

**49th NHC Meeting
7 - 9 February 2005**

Proposal

DENMARK (KMS)

- Proposal:** To abolish the task as Nordic Coordinator of the CSPCWG
- Summary:** The task as Nordic Coordinator was some years ago transferred to me, Mrs. Hanne Berg, KMS. However the CSPCWG with its new chairman and secretary has become so active that I have no longer sufficient time to spend on the task. Therefore the NHC is invited to discuss whether there is a need for continuation of the task as coordinator and consequently transfer it to another country or whether the task should be abolished
- Executive summary:** The report outlines and summarises the work carried out by the CSPCWG in 2004 and the work carried out by Kort & Matrikelstyrelsen, DK as Nordic Coordinator.
- Actions to be taken:** Decide the future of the task as Nordic Coordinator
- Introduction/Scope:** Before the appointment as Nordic Coordinator for the work carried out in the former CSC (now CSPCWG) the CSC was a less active working group than the one of today. In order to keep a good and steady progress in the tasks of the WG the time for responding the Chairman's CLs has been reduced. Acting as a coordinator on achieving common views and statements from the Nordic Countries is therefore no longer possible.
- Analysis/Discussion:** As written above I shall suggest to abolish the task as Nordic Coordinator. My proposal is based on the fact that I have no longer the sufficient time to spend on the task. And I have observed through the years that the number of responses I received is limited.
- And also sometimes the responses from the other Nordic Countries are not in line with e.g. the Danish responses. Meaning that sometimes we do not share the same views on the subjects discussed. As we have no time for a thorough debate on the subjects and the different views we might have I only forward the received responses to the Chairman. Therefore it is my opinion that the country itself could just as well have sent its response directly to the chairman instead of delaying it through me.

Finland has e.g. chosen to be a member of the CSPCWG itself and is therefore not taking part in the coordination.

Benefits: If the individual country sees a benefit in being a member of the CSPCWG it is possible to join the WG. The benefit will be that the views of the individual country is more clear to everybody and reflected directly in the response to the chairman.

Priority: High (from my point of view)

Target completion date: Immediately

Action required: Sufficient discussion at NHC/49 to decide on the future