

# INTERNATIONAL HYDROGRAPHIC ORGANIZATION MESO AMERICAN & CARIBBEAN SEA HYDROGRAPHIC COMMISSION



MACHC Letter 10/2015

Date, 15 May 2015

To: Mr. Thomas Dehling

Chair of the IHO Capacity Building Sub Committee

**Subject: MACHC Capacity Building Projects** 

Dear Colleague,

Please find attached a belated MACHC project submission for the 13<sup>th</sup> CBSC in Mexico City. I believe the project, "Panama Multi Beam Collection and Processing Training in Spanish", has considerable potential. This submission complements the earlier MACHC submissions by MACHC letter 02/2015 d.d. 26 February 2015.

Sincerely yours,

Captain RNLN Marc C. J. van der Donck
Director Netherlands Hydrographic Office
Hydrographer of the Royal Netherlands Navy

Chairman, Meso American & Caribbean Sea Hydrographic Commission

C.c. - MACHC Members states and associate members

- Assistant Director Alberto Costa Neves, IHB

-. Mr. Jeff Bryant, Chair MACHC CBC

Annex: Submission procedures 1 and 4: CB project "Panama Multi Beam Collection and processing Training in Spanish.



### CAPACITY BUILDING SUB-COMMITTEE

#### PROCEDURE 1 Part 2

### SUBMISSION MODEL

## **IDENTIFICATION**

Project Number	(to be filled by CBSC)		
Project Name	Panama Multi Beam Collection and Processing		
	Training in Spanish		
Submitting RHC/ (priority)	MACHC (as a late addition to the approved		
	MACHC CB Plan)		
Date of Submission	5 April 2015		
Institution executing the project	MACHC/Panama Canal		
00100 000	Authority/Kongsberg/CARIS		
Name of responsible	Jeff Bryant, MACHC CB Coordinator		
Address	UKHO, Taunton, Somerset TA1 2 DN		
Telephone	+44 1823 337900 x3821		
Name of responsible	Chris Hancock		
Address	Lynnwood, WA & Houston, TX, USA.		
Telephone	+1 425-712-1107		
E-mail	chris.hancock@km.kongsberg.com		
Name of responsible	Juan Caraballini		
Address	415 N Alfred St, Alexandria, VA 22314		
Telephone	506 458 8533		
E-mail	Juan.Caraballini@caris.com		

# **GENERAL SPECIFICATIONS**

(Please provide detailed information in Annex of no more than three pages)

Doologue and informe -4!	December of the continued mustifunction of Marking
Background information	Because of the continued proliferation of Multi Beam
	Surveying equipment and requirements for more
	detailed seafloor mapping the need for extending
	training and renewing training in Multi Beam data
	collection and data processing expertise is needed.
Justification of the project	More and more the upgrading of survey equipment to
	Multi Beam collection and the processing Software is
	leading toward the requirements for more detailed
	products and delivery on a much shorter timescale than
	traditionally accepted. New equipment and software
	are fully competent to deliver the highest quality
	products in a new timescale. These new systems
	involve the latest international quality standards as well
	as the latest technical advances in software
	development, acoustics, and electronics. These
	systems work in concert with one another. Education
	and training are the basic steps needed to create a
	workforce that is able to utilize the systems properly.
	This course will provide training on the most modern
	equipment, software, and techniques available.
Countries involved	Panama (host). The training will be open to all
	countries in the MACHC Region and participants from
	other Latin American countries are welcome to attend.
Explanation of the problem	New equipment and Software are being released into
and the state of t	the market constantly. The ability to stay up to date
	and current in these new technologies can be addressed
	by this type of workshop. We have coordinated and
	organized a starting solution to the problem of
	providing the training. Panama Canal Authority has
	offered to provide two Multi Beam equipped survey
	boats and classroom, Kongsberg will provide
	instructors and field engineers and additional
	equipment for an emerging technology demonstration,
	1
	and CARIS is providing processing and analytical
Consulation to	Software and instructors.
General objective	Increase the knowledge and appreciation of Multi
	Beam Surveying and data Processing among current
Character at the state of	and potential users.
Specific objectives	Give hands on experience in survey planning, data
	collection, and data processing using the most modern
	equipment and software available to Multi Beam users.
Outputs/Products	A newly trained group of users.
Other deliverables	Long term appreciation of surveying technology.
Achievements and awaited benefits	Continual renewing of the expertise and workforce into
	the surveying industry.
Schedule of activities	Course to be presented October 2015. Organizing
	invitations, announcements, travel arrangements to be
	held until availability of funding made known.
Past and/or current related projects	
supported by CBSC or other sources	
	_l

# **RESOURCES**

Contribution by countries involved	Panama Canal Authority – boats, equipment,		
-	computers and training facilities		
Contribution from other sources	Kongsberg – Equipment, instructors and engineers.		
	CARIS Multi Beam Processing Software and		
	Instructors		
Contribution requested from CBFund	€29,500.00		
Total Cost (euros)	€46,500.00		
Breakdown of costs	Flights: 12 persons (10 participants and 2 instructors) x		
	€800 = €9,600.00		
	Hotel: 12 persons x 6 nights x \$200 (dinner and		
	breakfast included) = €14,400.00		
	Lunches and Coffee breaks for 22 persons for 5 days =		
	€5,500.00		
a) From CBFund (item and amount)	€29,500.00		
b) From other parties (item and amount)	CARIS Instructors for 5 days €5,500.00		
	Kongsberg's equipment and Instructors for 5 days		
	€10,000.00		
	CARIS Software for 5 days: €1,500.00		

## **PROJECT SUMMARY**

Sponsor RHC	Year of Executio n	Country/ Countries involved	Priority /Status	Project Name	Project Objective	Benefits	Assistance required	Cost	Allocati on and Priority (to be filled by CBSC)	Contact Person
MACHC	2015	Brazil, Colombia, Cuba, Guatemala, Mexico, Venezuela, Panama, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Honduras, Nicaragua, Dominican Republic	HIGH	Panama Multi Beam Collection and Processing Training in Spanish	Increase the knowledge and appreciation of Multi Beam Surveying and data Processing among current and potential users.	More Hydrographers trained in the latest multibeam techniques for acquisition and data processing	€29,500.00	€46,500.00		
						-				-



# INTERNATIONAL HYDROGRAPHIC ORGANIZATION MESO AMERICAN & CARIBBEAN SEA HYDROGRAPHIC COMMISSION



MACHC Letter 09/2015

Date,

To: Mr. Thomas Dehling

Chair of the IHO Capacity Building Sub Committee

Subject: MACHC Capacity Building Projects

Dear Colleague,

Please find attached a belated MACH project submission for the 13<sup>th</sup> CBSC in Mexico City. I believe the project, "Panama Multi Beam Collection and Processing Training in Spanish", has considerable potential. This submission complements the earlier MACHC submissions by MACHC letter 02/2015 d.d. 26 February 2015.

Sincerely yours,

Captain RNLN Marc C. J. van der Donck
Director Netherlands Hydrographic Office
Hydrographer of the Royal Netherlands Navy
Chairman, Meso American & Caribbean Sea Hydrographic Commission

- C.c. MACH Members states and associate members
  - Assistant Director Alberto Costa Neves, IHB
  - -. Mr. Jeff Bryant, Chair MACHC CBC

Annex: Submission procedures 1 and 4: CB project "Panama Multi Beam Collection and processing Training in Spanish.

#### INTERNATIONAL HYDROGRAPHIC BUREAU



#### BUREAU HYDROGRAPHIQUE INTERNATIONAL

4, Quai Antoine 1er
B.P.445 - MC 98011 MONACO Cedex
PRINCIPAUTE DE MONACO

# CAPACITY BUILDING SUB-COMMITTEE PROCEDURE 4

# EVALUATION PROCEDURE OF SUBMISSIONS REQUESTING SUPPORT TO THE CBSC

**PROCEDURE 4** of the CBSC aims to establish an objective value for each activity proposed to request support from the SC, based on the weights defined by the CBSC. This will be used as in initial (objective) evaluation for establishing a priority list, that could be changed by the CBSC. This procedure must be followed in conjunction with Procedure 1.

#### **Explanation:**

Part 1 of this document contains the standardized procedure that must be followed for all proposals requesting support from the CBSC.

Part 2 of this document provides the evaluation model to be filled by the CBSC Secretary when receiving the application for support from the CBSC.



#### PART 1

#### STANDARDIZED PROCEDURE

All the projects requesting support from CBSC are required to follow this procedure, in conjunction with Procedure 1.

The following aspects must be evaluated in order to fill the model presented in Part 2 of this document:

- 1. Category of the Project (choose the one that most defines your project):
  - a) Technical Assistance
  - b) Training Education
  - c) Financial Assistance
  - d) Start Up Project
- 2. Phase of Capacity Building, according to the IHO Capacity Building Strategy:
  - a) Phase 1
  - b) Phase 2
  - c) Phase 3
- 3. Number of States Benefitted: the number of States involved in the project.
- 4. External Funding Factor. If there are funding from external agencies or funds, apply the rule:

$$Value = 5 \times \frac{External funding value (Euros)}{CBFund value (Euros)}$$

and consider only the integer part of the above calculation.

5. Neediness Factor, according to the *per capita* Gross Domestic Product (GDP – US\$), published by the United Nations:

http://unstats.un.org/unsd/demographic/products/socind/inc-eco.htm

Select the appropriate item, depending of the average value of the States involved, as:

- a) < 2000
- b) 2001 5000
- c) 5001 10,000
- d) 10,001 20,000
- e) 20,001 30,000
- f) 30,001 40,000
- g) > 40,001

- 6. Priority within RHC. A value to be established by the RHC, according to the following:
  - a) 1 = top priority
  - b) 2 = very important
  - c) 3 = important
  - d) 4 = lowest priority

Each RHC has to establish a balanced view of the projects, to avoid ranking all the projects as having the same priority.

- 7. Potential for Success (0 to 5), from the IHB viewpoint, is a measure on how well the project is expected to achieve its goals. From low chance (0) to higher chance (5).
- 8. Discount for recent similar activities (0 to -3), regarding the following table:
- a) No similar activity in the past 10 years
- b) No similar activity in the past 5 years
- c) One similar activity in the past 5 years
- d) More than one similar activity in the past 5 years
- 9. Capacity Building Effect. This is a subjective assessment (1 to 5) to be done by the RHCs, regarding the overall view of the projects, considering all the above factors and the general importance to the development of Hydrography in the region.



# PART 2 EVALUATION MODEL

<b>IDENTIFICATION</b>			
	Project Number:		
Project Name:	MBES Collection and Processing for Spanish Speakers		
Submitting RHC: MACHC (a late addition to the MACHC CB Plan			
Date of Submission: May 2015			
Institution executing the project:	MACHC/PCA/Kongsberg/CARIS		
Name of responsible:	Jeff Bryant, MACHC CB Coordinator		
Address:	UKHO, Taunton, Somerset TA1 2DN		
<b>Telephone:</b> +44 1823 337900 x3821			
Fax: +44 1823 284077			
e-mail:	jeff.bryant@ukho.gov.uk		

# **EVALUATION**

N.	Description	2.6	Item	Assigned
1.	Category of the Project	Maximum	value	value
	a) Technical Assistance		5	
	b) Training Education	_	3	3
	c) Start Up Project	5	3	
	d) Financial Assistance		2	
2.	Phase of Capacity Building			
	a) Phase 1		10	
	b) Phase 2	10	5	5
	c) Phase 3		1	
3.	Number of States Benefitted			
	a) 10 or more		5	5
	b) 5 to 9	5	3	
	c) less than 5		1	
4.	External Funding Factor			
	Other Contributions in cash and kind / CBFund	5	0 to 5	5
5.	Neediness Factor (UN Tables – GDP Per Capita)			
	h) < 2000		10	
	i) 2001 – 5000		8	
	j) 5001 – 10,000	10	7	
	k) 10,001 – 20,000 (Mexico is highest at \$17.4k)	10	6	6
	1) 20,001 – 30,000		4	
	m) 30,001 – 40,000		1	

_	n) > 40,001		0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6.	Priority within RHC				
	a) 1		5		
	b) 2	5	3		
	c) 3	3	1		
	d) 4		0	0	
7.	Potential for Success				
	a) 5		5		
	b) 4		4	4	
	c) 3	5	3		
	d) 2	3	2		
	e) 1		1		
	f) 0		0		
8.	Discount for recent similar activities				
	e) No similar activity in the past 10 years	<u> </u>	0	0	
	f) No similar activity in the past 5 years	0	-1		
	g) One similar activity in the past 5 years		-2		
	h) More than one similar activity in the past 5 years		-3	<u> </u>	
9.					
	Subjective Assessment from the CBSC	5	0 to 5	4	
	Maximum Possible Score	50		32	

CBSC Secretary	CBSC Chairman