63rd Meeting of the Nordic Hydrographic Commission Meeting

9 – 11 April 2019, Helsinki, Finland

Paper for Consideration by NHC63 The future role of the Nordic Hydrographic Offices

1. Introduction / Background

At the Council 2 (C2) meeting we (DK, NO, SE, FI) agreed to arrange a Nordic workshop in order to discuss and harmonize our long term strategic views regarding where our hydrographic services and also IHO as an organisation will have to be year 2030 and beyond. There is also a need to harmonize strategic milestones towards 2030. At the workshop it was agreed that Denmark should prepare a input paper in order for the NHC63 meeting to discuss the challenges and the role of Nordic Hydrographic offices in a forward looking perspective.

2. Analysis/Discussion

Below is the result from the NHC Strategic workshop in Malmoe.



| Strengths and weaknesses are internal factors unique to the business in question. Some may be a result of external factors like a weak economy or tight labour market, but they are still company-specific. | |
|---|---|
| Strengths | Weaknesses |
| International community (regarding contacts, nautical charts) Well-established international cooperation and organization Experienced and motivated staff (good skills and knowledge → competent) | Small organization/unit Different kinds of economic restrictions (low economic flexibility, partly self-financed agency) Coordination/management "issues" (in value chain, Long chains of decision from Government, Rigid internal management and governance processes) |

Opportunities and threats are external to the company. They represent things that could happen in the right conditions. That is a very broad guideline, so you can use the strengths and weaknesses above to narrow in on opportunities and threats that follow naturally from them.

| Opportunities | Threats |
|---|---|
| - Digitalization | - Financial threats (Lack of financial resources to |
| - Sustainability (ex. "We offer new products that | implement new initiatives, Shortage of funding, |
| lead to environment improvement", Increased | Risk of less EU income due to Brexit etc.) |
| need for high resolution hydrographic data: Focus | - Regulations (Regulations, national/EU, Deci- |
| on sustainable use of the ocean, Autonomy, Envi- | sions of our government, EU, Choice of infra- |
| ronment) | structure at government level, New regulations |
| - Arctic affairs/ Uncharted Arctic areas | (PSI etc)) |
| - Open/free data | - Pressure for free/open data |
| | - New stakeholders/monopoly change |

PEST analysis:

Input from the NHC strategic workshop in Malmoe.

| | ological) is a management method whereby an organiza- |
|--|--|
| tion can assess major external factors that influence its | |
| market. As described by the acronym, those four areas | are central to this model. |
| Political: Changes in geopolitical situation Cybersecurity and policies Higher data security Management of information/data, systems and | Social: - Safe and efficient shipping (autonomous vessels) - Climate change - Industry users/costumers - Al and machine learning |
| Processes Update service: regular, dynamic | Agile organization Better discussion with stakeholders/users |
| Economic: - Blue economy | Technological: Live services, streaming, E-navigation Accessible (B1, S-100), M5P Change of IMO stand. Solas chpt5/E navigation Reliable and authoritative data Land based navigation services ("pilotage", autonomy) Interoperability – filtering, layering of data Closing the gap between current products and future requirements Requirements for NAV. and marine and products/services QA & QC High density data bathymetry |

Revised BCG model (Boston Consulting Group)

Input from the Strategic workshop in Malmoe. Actions from the national HO to meet the demands /requirements.

| requirements. | |
|---|---|
| Importance - high | Importance - high |
| Resources – low | Resources – high |
| - Update service – regular, dynamic | - Higher data security |
| - Better discussions with stakeholder/users | Closing the gap between current products and future requirements High density data bathymetry Management of information/data, systems and processes |
| Importance - low | Importance - low |
| Resources - high | Resources - low |
| - Agile organisation | - Requirements for NAV. And marine prod- ucts/services QA & QC |

3. Conclusions

Below we have tried to highlight some general trends and views from the analysis above. From the Revised BCG model the Nordic HO should focus on:

- Update service regular, dynamic
- Better discussions with stakeholder/users
- Higher data security
- Closing the gap between current products and future requirements
- High density data bathymetry
- Management of information/data, systems and processes

4. Recommendations

It is recommended that the NHC63 discuss the following questions:

- What are the most important challenges and possibilities from a HO perspective?
- What are the future role of a Nordic HO?

In support to discuss the above questions it could be beneficial to initiate a discuss about the Sweet Spot seen from a Nordic HO perspective.



5. Action Required of NHC

The NHC63 invited to:

- a. Discuss the suggested questions
- b. Agree on a way forward
- c. Take appropriate actions.

The Nordic HO SWOT: Norway

| Strengths and weaknesses are internal factors unique to | |
|---|--|
| ternal factors like a weak economy or tight labour marke | |
| Strengths | Weaknesses |
| Recognized authority body Financed by state budget Regulations Authorized Hydrographic Office Act relating to information regarding specific areas, vital objects and depth conditions Fully integrated production line Nautical expertise Existing data portfolio | Adaptability Low economic flexibility Inefficient production line Long chains of decision from Government Rigid internal management and governance processes |
| Opportunities and threats are external to the company. conditions. That is a very broad guideline, so you can use opportunities and threats that follow naturally from them | e the strengths and weaknesses above to narrow in on |
| Opportunities | Threats |
| Increased need for high resolution hydrographic data Focus on sustainable use of the ocean Autonomy Environment Uncharted Arctic areas | Technological development makes us irrelevant Other Government agencies with similar/overlapping Privatization of public services |

Mew areas for use of our data

The Nordic HO SWOT: Denmark

| opportunities and threats that follow naturally from them. | |
|--|---|
| Opportunities | Threats |
| Digitalisation Automation, data/information/products to support the technical development Future digital basis for planning, analysis and ad- ministration e.g. nautical routes, installations, en- vironment, transports, fishery etc. The Authoritative data provider Supporting digitisation among maritime authorities Surveying S-100, S-10X. The need for international standardisation - IHO standards UKC MSDI, coordination The role as the maritime/marine data manager The role as the coordinating marine data authority Blue Growth Opportunities e.g. surveying (e.g. ICZM, energy, MSP), QA, Metadata Free data The role as technical advisor | Lack of financial resources to implement new initiatives Regulations, national/EU Pressure for free data / enforcement of copyrights S-100, new stakeholders Monopoly change IHO standards and user needs – Lack of speed of international standardization Continues new technical solutions Surveying – difficult to change prioritisation – 3 different organisations NtoM different organisations, difficult to change prioritization Risk due to time laps between surveying and publishing end products |

The Nordic HO SWOT: Sweden

We find new products/services to offer our markets The political situation creates new possibilities, such

| Strengths and weaknesses are internal factors unique t | |
|---|---|
| ternal factors like a weak economy or tight labour market, but they are still company-specific. | |
| Strengths | Weaknesses |
| Our competence | |
| Motivated staff | Prioritizing (between different projects and ordinary |
| New projects = challenge for our staff | acitivities) |
| Tools in place for managing projects | Support functions (communication, finance, HR, IT) |
| Routine and skill for managing EU projects | New management at SMA/reorganizations |
| Flexible organization | Resources – lack of some important competences |
| Important international and national contacts | Diffcult to handle all projects |
| Quality improvement of our databases | Process documents in place but are they followed? |
| Projects imply quality improvement | Quality – too high sometimes |
| High productivity/efficiency | Need for education of new systems |
| Cost effective | "Bottlenecks" (process problems) |
| Market for new products such as S-102 | Plans if something unpredictable happens |
| Information, process and system management in place | Poor at using excess money when staff is on leave |
| High rate of external financing | SMA is used to SHO finding financing (EU etc) |
| Raise in sales: 45% in 6 years | SMA is an enterprise organization |
| SMA is an enterprise organization | |
| Opportunities and threats are external to the company | They represent things that could happen in the right |
| conditions. That is a very broad guideline, so you can us | se the strengths and weaknesses above to narrow in on |
| opportunities and threats that follow naturally from them. | |
| Opportunities | Threats |
| | |
| We offer new products that lead to more efficient | Economic situation of shipping in the future |
| shipping | Decisions of our government, EU |
| We offer new products that lead to environment im- | Choice of infrastructure at government level |
| provement | New regulations (PSI etc) |
| New staff = new competences | Lack of accurate competence when hiring |
| We are well known to our stakeholders | Risk of not solving customer needs |
| $\mathbf{W}_{\mathbf{r}} \in \mathbf{C}$ | |

Appeal against tenders Security situation

| as climate and sea planning | Open data |
|---|---|
| Lobby for sea transports instead of land transports | Risk of less EU income due to Brexit etc. |
| Coastal shipping including IWW | |
| SMA R & D department well known on international | |
| level | |
| New products to the Armed Forces | |
| Open data | |
| | |

The Nordic HO SWOT: Iceland

| Strengths and weaknesses are internal factors unique to | a the business in question. Some may be a result of ex |
|--|--|
| 0 | 1 2 |
| ternal factors like a weak economy or tight labour marke | |
| Strengths | Weaknesses |
| - Experienced staff, with good skills and | - Small unit |
| knowledge. | - Lack of project and workflow management |
| - The surveyors are trained navigators | - Lack Quality Management. |
| - Two-way support within the ICG | ~ , 0 |
| - Part of international community | |
| | |
| Opportunities and threats are external to the company | They represent things that could happen in the right |
| conditions. That is a very broad guideline, so you can us | |
| opportunities and threats that follow naturally from then | 6 |
| Opportunities | Threats |
| - Arctic affairs | - Shortage of funding |
| - The seabed mapping project (lead by the Ma- | - Shortage of staff |
| rine and Freshwater Research Institute) | - Declining sales of paper charts. |
| | |
| - Print on Demand. | - Policy and focus of government |
| | |