	Hotel, all meals		6	x days		€ 1,172	€ 1,172
		=	6	nights x		€ 224	64044
	Transport		1	persons x		€ 42	€ 1,344 € 42
	Venue materials & costs	& hire					
	For the week						€ 637
						Total	€23,658
	Please note that Euro and were to	the figures a	bove ha	ve been round	led u	ıp/down to	

From CBC	Training and education	€23,658	
Fund (item and amount)		625,058	

# PROJECT SUMMARY

	RHC
	Execution
	Country/ Countries involved
	Priority/ Status
	Project Name
	Project Objective
	Benefits
	Assistance Cost required
	Cost
filled by CBC)	Allocation and Priority (to be
	Contact Person

Name and Signature of the RHC Chairman .....

# INTERNATIONAL HYDROGRAPHIC BUREAU



#### BUREAU HYDROGRAPHIQUE INTERNATIONAL

4, Quai Antoine 1er B.P.445 - MC 98011 MONACO Cedex PRINCIPAUTE DE MONACO

# CAPACITY BUILDING SUB-COMMITTEE PROCEDURE 4

# EVALUATION PROCEDURE OF SUBMISSIONS REQUESTING SUPPORT TO THE CBSC

**PROCEDURE 4** of the CBSC aims to establish an objective value for each activity proposed to request support from the SC, based on the weights defined by the CBSC. This will be used as in initial (objective) evaluation for establishing a priority list, that could be changed by the CBSC. This procedure must be followed in conjunction with Procedure 1.

#### **Explanation:**

Part 1 of this document contains the standardized procedure that must be followed for all proposals requesting support from the CBSC.

Part 2 of this document provides the evaluation model to be filled by the CBSC Secretary when receiving the application for support from the CBSC.



#### PART 1

## STANDARDIZED PROCEDURE

All the projects requesting support from CBSC are required to follow this procedure, in conjunction with Procedure 1.

The following aspects must be evaluated in order to fill the model presented in Part 2 of this

- 1. Category of the Project (choose the one that most defines your project):
  - a) Technical Assistance
  - b) Training Education
  - c) Financial Assistance
  - d) Start Up Project
- 2. Phase of Capacity Building, according to the IHO Capacity Building Strategy:
  - a) Phase 1
  - b) Phase 2
  - c) Phase 3
- 3. Number of States Benefitted: the number of States involved in the project.
- 4. External Funding Factor. If there are funding from external agencies or funds, apply the

$$Value = 5 \times \frac{External funding value (Euros)}{CBFund value (Euros)}$$

and consider only the integer part of the above calculation.

5. Neediness Factor, according to the per capita Gross Domestic Product (GDP - US\$), published by the United Nations:

http://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic/products/socind/inc-eco.htm

Select the appropriate item, depending of the average value of the States involved, as:

- a) < 2000
- b) 2001 5000
- c) 5001 10,000
- d) 10,001 20,000
- e) 20,001 30,000
- f) 30,001 40,000
- g) > 40,001

- 6. Priority within RHC. A value to be established by the RHC, according to the following:
  - a) 1 = top priority
  - b) 2 = very important
  - c) 3 = important
  - d) 4 = lowest priority

Each RHC has to establish a balanced view of the projects, to avoid ranking all the projects as having the same priority.

- 7. Potential for Success (0 to 5), from the IHB viewpoint, is a measure on how well the project is expected to achieve its goals. From low chance (0) to higher chance (5).
- 8. Discount for recent similar activities (0 to -3), regarding the following table:
- a) No similar activity in the past 10 years
- b) No similar activity in the past 5 years
- c) One similar activity in the past 5 years
- d) More than one similar activity in the past 5 years
- 9. Capacity Building Effect. This is a subjective assessment (1 to 5) to be done by the RHCs, regarding the overall view of the projects, considering all the above factors and the general importance to the development of Hydrography in the region.



# PART 2 EVALUATION MODEL

#### **IDENTIFICATION** Project Number: **Project Name:** MSDI Workshop for Spanish Speakers **Submitting RHC:** MACHC (as part of the approved MACHC CB Plan) Date of Submission: 2016 Institution executing the project: Mexico Hydrographic Office (DIGAOHM) Name of responsible: Jeff Bryant, MACHC CB Coordinator Address: UKHO, Taunton, Somerset TA1 2DN Telephone: +44 1823 337900 x3821 Fax: +44 1823 284077 e-mail: jeff.bryant@ukho.gov.uk

#### **EVALUATION**

N.	Description		Item	Aggious
1.	Category of the Project	Maximum	value	Assigned
	a) Technical Assistance		5	varue
	b) Training Education		3	3
	c) Start Up Project	5	3	3
	d) Financial Assistance		2	
2.	Phase of Capacity Building			
	a) Phase 1		10	
	b) Phase 2	10	10	
	c) Phase 3	10	5	
3.	Number of States Benefitted		1	11
	a) 10 or more			
	b) 5 to 9		5	5
	c) less than 5	5	3	
١.	External Funding Factor		1	
	Other Contributions in cash and kind / CBFund			
	Neediness Factor (UN Tables – GDP Per Capita)	5	0 to 5	3
	h) < 2000			
_	i) 2001 – 5000		10	
_	j) 5001 – 10,000		8	
_	,	10	7	
_		10	6	6
_	7 ,,,,,,		4	
	m) 30,001 – 40,000		1	

	m) 30,001 – 40,000			
	n) > 40,001		1	
6.			0	
U.	Priority within RHC			
	a) 1 b) 2		5	5
	c) 3	5	3	
	d) 4	3	1	
7.	Potential for Success		0	
	a) 5			
	b) 4		5	
	c) 3		4	4
	d) 2	5	3	
	e) 1	3	2	
	f) 0		1	+5
8.	Discount for recent similar activities		0	
	e) No similar activity in the past 10 years			
	delivity in the past to vear		0	0
	The state of the s	0	-1	
		U	-2	
9.	The similar activity in the hast a Vegre		-3	
9.	Capacity Building Effect			
	Subjective Assessment from the CBSC	5	0 to 5	3
	Maximum Possible Score	50	0.000	38

CBSC Secretary	CBSC Chairman



## PROJECT SUBMISSION MODEL

## **IDENTIFICATION**

## Project Number:

Project Name:	MSI and Hydrographic Governance Workshop
Submitting RHC/Country:	MACHC (as part of the approved MACHC CB Plan)
Date:	2016
Institution executing the project:	UKHO/IMO/IALA
Name of responsible:	Jeff Bryant, MACHC CB Coordinator
Address:	UKHO, Taunton, Somerset TA1 2DN
Telephone:	+44 1823 337900 x3821
Fax:	+44 1823 284077
e-mail:	jeff.bryant@ukho.gov.uk

GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS
(Please provide detailed information in Annex of no more than three pages)

Justification of the project	Caribbean States (OECS) and this activity will support the project
Background information	MSI and the knowledge of hydrographic governance have been identified to be lacking in the region. There is an impending sub-regional hydrographic survey project promoted by the Organization of Eastern

Countries involved	Anguilla, Antigua & Barbuda, Barbados, British Virgin Islands, Dominica, Grenada, Montserrat, St Kitts & Nevis, St Lucia, St Vincent & The Grenadines (essentially the nine members of the OECS together with Barbados)
Exposition of the problem	general Min Buroudos)
General objective	The aim is to provide delegates with the skills and knowledge to assess and promulgate navigationally significant information to the wider maritime community and to understand the context of why this is important
Specific objectives	Train personnel in accordance with Phase 1 of IHO's capacity building procedures
Outputs/Products	To establish a core group of trained persons to deal with MSI and understand the hydrographic governance framework
Other deliverables	Supply of information to charting authorities to assist with chart maintenance
Achievements and awaited benefits	Improving maritime safety and compliance with SOLAS

Schedule of activities	5-day course
Past and/or current related projects supported by CBSC or other sources	MSI courses delivered in Jamaica in 2007 and Trinidad & Tobago in 2013

## RESOURCES

Contribution by countries involved	There might be a contribution from the OECS or this training might be built into the Hydrographic project	type of
Contribution by other parties	Lecturer provided by UKHO free of charge except trav subsistence	vel &
Contribution expected from CBCFund	Yes	
Total Cost (euros)	€ 19,952	
Breakdown of costs	Per diems (WHO rate x 50%) for 10 trainees & 1 Trainer 10 Economy flights (trainees) &	€ 7,612
	1 Business Class flight(trainer)	€ 10,595
	Taxi transfers for the above	€ 662
	Venue Fees	€ 1,082
		€ 19,952

From CBC	€ 19,952	
Fund (item		
and amount)		

# PROJECT SUMMARY

	act n
	Contact
	Allocation and Priority (to be filled by CBC)
	Cost
	Assistance
4	Benefits
D	Objective
Droiset	Name
Priority/	Status
Country/	Countries
Year of	Execution
Sponsor	RHC

Name and Signature of the RHC Chairman .....

# INTERNATIONAL HYDROGRAPHIC BUREAU



#### BUREAU HYDROGRAPHIQUE INTERNATIONAL

4, Quai Antoine 1er B.P.445 - MC 98011 MONACO Cedex PRINCIPAUTE DE MONACO

# CAPACITY BUILDING SUB-COMMITTEE PROCEDURE 4

# EVALUATION PROCEDURE OF SUBMISSIONS REQUESTING SUPPORT TO THE CBSC

**PROCEDURE 4** of the CBSC aims to establish an objective value for each activity proposed to request support from the SC, based on the weights defined by the CBSC. This will be used as in initial (objective) evaluation for establishing a priority list, that could be changed by the CBSC. This procedure must be followed in conjunction with Procedure 1.

#### **Explanation:**

Part 1 of this document contains the standardized procedure that must be followed for all proposals requesting support from the CBSC.

Part 2 of this document provides the evaluation model to be filled by the CBSC Secretary when receiving the application for support from the CBSC.



#### PART 1

#### STANDARDIZED PROCEDURE

All the projects requesting support from CBSC are required to follow this procedure, in conjunction with Procedure 1.

The following aspects must be evaluated in order to fill the model presented in Part 2 of this document:

- 1. Category of the Project (choose the one that most defines your project):
  - a) Technical Assistance
  - b) Training Education
  - c) Financial Assistance
  - d) Start Up Project
- 2. Phase of Capacity Building, according to the IHO Capacity Building Strategy:
  - a) Phase 1
  - b) Phase 2
  - c) Phase 3
- 3. Number of States Benefitted: the number of States involved in the project.
- 4. External Funding Factor. If there are funding from external agencies or funds, apply the rule:

$$Value = 5 \times \frac{External funding value (Euros)}{CBFund value (Euros)}$$

and consider only the integer part of the above calculation.

5. Neediness Factor, according to the *per capita* Gross Domestic Product (GDP – US\$), published by the United Nations:

http://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic/products/socind/inc-eco.htm

Select the appropriate item, depending of the average value of the States involved, as:

- a) < 2000
- b) 2001 5000
- c) 5001 10,000
- d) 10,001 20,000
- e) 20,001 30,000
- f) 30,001 40,000
- g) > 40,001

- 6. Priority within RHC. A value to be established by the RHC, according to the following:
  - a) 1 = top priority
  - b) 2 = very important
  - c) 3 = important
  - d) 4 = lowest priority

Each RHC has to establish a balanced view of the projects, to avoid ranking all the projects as having the same priority.

- 7. Potential for Success (0 to 5), from the IHB viewpoint, is a measure on how well the project is expected to achieve its goals. From low chance (0) to higher chance (5).
- 8. Discount for recent similar activities (0 to -3), regarding the following table:
- a) No similar activity in the past 10 years
- b) No similar activity in the past 5 years
- c) One similar activity in the past 5 years
- d) More than one similar activity in the past 5 years
- 9. Capacity Building Effect. This is a subjective assessment (1 to 5) to be done by the RHCs, regarding the overall view of the projects, considering all the above factors and the general importance to the development of Hydrography in the region.



# PART 2 EVALUATION MODEL

<u>IDENTIFICATION</u>	Project Number:
Project Name:	MSI and Hydro Governance Workshop
Submitting RHC:	MACHC (as part of the approved MACHC CB Plan)
Date of Submission:	April 2015
Institution executing the project:	UKHO with the support of the IALA Academy and IMO
Name of responsible:	Jeff Bryant, MACHC CB Coordinator
Address:	UKHO, Taunton, Somerset TA1 2DN
Telephone:	+44 1823 337900 x3821
Fax:	+44 1823 284077
e-mail:	jeff.bryant@ukho.gov.uk

#### **EVALUATION**

N.	Description		Item	Assigned
1.	Category of the Project	Maximum	value	value
	a) Technical Assistance		5	5
	b) Training Education		3	-
	c) Start Up Project	5	3	
	d) Financial Assistance		2	
2.	Phase of Capacity Building		2	
	a) Phase 1		10	10
	b) Phase 2	10	5	10
	c) Phase 3		1	
3.	Number of States Benefitted		1	
	a) 10 or more		5	5
	b) 5 to 9	5	3	3
	c) less than 5		1	
4.	External Funding Factor		1	
	Other Contributions in cash and kind / CBFund	5	0 to 5	3
5.	Neediness Factor (UN Tables - GDP Per Capita)		0 10 3	3
	h) < 2000		10	
	i) 2001 - 5000	-	8	
	j) 5001 – 10,000	10	7	
	k) 10,001 – 20,000	10		-
	1) 20,001 – 30,000	_	6	6
			4	

	m) 30,001 – 40,000		1		
	n) > 40,001		1		
6.	Priority within RHC		0		
	a) 1		5		
	b) 2		3		
	c) 3	5	1	1	
	d) 4		0	1	
7.	Potential for Success		0		
	a) 5		5	5	
	b) 4		4	3	
	c) 3		3		
	d) 2	5	2		
	e) 1		1		
_	f) 0		0		
8.	Discount for recent similar activities				
	e) No similar activity in the past 10 years		0	0	
	f) No similar activity in the past 5 years	0	-1		
	g) One similar activity in the past 5 years	0	-2		
	h) More than one similar activity in the past 5 years		-3		
9.	Capacity Building Effect				
	Subjective Assessment from the CBSC	5	0 to 5	4	
	Maximum Possible Score	50		28	

CDCCC	
CBSC Secretary	CBSC Chairman
	CDSC Chairman



## PROJECT SUBMISSION MODEL

## **IDENTIFICATION**

Proj	ect	N	um	ber	:
		- "		~~	•

Project Name:	SDB (Satellite Derived Bathymetry) Workshop based on the GEBCO Cookbook		
Submitting RHC/Country:	MACHC (as part of the approved MACHC CB Plan)		
Date:	2016		
Institution executing the project:	MACHC/OCS NOAA		
Name of responsible:	Jeff Bryant, MACHC CB Coordinator		
Address:	UKHO, Taunton, Somerset TA1 2DN		
Telephone:	+44 1823 337900 x3821		
Fax:	+44 1823 284077		
e-mail:	jeff.bryant@ukho.gov.uk		

GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS
(Please provide detailed information in Annex of no more than three pages)

Background information	Although SDB has been available for many years the recent improvements in the imagery collected and the processing available has increased interest in this technology. There is a demand from the MACHC members to learn more about SDB
Justification of the project	The Caribbean lends itself to the exploitation of this technology with its shallow and largely clear littoral waters and extensive areas which remain largely unsurveyed

Countries involved	Brazil, Colombia, Cuba, France, Guatemala, Jamaica, Mexico, Netherlands, Suriname and Trinidad & Tobago
Exposition of the problem	The MACHC members have varying degrees of knowledge in this field and it was agreed (at the last MACHC meeting) that a SDB Workshop might be the ideal vehicle to share knowledge and experiences
General objective	Develop and design instructional material to support a 3-day workshop
Specific objectives	Ensure that the MACHC members complete the workshop with a common understanding of the benefits and limitations of SDB
Outputs/Products	

Other deliverables	
Achievements and awaited benefits	A more consistent use of this emerging technology which will include updating navigational charts

Schedule of activities	3-day workshop

## RESOURCES

V					
V					
Yes					
€21,734					
Trainees	x 10	(1 local)			
Flights	9	1/2	€	1.172	€ 10,548
Hotel, all meals	9	• *************************************			0 10,010
=	36				€ 8,064
Transport	9	persons x		€ 42	€ 375
Trainers	x 1				
Flights	1		€ .	1 172	€ 1,172
Hotel, all meals	4	days			€ 896
Transport	1	persons x		€ 42	€ 42
Venue materials & hire costs					
For the week					€ 637
				Total	€21,734
Please note that the figures ab nearest Euro and were taken t	ove have from a n	ve been roun nore detailed	ded up/o	down t sheet.	to the
P	Flights Hotel, all meals  Transport  Trainers  Flights Hotel, all meals  Transport  Venue materials & hire costs For the week  Please note that the figures at	Trainees x 10  Flights 9  Hotel, all meals 9  = 36  Transport 9  Trainers x 1  Flights 1  Hotel, all meals 4  Transport 1  Venue materials & hire costs  For the week	Trainees x 10 (1 local)  Flights 9 persons x  Hotel, all meals 9 persons x  = 36 nights x  Transport 9 persons x  Trainers x 1  Flights 1 x Hotel, all meals 4 days  Transport 1 persons x  Venue materials & hire costs  For the week	Trainees x 10 (1 local)  Flights 9 persons x 4 nig 9 persons x 4 nig 1 36 nights x 9 persons x  Trainers x 1  Flights 1 x € 7  Flights 1 x € 7  Hotel, all meals 4 days  Transport 1 persons x  Venue materials & hire costs  For the week  Please note that the figures above have been rounded up/or	Flights 9 persons $x$ 0 (1 local)  Flights 9 persons $x$ 0 inghts 9 persons $x$ 4 nights 10 fights 10 persons $x$ 1 Trainers $x$ 1  Flights 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

From CBC	
Fund (item	
and amount)	

# PROJECT SUMMARY

RHC	
Execution	
Countries involved	
Status	
Name	
Objective	
Benefits	
Assistance Cost required	
Cost	
Allocation and Priority (to be filled by CRC)	miled by CBC)
-	

Name and Signature of the RHC Chairman .....

# INTERNATIONAL HYDROGRAPHIC BUREAU



#### BUREAU HYDROGRAPHIQUE INTERNATIONAL

4, Quai Antoine 1er
B.P.445 - MC 98011 MONACO Cedex
PRINCIPAUTE DE MONACO

# CAPACITY BUILDING SUB-COMMITTEE PROCEDURE 4

# EVALUATION PROCEDURE OF SUBMISSIONS REQUESTING SUPPORT TO THE CBSC

**PROCEDURE 4** of the CBSC aims to establish an objective value for each activity proposed to request support from the SC, based on the weights defined by the CBSC. This will be used as in initial (objective) evaluation for establishing a priority list, that could be changed by the CBSC. This procedure must be followed in conjunction with Procedure 1.

#### **Explanation:**

Part 1 of this document contains the standardized procedure that must be followed for all proposals requesting support from the CBSC.

Part 2 of this document provides the evaluation model to be filled by the CBSC Secretary when receiving the application for support from the CBSC.



#### PART 1

#### STANDARDIZED PROCEDURE

All the projects requesting support from CBSC are required to follow this procedure, in conjunction with Procedure 1.

The following aspects must be evaluated in order to fill the model presented in Part 2 of this document:

- 1. Category of the Project (choose the one that most defines your project):
  - a) Technical Assistance
  - b) Training Education
  - c) Financial Assistance
  - d) Start Up Project
- 2. Phase of Capacity Building, according to the IHO Capacity Building Strategy:
  - a) Phase 1
  - b) Phase 2
  - c) Phase 3
- 3. Number of States Benefitted: the number of States involved in the project.
- 4. External Funding Factor. If there are funding from external agencies or funds, apply the rule:

$$Value = 5 \times \frac{External funding value (Euros)}{CBFund value (Euros)}$$

and consider only the integer part of the above calculation.

5. Neediness Factor, according to the *per capita* Gross Domestic Product (GDP – US\$), published by the United Nations:

http://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic/products/socind/inc-eco.htm

Select the appropriate item, depending of the average value of the States involved, as:

- a) < 2000
- b) 2001 5000
- c) 5001 10,000
- d) 10,001 20,000
- e) 20,001 30,000
- f) 30,001 40,000
- g) > 40,001

- 6. Priority within RHC. A value to be established by the RHC, according to the following:
  - a) 1 = top priority
  - b) 2 = very important
  - c) 3 = important
  - d) 4 = lowest priority

Each RHC has to establish a balanced view of the projects, to avoid ranking all the projects as having the same priority.

- 7. Potential for Success (0 to 5), from the IHB viewpoint, is a measure on how well the project is expected to achieve its goals. From low chance (0) to higher chance (5).
- 8. Discount for recent similar activities (0 to -3), regarding the following table:
- a) No similar activity in the past 10 years
- b) No similar activity in the past 5 years
- c) One similar activity in the past 5 years
- d) More than one similar activity in the past 5 years
- 9. Capacity Building Effect. This is a subjective assessment (1 to 5) to be done by the RHCs, regarding the overall view of the projects, considering all the above factors and the general importance to the development of Hydrography in the region.



# PART 2 EVALUATION MODEL

IDENTIFICATION	Project Number:
Project Name:	SDB Workshop based on the GEBCO Cookbook
Submitting RHC:	MACHC (as part of the approved MACHC CB Plan)
Date of Submission:	2016
Institution executing the project:	UKHO/OCS NOAA
Name of responsible:	Jeff Bryant, MACHC CB Coordinator
Address:	UKHO, Taunton, Somerset TA1 2DN
Telephone:	+44 1823 337900 x3821
Fax:	+44 1823 284077
e-mail:	jeff.bryant@ukho.gov.uk

## **EVALUATION**

N.	Description	M- :	Item	Assigned		
1.	Category of the Project	Maximum	value	value		
	a) Technical Assistance		5			
	b) Training Education		3	3		
	c) Start Up Project	5	3			
	d) Financial Assistance		2			
2.	Phase of Capacity Building					
	a) Phase 1		10			
	b) Phase 2	10	5	5		
	c) Phase 3		1			
3.	Number of States Benefitted					
	a) 10 or more		5			
	b) 5 to 9	5	3	3		
	c) less than 5		1			
4.	External Funding Factor		•			
	Other Contributions in cash and kind / CBFund	5	0 to 5	3		
5.	Neediness Factor (UN Tables - GDP Per Capita)		0 10 5			
	h) < 2000		10			
	i) 2001 – 5000		8			
	j) 5001 – 10,000	1	7			
	k) 10,001 – 20,000	10	6			
	<ol> <li>20,001 – 30,000 (based on the average GDP per Capita of the ten nations involved)</li> </ol>		4	4		

	m) 30,001 – 40,000		1		
	n) > 40,001		0		
6.	Priority within RHC		0		
	a) 1		5		
	b) 2	- 2	3		
	c) 3	5	1	1	
	d) 4		0	1	
7.	Potential for Success				
	a) 5		5	5	
	b) 4		4		
	c) 3	-	3		
	d) 2	5	2		
	e) 1		1		
	f) 0		0		
8.	Discount for recent similar activities				
	e) No similar activity in the past 10 years		0	0	
	f) No similar activity in the past 5 years	0	-1		
	g) One similar activity in the past 5 years	0	-2	(	
	h) More than one similar activity in the past 5 years		-3		
9.	Capacity Building Effect				
	Subjective Assessment from the CBSC	5	0 to 5	4	
	Maximum Possible Score	50		28	

CBSC Secretary	CBSC Chairman	_



## PROJECT SUBMISSION MODEL

## **IDENTIFICATION**

#### Project Number:

Project Name:	Seminar on Raising Awareness of Hydrography (for MACHC Associate and Non Members)
Submitting RHC/Country:	MACHC (as part of the approved MACHC CB Plan)
Date:	2016
Institution executing the project:	MACHC/UKHO
Name of responsible:	Jeff Bryant, MACHC CB Coordinator
Address:	UKHO, Taunton, Somerset TA1 2DN
Telephone:	+44 1823 337900 x3821
Fax:	+44 1823 284077
e-mail:	jeff.bryant@ukho.gov.uk

GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS
(Please provide detailed information in Annex of no more than three pages)

Background information	An awareness of hydrography is missing in many of the Meso-American and Caribbean coastal states. This is evidenced by the lack of engagement with the RHC where few, if any, of the Associate or observer member states attend meetings unless they are sponsored.
Justification of the project	It is suggested that a fully-funded seminar or workshop on hydrographic awareness to precede the next meeting of MACHC would assist in the crucial task of raising awareness of the subject. It would provide a platform to get greater involvement from the Associate and non-member States.

Countries involved	Bahamas, Bermuda, Cayman Islands, Guyana, Honduras, Nicaragua, Turks & Caicos (plus four other nations yet to be determined)
Exposition of the problem	The benefits of good hydrography to support all manner of maritime activity is not well understood at the higher levels of Government in many SIDS in the MACHC region. This manifests itself in the poor attendance at the Regional Hydrographic Commission (MACHC) meetings where such benefits are promoted. The target audience for an awareness raising seminar would be Permanent Secretary (or equivalent) level from a relevant national Ministry, who would then also attend MACHC17.
General objective	To ensure that countries in the MACHC region are made aware that the provision of hydrographic services is an international obligation under treaty law affecting all Member States (MS) of the IMO and also provides

	significant national infrastructure and long-term economic benefits. The Seminar is configured to assist countries on how they take the necessary steps to meet their obligation noting that most MACHC countries are IMO MS but not IHO MS.
Specific objectives	<ul> <li>To understand the importance of nautical information</li> <li>The basic activities of hydrographic offices: the IHO M-2 publication and how it relates to National Maritime Policies and Hydrographic Services</li> <li>The organization of a National Hydrographic Office</li> <li>The application of hydro-cartographic products and services</li> </ul>
Outputs/Products	Participants will gain a better understanding of the regulatory framework which supports hydrography and how this can benefit a coastal state (Blue Economy etc)
Other deliverables	Participants will be encouraged to join the MACHC on an Associate Member basis until such time as they achieve full membership of the IHO
Achievements and awaited benefits	

Schedule of activities	2-day Seminar to proceed the 17 <sup>th</sup> MACHC meeting, venue to be decided
------------------------	--

## RESOURCES

Contribution by countries involved	Nil
Contribution by other parties	None
Contribution expected from CBCFund	Yes
Total Cost (euros)	€15,500 (this figure is based on last years Hydro Awareness Seminar delivered in Manzanillo, Mexico)
Breakdown of costs (subject to confirmation)	The contribution from the CBSC fund will cover travel and subsistence for one representative from each of the 11 countries involved. The representatives will be expected to stay on for the 17th MACHC meeting and be active participants

From CBC	€15,500	
Fund (item		
and amount)		

# PROJECT SUMMARY



# INTERNATIONAL HYDROGRAPHIC BUREAU



#### BUREAU HYDROGRAPHIQUE INTERNATIONAL

4, Quai Antoine 1er
B.P.445 - MC 98011 MONACO Cedex
PRINCIPAUTE DE MONACO

# CAPACITY BUILDING SUB-COMMITTEE PROCEDURE 4

# EVALUATION PROCEDURE OF SUBMISSIONS REQUESTING SUPPORT TO THE CBSC

**PROCEDURE 4** of the CBSC aims to establish an objective value for each activity proposed to request support from the SC, based on the weights defined by the CBSC. This will be used as in initial (objective) evaluation for establishing a priority list, that could be changed by the CBSC. This procedure must be followed in conjunction with Procedure 1.

#### **Explanation:**

Part 1 of this document contains the standardized procedure that must be followed for all proposals requesting support from the CBSC.

Part 2 of this document provides the evaluation model to be filled by the CBSC Secretary when receiving the application for support from the CBSC.



#### PART 1

#### STANDARDIZED PROCEDURE

All the projects requesting support from CBSC are required to follow this procedure, in conjunction with Procedure 1.

The following aspects must be evaluated in order to fill the model presented in Part 2 of this document:

- 1. Category of the Project (choose the one that most defines your project):
  - a) Technical Assistance
  - b) Training Education
  - c) Financial Assistance
  - d) Start Up Project
- 2. Phase of Capacity Building, according to the IHO Capacity Building Strategy:
  - a) Phase 1
  - b) Phase 2
  - c) Phase 3
- 3. Number of States Benefitted: the number of States involved in the project.
- 4. External Funding Factor. If there are funding from external agencies or funds, apply the rule:

$$Value = 5 \times \frac{External funding value (Euros)}{CBFund value (Euros)}$$

and consider only the integer part of the above calculation.

5. Neediness Factor, according to the *per capita* Gross Domestic Product (GDP – US\$), published by the United Nations:

http://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic/products/socind/inc-eco.htm

Select the appropriate item, depending of the average value of the States involved, as:

- a) < 2000
- b) 2001 5000
- c) 5001 10,000
- d) 10,001 20,000
- e) 20,001 30,000
- f) 30,001 40,000
- g) > 40.001

- 6. Priority within RHC. A value to be established by the RHC, according to the following:
  - a) 1 = top priority
  - b) 2 = very important
  - c) 3 = important
  - d) 4 = lowest priority

Each RHC has to establish a balanced view of the projects, to avoid ranking all the projects as having the same priority.

- 7. Potential for Success (0 to 5), from the IHB viewpoint, is a measure on how well the project is expected to achieve its goals. From low chance (0) to higher chance (5).
- 8. Discount for recent similar activities (0 to -3), regarding the following table:
- a) No similar activity in the past 10 years
- b) No similar activity in the past 5 years
- c) One similar activity in the past 5 years
- d) More than one similar activity in the past 5 years
- 9. Capacity Building Effect. This is a subjective assessment (1 to 5) to be done by the RHCs, regarding the overall view of the projects, considering all the above factors and the general importance to the development of Hydrography in the region.



# PART 2 EVALUATION MODEL

<u>IDENTIFICATION</u>	Project Number:
Project Name:	Seminar on Raising Awareness of Hydrography (for MACHC Associate and Non Members)
Submitting RHC:	MACHC (as part of the approved MACHC CB Plan)
Date of Submission:	2016
Institution executing the project:	IHB with support from RHC members
Name of responsible:	Jeff Bryant, MACHC CB Coordinator
Address:	UKHO, Taunton, Somerset TA1 2DN
Telephone:	+44 1823 337900 x3821
Fax:	+44 1823 284077
e-mail:	jeff.bryant@ukho.gov.uk

#### **EVALUATION**

N.	Description		Item	Assigned		
1.	Category of the Project	- Maximum	value	value		
	a) Technical Assistance		5	5		
	b) Training Education		3			
	c) Start Up Project	5	3			
	d) Financial Assistance		2			
2.	Phase of Capacity Building					
	a) Phase 1		10	10		
	b) Phase 2	10	5			
	c) Phase 3		1			
3.	Number of States Benefitted					
	a) 10 or more		5	5		
	b) 5 to 9	5	3			
	c) less than 5		1			
4.	External Funding Factor					
	Other Contributions in cash and kind / CBFund	5	0 to 5	0		
5.	Neediness Factor (UN Tables – GDP Per Capita)					
	h) < 2000		10			
	i) 2001 – 5000		8			
	j) 5001 – 10,000	10	7			
	k) 10,001 – 20,000		6	6		
	1) 20,001 – 30,000		4	0		

	m) 30,001 – 40,000		1	0
	n) > 40,001		0	
6.	Priority within RHC			
	a) 1		5	5
	b) 2	_	3	
	c) 3	5	1	
	d) 4		0	
7.	Potential for Success	100		
	a) 5		5	
	b) 4		4	4
	c) 3	-	3	
	d) 2	5	2	
	e) 1		1	
	f) 0		0	
8.	Discount for recent similar activities			
	e) No similar activity in the past 10 years		0	
	f) No similar activity in the past 5 years	0	-1	
	g) One similar activity in the past 5 years	0	-2	-2
	h) More than one similar activity in the past 5 years		-3	
9.	Capacity Building Effect			
	Subjective Assessment from the CBSC	5	0 to 5	4
	Maximum Possible Score	50		40

CDCC C	Assertant Paris Co.
CBSC Secretary	CBSC Chairman